OFFSHORE HELICOPTER SAFETY INQUIRY
June 28, 2010
Tara Place, Suite 213, 31 Peet Street
St. John's, NL

# June 28, 2010

# PRESENT:

John F. Roil, Q.C./ Anne FaganInquiry Counsel
Amy Crosbie/ Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore John Andrews Petroleum Board (C-NLOPB)
Ian Wallace/
D. Blair PritchettSuncor (Petro-Canada)
Stephanie Hickman
Nick Schultz Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)
Geoffrey Spencer
Rolf Pritchard/Government of Newfoundland and Labrador Laura Brown Laengle
Jack Harris, Q.C., Member of Parliament(Self-Represented)
Kevin Stamp, Q.CCougar Helicopters Inc.
Jamie MartinFamilies of Deceased Passengers
Kate O'BrienDavis Estate (Pilot) andAgent on behalf of Douglas A. Latto for Lanouette Estate (Co-pilot)
V. Randell J. Earle, Q.CCommunications, Energy and Paperworkers Union
David F. Hurley, Q.C Offshore Safety and Survival Centre, Marine Institute

# TABLE OF CONTENTS June 28, 2010

Discussion	Pgs. 1 - 3
MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN)	
Examination by Anne Fagan	Pgs. 4 – 148
Examination by Rolf Pritchard	Pgs. 148 – 162
Examination by Jack Harris, Q.C	Pgs. $162 - 194$
Examination by Kate O'Brien	Pgs. $194 - 210$
Examination by Amy Crosbie	Pgs. $211 - 235$
Examination by Commissioner	
Discussion	Pgs. 237 – 238
DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLES	SHAW (AFFIRMED)
Examination by John Roil, Q.C	Pgs. 238 - 307
Certificate	Pg. 308

, ,		- ugc	
	Page 1		Page 3
1	28, 2010	1	in the three days. Before I ask to have Ms.
1	MISSIONER:	2	Turner sworn, I'd like to just take a short
3 Q.	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Good	3	safety moment because it's been quite some
4	morning, Ms. Turner.	4	time since everybody has been here and to
5 MS. 7	TURNER:	5	remind you there are three exits in the room
6 Q.	Good morning.	6	which you can see, there are also three
7 COM	MISSIONER:	7	stairwells. There is the stairwell that you
8 Q.	Just a word or two, not directed so much at	8	came in and there are also two stairwells at
9	the people who are within the room because	9	either side of the building. They exit onto
10	they know perfectly well the procedure, but a	10	the rear of the building and they are fairly
11	word for the workers who work offshore, their	11	steep, so if you're going to take the
12	families, and the public generally because the	12	stairwells on either side, just be a little
13	public certainly of Newfoundland and Labrador	13	careful with your step and don't use the
14	have shown a keen interest over the months in	14	elevator. Beyond that, the procedure will be
15	the work of this inquiry, so what I would say	15	that I'll introduce Ms. Turner and she will be
16	to them this morning is the experts that will	16	sworn, we'll have the exhibits entered. Then
17	be examined, i.e. questioned in the next days	17	I will lead evidence as to Ms. Turner's
18	are experts that have been engaged by the	18	expertise, and then the parties will have the
19	Commission, by me, in consultation with	19	opportunity to question Ms. Turner on her
20	counsel, Mr. Roil, and Ms. Fagan, and so they	20	expertise if they wish. Once that has been
21	are our consultants, my consultants, and have	21	completed, I will then lead Ms. Turner through
22	been engaged by me, and this is the	22	her three reports. She will deal with all
23	opportunity for those who have a direct	23	three reports at once, and when she has
24	interest in the matter, the players, shall we	24	completed the direct presentation, then each
25	say, to examine these experts and ask them	25	of the parties will have the opportunity to
	Page 2		Page 4
1	questions, and, of course, counsel will ask	1	question Ms. Turner on all three reports.
2	questions of the experts and at the end of the	_	1
	duestions of the experts and at the end of the	2	That will be it from a procedural perspective.
3	-	2 3	That will be it from a procedural perspective.  Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner
3 4	day I will probably ask questions of the		Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner
	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that	3	
4	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the	3 4 5	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.
4 5 6	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and	3 4 5	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.
4 5 6 7	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG.	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN:
4 5 6	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:
4 5 6 7 8	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 Q	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 Q	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q.	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  Q. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q.	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 Q 10 11 12	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14 15	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  O. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14 15	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. H 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. H 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll go from 11:30 to 1, start again at 2, break at 3:30 to 3:45, and then continue on until 5	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three additional pages. We have hard copies here
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. H 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll go from 11:30 to 1, start again at 2, break at 3:30 to 3:45, and then continue on until 5 o'clock. The July 1st holiday is Thursday,	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  O. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three additional pages. We have hard copies here for those that have not already received a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll go from 11:30 to 1, start again at 2, break at 3:30 to 3:45, and then continue on until 5 o'clock. The July 1st holiday is Thursday, and, therefore, we have brought in these	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three additional pages. We have hard copies here
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. H 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll go from 11:30 to 1, start again at 2, break at 3:30 to 3:45, and then continue on until 5 o'clock. The July 1st holiday is Thursday, and, therefore, we have brought in these experts, the NRC is local here in St. John's,	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 C 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three additional pages. We have hard copies here for those that have not already received a copy. Some of the lawyers who were here earlier this morning did receive copies, and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 MS. I 11 Q. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day I will probably ask questions of the experts also. So that is the reason that we're here, and as I said, saying this for the benefit of the workers, their families, and the general public. There will be some changes in the format this time, and Ms. Fagan will explain these to you.  FAGAN:  Thank you, Commissioner. A couple of the changes that the parties would already be aware of is that the hours are different. We will be going to 5 o'clock and we will only be taking one hour for lunch between 1 and 2, and the breaks are slightly different. So we'll start at 9:30 and we'll go until 11. Then we'll take a fifteen minute break, and we'll go from 11:30 to 1, start again at 2, break at 3:30 to 3:45, and then continue on until 5 o'clock. The July 1st holiday is Thursday, and, therefore, we have brought in these	3 4 5 6 MS. 1 7 FAG. 8 MS. 1 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now I would ask to have Kimberley Turner introduced. Registrar, could you please have the witness sworn.  KIMBERLEY TURNER (SWORN) EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS.  AN: FAGAN:  D. Thank you, Ms. Turner. There are five documents which Ms. Turner will be referring to. The first one will be marked Exhibit 207, or 00207, and that's the CV of Ms. Turner.  The CV of Ms. Turner was circulated to the parties some time ago, however, I asked Ms.  Turner to add some information with respect to her publications and activities, and, therefore, the CV that was circulated has been revised to add additional information. The first page is fine, but there are three additional pages. We have hard copies here for those that have not already received a copy. Some of the lawyers who were here

Ju	ne 28, 2010 Multi-Page $^{TM}$		ge <sup>TM</sup> Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry	
	Pag			Page 7
1		1		background, a summary of your consultancy's
2		2		team expertise because your reports have been
3		3		prepared by your company, and a description of
4		4		your company, Aerosafe Risk Management.
5			MS. T	URNER:
6		6		Yes, thanks, Ms. Fagan, and good morning,
7		7		Commissioner. In terms of my background, I've
8		8		been working in the field of aviation safety
9				and risk management for just on 14 years. I
10		10		founded Aerosafe Risk Management in 1997 and
11		11		our head office is in Sydney, Australia. Over
12		12		the last 14 years we've grown as an
13		13		organization and now have representative
14		14		offices in Washington, DC, here in North
15		15		America. In August, it will be five years
16		16		since we've been operating in Canada and the
17		I .		US, New Zealand, and partner offices in India
18	•	18		and China.
19		19		In terms of my professional background, I
20		20		have an aviation operations management
ı	MS. FAGAN:	21		background. I commenced in the military, but
22		22		very early in my career got involved in the
23		23		risk management field. I'm a certified
24		24		practising risk manager and have also held a
25	•	25		number of appointments in the developmental
1	Pag brought forward on the screen so the parties	1		Page 8 area, in the standards area, and certainly in
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		2		education.
3		3		In terms of our team, we have a fairly
4		4		specialized group of people. We had five or
5				six consultants on the Aerosafe team work on
6		5		the various reports in a team effort. The
l				first consultant, senior risk advisor, Robin
7 8		7 8		Graham, has an aviation background in accident
ı				investigation. He's also an air traffic
9		9		controller and a pilot. Our second
10		10		consultant, Mr. Michael Barron, he's our
11 12		11 12		company's chief risk officer. Michael has a
ı				background in governance, risk management and
13		13 14		compliance, and holds two Masters Degrees and
14				-
15	*	15		has commenced his PhD this year. His role was
16		16		really to do a technical review and ensure our
17	e ·	17		methodology was sound. Our third risk advisor, Sarah Fitzgerald, is an aeronautical
18	moved past just a very, very general quick	18		auvisor, Saran Pilzgeraiu, is an aeronautical

20

21

22

23

24

25 MS. FAGAN:

engineer and has a research background, and

two of our other risk advisors in our

Washington, DC office, Ms. Elaine

(Unintelligible), and Mr. Michael Roberts,

both coordinated and assisted with the

worker's survey.

overview, she then focused on aviation

oversight which is her area of expertise and

which is the subject matter of this inquiry.

think she's an expert in how all these oil

regulators operate. She is not. Ms. Turner,

So I wouldn't want people to be misled and to

can you please provide a brief summary of your

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24 MS. FAGAN:

Page 11

Q. Can you provide a review of the process 1 2 because what you're here to speak about are three reports? So what we're interested in, 3 as not only the people who created the 4 reports, but what was the process before they 5 were issued and provided to the Commissioner? 6 7 MS. TURNER:

A. Sure. I will cover this in a fair bit of detail as I walk through the three reports, but just as a high level summary, the first report we were asked to do was to conduct a helicopter safety passenger survey of the workers, and in order to undertake that work there was three key areas that we looked at.

First was looking at the survey distribution and how to actually administer the logistics of that survey. The second was actually the design and development of the survey itself and so we do have experience in survey development and the development and issuing of surveys is a key risk identification tool, so we've been using that quite extensively throughout our whole company's history. The third aspect was actually the compilation of the results and

Page 10

the publishing of that, and I'll speak when I get to that report in particular detail about that because we did take a very open transparent approach, and I'll explain the structure of that report.

Our second report was basically a research paper, as Ms. Fagan mentioned. It was an examination of selected regulatory frameworks around the world, and we did a tabletop review with publicly sourced information, and then we were able to draw some observations and synopsis from that. Our third report in organizational and safety culture was really based on the theories and concepts that are well known and accepted right across the aviation industry, and so we have taken that angle and approach in that work. The reports were all compiled with various consultants working on that. We then went through an internal review. They were signed off internally by our Chief Risk Officer, and then I released those to the Commission.

Page 9

have any appointments to any boards, and I'm

2 referring now to page 2 of the CV?

3 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes. I'm currently a Director of the 4 International Graduate School of Risk 5

Management, which is an education body 6 designed to conduct risk management training. 7

8 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Could you briefly review or just give us a 10 list of your qualifications and awards?

11 MS. TURNER:

12 A. Sure. My primary qualification is a certified practising risk manager's license which is 13 very similar to the CPA for accounts, but for 14 in a risk management discipline. I've also 15 16 held a number of appointments including an assessor on the CPRM Panel for the Risk 17 Management Institute of Australasia. I've 18 19 also held various senior lecturing positions at the post-graduate level at two universities 20 in Australia. I have published three books in 21 22 this field, aviation safety and risk 23 management, and have certainly developed and designed various safety management programs, 24 25 etc.

Page 12

1 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Could you give us an overview of some of the 3 products and services that your company has 4 provided and some of the clients who you have acted for as an expert?

6 MS TURNER:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 A. Sure. In essence, our organization conducts 8 three different areas of work or products and 9 services. Firstly, it's consultancy work. So working on a range of projects in the risk 10 11 management governance and aviation safety 12 field. So that may include developing 13 industry risk profiles, undertaking risk 14 assessments, designing and developing risk management frameworks, programs, and systems, 15 and really the implementation side of things. 16 17 So that's our consultancy area. 18

The second part of our organization is in education and training, and I would estimate approximately 40 percent of our work is in the training area and in terms of numbers, we conduct everything from short courses all the way through to post-graduate training and issue various qualifications in collaboration with higher education institute.

Q. From your own personal perspective, do you

Page 15

Page 16

The third aspect of our organization and 1 2 our business runs a program called the Aviation Safety Network. This was founded in 3 2003 and that's an ongoing implementation 4 program, a coaching and facilitation service 5 to work with organizations to implement and 6 7 enhance their risk and safety management programs. We currently have 23 organizations 8 in three countries that have been on that 9 10 program for a number of years, and in the last 18 months the Australian aviation regulator, 11 CASA, has actually picked up that model and 12 the Aviation Safety Network Program is 13 currently delivered to 47 percent of the 14 Australian aviation industry. 15

#### 16 MS. FAGAN:

- 17 Q. I asked a question about the client base, and now I've turned to page 4 of your CV, and it 18 takes up an entire page starting with the A's 19 and going to the Z's. So could you just 20 highlight a few of your clients that may be of 21 interest or relevance to this inquiry? 22
- 23 MS. TURNER:
- A. Sure. Over the course of the last 14 years 24 our organization has worked with over 260 25

Page 13

etc. CHC, as you know, is a fairly global 1

- 2 company and has a very large presence in Asia
- Pacific region, and members have attended our 3
- training courses down in Australia. More 4
- recently we've been working with Transport 5
- Canada and have conducted a number of safety 6
  - management system forums to train the
- regulators and inspectors 8 on the
- implementation of that philosophy and 10 practise.

## 11 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Okay, thank you. That would be all I would 12 like to ask Ms. Turner with respect to her 13 expertise and I would like to have her 14 declared an expert, however, the counsel for 15 16 the parties with standing may have some questions for Ms. Turner. 17

#### 18 COMMISSIONER:

3 COMMISSIONER:

6 MS. FAGAN:

19 Q. Yes, okay. Well, ladies and gentlemen, you've heard Ms. Turner. Are there any counsel for 20 the parties with standing who would like to 21 ask her any questions on her - further 22 questions on her expertise? No. All right 23 then, Ms. Fagan. 24

Q. I don't know if you need a formal declaration.

I think she's covered her area fairly well.

Q. Well, I did engage her as an expert, so I

Q. Thank you. We would now refer to the

PowerPoint presentation which is Exhibit 211,

and I understand that once the Registrar gets

the PowerPoint on the screen, Ms. Turner will

move the mouse and control the pace of the

Turner would you give us an overview of how

you're going to tackle the presentation of the

slides. So can we refer to Slide 2, and Ms.

guess I need only repeat that.

25 MS. FAGAN:

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

### Page 14

- organizations in 14 countries around the 1
- 2 world. So we really are a consultancy 3 specializing in this field. The client list
- 4
- that you see is just a selected client list 5
- and that was provided to give you a bit of an overview of the areas that in particular I've
- 6 7 been involved in. So you'll see that there's
- a fairly heavy military and defense background 8
- 9 there. I have worked on the design and
- development of risk management programs for 10
- 11 the Australian Defense Force, have advised the
- 12 Canadian Defense Forces, and we've just
- commenced our first contract with the US 13
- 14
  - Department of Defense.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A couple of other areas there you'll see in terms of other industry sectors that aren't necessarily aviation related, such as the Department Primary Industries and Integral Energy. Both of those organizations are from other industry sectors, but have aviation assets, and so my role in those projects has really been to look at aviation contract management on either side. You will see a few

familiar names there from the Canadian

industry such as Transport Canada, and CHC,

16 MS. TURNER: 17

three reports?

A. Sure. Thank you, Ms. Fagan. In terms of this presentation, I've got a little bit of a task 18 to undertake to cover three expert reports in 19 the time this morning. First of all, I thought 20 I'd cover our terms of reference just to 21 really clearly articulate what our scope of 22 work was. In terms of each report, I'll then 23 walk through each one one at a time. I'll 24

provide a background as to how we came to

 $\boldsymbol{Multi\text{-}Page}^{\text{TM}}$ June 28, 2010 Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry Page 17 Page 19 develop the report. I'll highlight some of that are being addressed by the Inquiry. 1 2 the key areas and key content in those reports 2 MS. FAGAN: that might be of interest to the public and Q. Now your terms of reference, for the record, 3 3 certainly to this inquiry, and throughout that they were assigned, I believe, on April 23rd, 4 4 I'll make some comments from my perspective as 2010, and what were you asked to do? 5 5 to what I believe those results mean. 6 MS. TURNER: 6 A. That is correct. These terms of reference 7 MS. FAGAN: 7 cover the development of the three expert 8 Q. So before you actually give us the terms of 8 reports that we were asked to undertake, and reference, can you describe your involvement 9 9 10 with the inquiry leading up to your assignment 10 in terms of the requirements, there was four because the terms of reference are dated April requirements in our terms of reference. 11 11 Firstly was to provide a written report 12 of 2010. 12 to the Commissioner, which included a 13 MS. TURNER: 13 passenger survey and a written report 14 A. Yes. 14 containing the tabulation of those results. 15 MS. FAGAN: 15 16 Q. However, you started in the fall or summer of The second report was a written report to 16 2009. Can you just give us a brief overview detail information on oil regulated regimes in 17 17 of what led up to the eventual terms of other areas of the world. The third report 18 18 was a report that contains an overview of best 19 reference? 19 practise in organizational and safety culture, 20 MS. TURNER: 20 and our fourth was a possible list of reading 21 A. Sure. In the summer of 2009, I was approached 21 by Inquiry Counsel and we met and discussed material that may be of interest to the 22 22 the opportunity to provide some consultancy 23 23 Inquiry. support to the Commissioner and to the 24 COMMISSIONER: 24 Inquiry, and we were retained around that Q. Just to interrupt for a moment, you're going 25 25 Page 18 Page 20 period in September last year. I did provide to control the - so you could bring that up 1 1 2 evidence to the Inquiry in November, 2009, and 2 really as people want to see it, you know. 3 covered a range of topics, but in essence 3 MS. TURNER:

looked at aviation governance and oversight, 4

5 also looking at the application of risk

7

8

11

12

18

22

23

management principles and techniques, and then 6

aviation contract management and safety

management systems. While I was here in St.

9 John's, I had the opportunity to have some

meetings with legal counsel from most of the 10

parties, including HMDC, Suncor, Husky, CAPP,

C-NLOPB, and I also had the chance to go and

spend about half a day out at Cougar 13

Helicopters inspecting their facilities, 14

understanding the scope of their safety 15

programs, and their assurance regimes. 16

17 In addition to that, I met with

representation from the unions and have met a 19 number of workers, both formally and

informally here in St. John's. Over the last

20 21 ten months, I have been fairly interactive and

have had a range of e-mail correspondence,

telephone meetings, etc, and so I do believe I

have a fairly good understanding of the 24

25

context of the industry here and the issues

A. Yes.

5 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Now we are, I believe, ready to get into the 6

first report, is that where we're going? 7

8 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes.

10 MS. FAGAN:

11 Q. Okay. The slide that you just skipped over was the team, and I believe we've covered the 12 team and all the various members that went 13 into the reports through the examination on 14 expertise. As we go through each report, you 15 may draw some more information on how these 16 various people played a role in developing the 17 reports. So the first report that we're going 18 19 to deal with is the passenger survey. 20 MS. TURNER:

A. Uh-hm. 21

22 MS. FAGAN:

Q. We're then going to go through the review of 23 the selected offshore petroleum regulatory 24 regimes, and the last report that we'll cover 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21 Page 23 is the one on organization and safety culture. here and people's access to the internet and 1 1 2 So the survey report, which I believe is -2 also contact details, we had a lot of well, it's the larger report, in any event, discussion with the Inquiry and with the 3 3 from pure paper. I can't say, and you'll have parties with interest, and certainly from our 4 4 to speak to which one took the most work. You perspective made a decision to issue the 5 5 6 can now start with what you want to tell us survey in hard copy. Now the survey was a 6 7 about how the survey - I believe we should four page survey, as you can see here. Each 7 start with the survey itself. survey was actually numbered and had a serial 8 8 number and was printed in colour. Now in 9 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yes, thank you. I thought I might just give 10 terms of how to distribute this to the you a brief overview of how we actually workers, after consultation with the various 11 11 distributed the survey. Then I'll come to the 12 12 parties, and given that there was an actual structure and the development, and then 13 acknowledgement that this could be undertaken, 13 we'll spend the majority of our time really we identified that the check-in area at Cougar 14 14 looking at some of the key results that may be was the best place as it as a single gateway 15 15 16 of interest. 16 of the workers travelling to and from the installations to actually issue the survey. First of all, can I just say I was 17 17 absolutely blown away with the response from In terms of how that was undertaken, there was 18 18 the workers. We had 991 workers respond to letters provided to inform the workers from 19 19 the survey in just over a six week period. their employer that the survey was to take 20 20 That is a very, very large response rate for place. The main reason for that was to 21 21 any survey, and I really believe it gives us validate the legitimacy of this activity, and 22 22 an excellent foundation for these results to the Commissioner released a letter, an 23 23 really communicate the views of the workers in individual letter to the workers that was 24 24 the various areas. So I'd just like to thank placed with the survey in an envelope and 25 25 Page 22 Page 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody for taking the time to do that. In terms of the three reports, they really do fall into the topics of aviation safety and risk management, but have specific application in some sub-topics that I will cover.

In terms of the worker's survey, it really was designed to gauge the views of the workers, their perceptions, their concerns, their areas of interest, and certainly some ideas for improvement, and it does give us a snapshot as to the culture at a particular point in time. In terms of the worker's survey itself and the distribution, in looking at the timelines, we chose a period of six weeks as that was representative of a normal cycle of travelling offshore and we felt that that would give us the maximum coverage to cover the most amount of people in that time.

In terms of how it was distributed, ideally with surveys in this modern day and age, the best way is actually to distribute them electronically, get everybody's e-mail addresses, send them out, and then you've got validation as to exactly who has undertaken a survey. Just given the context and the setup

provided and given out by hand as the passengers checked in at the heliport.

The survey itself takes about approximately 10 to 15 minutes to complete and the workers were asked to arrive at the heliport approximately ten minutes early so they could undertake this activity. When the workers came to the check-in area, they were provided with the envelope, with the Commissioner's letter, and with the survey itself. Pens and clipboards were out there and what we did is we placed a secure locked box at the heliport so that on the completion of the survey the workers could drop their survey, put it in a sealed envelope, and actually pop it in that secured box. We then had an Inquiry's representative clear that box regularly which happened in the early days in a daily basis, and then later in the six week period approximately every two days or so.

One of the other things to note, given that we were anticipating 1000 to 1500 surveys to be distributed, they were actually drip fed to the Cougar check-in staff so that there wasn't a large box of unsecured surveys

Page 25 Page 27 such as you've mentioned, discussion sitting there, and so we actually did have 1 2 visibility of the actual volume and numbers of 2 MS. TURNER: surveys going through. When I get to the 3 A. Uh-hm. 3 report itself, I will cover a couple of 4 4 MS. FAGAN: limitations because obviously if you're on the Q. You know, it was a free survey, there was 5 5 very early flight of the day, getting to the nothing to stop somebody from discussing it 6 6 7 heliport ten minutes early, you probably may with other workers or with family members 7 or may not be as motivated to fill in a survey either before or after they completed it or 8 8 as those that arrive at 10 or 11 o'clock in while they were completing it. 10 the day, and we did see a bit of a dip in the 10 MS. TURNER: response rate for various factors such as A. Yes, sure. 11 11 12 12 MS. FAGAN: A couple of the areas of integrity that Q. So what observations, if any, and who attended 13 the heliport on Aerosafe's behalf? really needed to be maintained was firstly to 14 14 do the best possible to ensure that the 15 MS. TURNER: 15 16 workers only received one survey, and we 16 A. Sure. Part way through the survey really did intrust that into the distribution distribution at about week four, our Chief 17 17 process. Where there was cancelled flights or Risk Officer, Michael Barron, actually came to 18 18 delayed flights due to weather and people had 19 19 St. John's and conducted some observations of already been issued with the survey, the the survey distribution process, and he was 20 20 actual number of surveys provided to the very satisfied that the process ran very 21 21 smoothly. His observations when he came back 22 check-in staff took that into account. It is 22 a voluntary survey and although it's ideal to here to brief myself and the Commissioner were 23 23 ask people to fill it in individually, we very positive. He mentioned that the workers 24 24 recognized that some people may have had a were all quite engaged and enthusiastic with 25 25 Page 26 Page 28 discussion or really talked through some of the process, people took the survey, filled it 1 1 in quietly and handed that survey back in. So 2 the issues, but certainly from my perspective, 2 I'm not concerned that that jeopardized the Michael actually reviewed that process over a 3 3 integrity of the survey, given that this has couple of different shifts, from the early 4 4 5 been a very, very open topic for the last six 5 flight on a couple of days here in St. John's. to twelve months. So the report - sorry, the Early on in the first four days, the 6 6 7 surveys themselves were then collected, they 7 Inquiry also had another representative out were couriered to our office in Washington, there to really get the process moving and 8 8 9 DC. Upon receipt, they were registered, administer that and received some good 9 opened, serial numbers marked, and then the feedback there as well. 10 10 results collated by our two risk advisors 11 11 MS. FAGAN: there in DC. Q. Thank you. Once the -- the distribution was 12 12 one of the issues. You mentioned that the oil 13 MS. FAGAN: 13 Q. Were envelopes provided with the survey and companies or oil operators provided letters or 14 14 15 letter? notice. 15 16 MS. TURNER: 16 MS. TURNER: 17 A. Yes, they were. So as you can see, an 17 A. Uh-hm. envelope to put the survey in after it was 18 18 MS. FAGAN: 19 complete to actually keep it confidential and Q. To the workers about the survey; one, to 19 private so that the workers had confidence confirm it was legitimate. 20 20 that it was the survey collators that would be 21 21 MS. TURNER: seeing that was actually issued. 22 A. Yes. 23 MS. FAGAN: 23 MS. FAGAN: Q. Did you have any of your staff attend at the 24 24 Q. The other to encourage involvement and to show Cougar heliport to observe the activities, up at the heliport ten minutes early so that

25

June 28, 2010	Multi-Page "	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 29	Page 31
there would be enough time to complete i	-	took that into account to really base the
those letters or notices that each of the oil	2	survey on the structure and the content to
3 operators sent, are they contained within y	our 3	cover off on a number of those topics, and
4 report?	4	that issues list is posted on the Inquiry's
5 MS. TURNER:	5	website. So that was our initial starting
6 A. Yes, they are. We had included those as	an 6	point. Following that, you'll see with the
7 appendix to the survey report, so that the	e 7	survey itself there are four parts of the
8 whole workforce regardless of wha	ıt 8	survey. Part I is the demographic
9 organization they worked with could actu	ally 9	information. Most surveys have that, and
see the notices from the parties.	10	we're looking at age, gender, job role, etc.
11 MS. FAGAN:	11	The second part was focused around helicopter
12 Q. Okay.	12	operations themselves and a few questions
13 MS. TURNER:	13	around confidence in the safety of helicopter
14 A. Sorry, one other thing. Given that it was	I	passenger transportation, and there is
six week period, we did acknowledge that		Question 7 through to 21 in Part II, and that
would be a group of people that may not t		goes get into some of the technical aspects
through the heliport over that period, and		such as equipment, training, flight briefing,
the notices there was an opportunity fo		and confidence and a feeling of confidence to
people to contact us direct to complete the		travel.
survey. We had approximately 15 inqui		The third part was really delving into
that came direct to our e-mail account or o		elements that give indicators around the
phone number in DC requesting that, and		safety culture and I will talk to that
surveys in those instances were post to the		particularly when I reference report three
employee and were returned and the ser		around organizational and safety culture. So
25 numbers validated. So a small percentag	e of 25	we selected a number of questions to just
	Page 30	Page 32
1 people that missed out with that process the	nat 1	gauge the level of knowledge, understanding,
2 still undertook the survey.	2	awareness, in various topics under that safety
3 COMMISSIONER:	3	culture aspect and you'll see Question 22
4 Q. You haven't mentioned, but I think it i		through to 34 around that. Now the first 34
5 correct that all blank copies of the survey	y 5	questions of the survey were check boxes and
6 had a differing serial number?	6	were either "yes" or "no" questions, or
7 MS. TURNER:	7	provided the participant the opportunity to
8 A. That is correct.	8	pick something on a scale of 1 to 5.
9 MS. FAGAN:	9	Obviously, that provides us good stats
10 Q. The survey itself, the questions, can you t		and data, but it doesn't necessarily give the
us how you came up with the questions		workers the opportunity to put their thoughts
mean, I think we've covered how it w		and specific comments forward, and so Question
distributed. There were 36 questions?	13	35 and 36 were designed as open free text to
14 MS. TURNER:	14	allow the workers to highlight any particular
15 A. That's correct.	15	concerns that they had. So Question 35 asked
16 MS. FAGAN:	16	the survey participants to list their top
17 Q. So what - how did you come up with then		three concerns in relation to helicopter
18 MS. TURNER:	18	transportation, and in the survey we thought
19 A. Sure.	19	it was important to really capture the
20 MS. FAGAN:	20	thoughts and the ideas of any opportunities
21 Q. What information went into it?	21	for improvement or good ideas about how things
22 MS. TURNER:	22	could be potentially fixed, enhanced, or improved. So Question 36 asked "What
A. Our first starting point was within a coup of days of the release of the Commission		improvements would you like to see in
of days of the release of the Commission issues list, we examined that issues list,	er's 24 25	improvements would you like to see in helicopter operations", and we had
155005 Hot, we examined that issues Hot,	23	nencopier operations, and we had

June 28, 2010	Mulu-Pa	ge Onshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 33	Page 35
approximately 2000 open field respons	se in 1	to the workers prior to them embarking on
2 Question 35 and 36. So that really does	give 2	actually giving their answers. So before we
you an indication as to the level of intere	est 3	get their answers, I'd like to know what was
4 in this whole area.	4	said to them.
5 MS. FAGAN:	5 1	MS. TURNER:
6 Q. When you were deciding on the structure	e of the 6	A. Thanks. This letter is in the leading pages
7 survey, have you conducted surveys in th	ne past   7	of the survey report, and it was dated March
8 or was there a methodology or a reason	- I 8	30th, 2010. The letter reads, "Dear
9 mean, was this deliberate or did you just	come 9	helicopter passenger; As part of the Inquiry
up with it?	10	process, I have engaged a company called
11 MS. TURNER:	11	Aerosafe Risk Management to conduct a survey
12 A. Yes, sure. I guess there's a bit of a	12	of offshore worker's opinions on helicopter
methodology and theory of how you	build 13	travel safety issues. This survey will be
surveys to ask similar questions in differ	ent 14	conducted over a six week period commencing in
ways to validate the answer, and I'll take	you 15	early April. The oil operators and Cougar
through a few of those as I talk through	the 16	have agreed that the survey may be conducted
results. So firstly we looked at the list of	f   17	as the helicopter passengers prepare to take
the Inquiry's issues list. We then develo	ped 18	flights to the offshore installations. When
that structure into four parts. Then drilling	ıg   19	you check in at Cougar Helicopters you will be
down into some standard questions that	are 20	given an envelope containing the survey and a
21 asked quite consistently across the varie	ous 21	cover letter from me. The survey can be
22 brackets. Obviously, the helicopter	r 22	completed in about ten minutes. Once the
23 transportation was designed predomin	antly 23	survey is complete, it can be placed in a
using the issues list. We did validate that	at 24	secure box at Cougar's heliport. It is
25 through a number of other worker survey	s that 25	important for the Inquiry to have your
	Page 34	Page 36
1 had been conducted in the 1996 and 2000	0 period 1	cooperation in the survey because it is
2 in offshore safety areas, and also aviation	_	important that I know what you think. Yours
3 safety culture surveys have been very, v	very 3	sincerely, Robert Wells, Commissioner."
4 big and prominent in the aviation industr	7	MS. FAGAN:
5 the last 20 years, so we did leverage off s	some 5	Q. Thank you. Now you mentioned there were some
6 of that work conducted in the past.	6	assumptions and limitations. Have you fully
7 Finally, with that I did bring the draft	7	covered that topic? Because I think the next
8 survey back to the Commissioner and ha	d a good   8	thing is what were the responses.
9 discussion about whether or not the pitch	n, the 9 1	MS. TURNER:
tone, and the areas of focus really wou	ıld 10	A. Sure. In terms of any survey that is
deliver on the areas that the Commission	er was 11	voluntary, one of the assumptions and
interested in, and he was satisfied with t	he   12	limitations is that people will fill it in to
structure of the survey.	13	the best of their ability and will provide
14 MS. FAGAN:	14	true and accurate information. The fact that
15 Q. Before we moved into the - one area is	the 15	we received just under one thousand surveys
limitations, but you have mentioned	the 16	really does give us a very solid sample base,
17 Commissioner, and this was something	that he 17	as opposed to maybe only surveying 100
asked you to do. So in addition to the		workers, and so as I talk through the results,
notices from the oil operators, which		you'll see some validation of topics that were
20 contained in the report, I also understand	<b>I</b>	already covered in the Inquiry, certainly
letter you refer to, the Commissioner's le		validation of areas of concern and some
22 which was addressed to the workers, I v	<b>I</b>	interesting results that I'll draw your
like you to please refer to that letter and		attention to that may be worth considering in
read it because I think that best speaks t		further detail.
25 why this was done, and that was what wa	as said 25	In terms of a chance for duplication, I

Page 37 Page 39 believe that through the surveys being issued create a certain message. For every positive 1 1 2 in hard copy, the serial numbers, the 2 focal point I'll bring out, there'll be those distribution process, we did reduce the risk that have concern and for every question where 3 3 of having people fill in that survey twice. I focus on those that concern, there are 4 4 Obviously, you know, there is probably a certainly those that have confidence in the 5 5 6 handful of people that may have travelled to system and so I'll try and be quite balanced 6 7 and from the installations in that period a in the approach, but certainly the report 7 number of times, but one of the observations needs to be read in its entirety. 8 8 that was made by both the Inquiry's 9 9 MS. FAGAN: 10 representative and our chief risk officer Q. The results themselves, they were compiled at 10 whilst down at the heliport was if people had your office in Washington, D.C.? 11 11 completed it, it was "no, thanks, I've already 12 12 MS. TURNER: done that" and certainly I'm confident with 13 A. That's correct. the results that we've had. 14 14 MS. FAGAN: The other thing to note in the Q. So just to finish and round out the creation 15 15 assumptions and limitations, in looking at the 16 16 of the report, as I understand it, once the numbers of workers offshore, we had a lot of Inquiry representative collected the sealed 17 17 envelopes from the secure box, they were discussion about how many surveys really 18 18 needed to be distributed. In the early days, couriered to your office in Washington, D.C.? 19 19 we were talking that there was approximately 20 MS. TURNER: 20 12 to 1300 workers offshore and the C-NLOPB 21 21 A. That's correct. 22 provided, just prior to the release of the 22 MS. FAGAN: survey, validation that there is approximately 23 23 Q. That's where they were opened? 1800 positions on those installations out 24 MS. TURNER: 24 there at the time. So that affects the actual 25 A. Correct. Page 38 Page 40 response rate or percentage. 1 MS. FAGAN: 1 2 MS. FAGAN: Q. And then the results were keyed into a Q. I believe now you should be at slide seven, computer? 3 3 okay. So we're going to get into the survey 4 MS. TURNER: 4 5 itself. What do you want to tell us about A. That's right. what's on this slide? 6 6 MS. FAGAN: 7 MS. TURNER: 7 Q. That's what generated all these pie charts and graphs. Is that correct? A. Sure. So just to recap, we received 991 8 8 survey responses, which is a great result. 9 MS. TURNER: 9 The survey was distributed over a six-week A. That's correct. So the report data entry and 10 10 compilation was conducted in Washington, D.C. 11 period, so a fairly short turnaround time in 11 All of the original survey reports are still that. I have covered the process for 12 12 distribution and collection and throughout the stored and registered in our office and then, 13 13 report, certainly in those leading pages, Sarah Fitzgerald and Michael Barron and myself 14 14 you'll see some explanation around the actually worked on the actual compilation of 15 15 integrity and survey objectives, et cetera. the report itself. 16 16 As I talk you through this, I'd love to spend 17 17 MS. FAGAN: the time to actually go through every single Q. Could you pull up the report now, which I 18 18 19 question and every single answer, but what I 19 believe is Exhibit 208? And as Ms. Turner have done, just in the interest of time is indicated, we're not going to go through every 20 20 question. It's on the website. People can I'll just draw our attention to a number of 21 21 read the survey themselves. We're just going those different aspects, and what I would ask

22

23

24

25

to have a snapshot and cover a few of the

questions to get a sense of what some of the

key issues and concerns were, and there were

is that you keep what I say in perspective

because I think we all know with surveys, you

can put an emphasis on the data to actually

22

23

24

June 28, 2010	Multi-P	Page	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
P	age 41		Page 43
some positives. It was a very balanced		1	every six to eight weeks. You'll see there
2 approach.	2	2	that there's still quite a large percentage,
3 MS. TURNER:	3	3	90 people responded that they conduct over 12
4 A. Absolutely.		4	trips a year. So you can just see the spread
5 MS. FAGAN:	4	5	three of frequency of travel.
6 Q. As you say, for every one who had confiden	nce,	6 MS	S. FAGAN:
7 there was somebody who didn't.		7	Q. Now can you move to part two and highlight
8 MS. TURNER:	8	8	some of the keys points?
9 A. That's right. Well, just to start off with,	Ģ	9 MS	S. TURNER:
based on 1800 workers being offshore at th	ne 10	0	A. Sure. Part two, as I mentioned, was really
time of the survey and the 991 participants,	, 11	1	designed to focus on the helicopter operations
that's a survey response rate of 55 percent.	12	2	itself and it is important to note that after
13 Interestingly enough, if we're looking at 120	00 13	3	any organization experiences an accident,
workers being offshore at the time and we	e 14	4	there certainly is a change in the level of
understand that the numbers of workers	15	5	confidence and perception around safety and
fluctuates depending on the activity out	16	6	this set of questions was really designed to
there, based on 1200, that would give us a	17	7	gauge that level of confidence, capture
response rate of 83 percent. So it really	18	8	concerns or areas of interest. So part two,
does depend on how you'd like to look at th	he 19	9	it is important with any type of survey, you
survey, but overall, just on 1,000 people or	20	0	really are getting people's opinions and some
just under is very very good in a six-week	21	1	of these issues will be real and others will
22 period.	22	2	be perceived, but the thing that is important
For ease of moving through the results,	23	3	to note that even perception should be
you'll find that I may just round up or down	n, 24	4	addressed and actioned as much as reality.
just to move quite quickly, and in terms of	25	5	Overall the results are fairly balanced,
P	age 42		Page 44
part one, looking at the general information,	1	1	and I'll just take you through page 19,
2 the demographics, in terms of the 1,000	2	2	Question 7. This was the first question that
workers, approximately 800 of those were as	ge 3	3	was asked in part two around helicopter
between 35 and 59. 96 percent of the survey	y 2	4	operations. The question reads "what is your
5 respondents were male and out of the survey	y 5	5	level what is your confidence level in
6 respondents, 750 of those classified	(	6	respect to the safety of helicopter
7 themselves in the worker category. 154 as a		7	transportation?" and you can just see by the
8 supervisor or in supervisory and the remainir	ng 8	8	bar charts there the normal distribution bell
9 100 in other categories. So a fairly large	Ģ	9	curve that you see in survey responses. 37
percentage of workers, 150 plus supervisors.		0	percent of people indicated an overall
and then 100 other, which is a fairly balanced	d 11	1	confidence in helicopter travel safety by
response there as well.	12	2	rating it a four or a five on a one to five
Moving to page 17 on Question 5, I	13	3	scale. However, 35 percent of people
thought this was worthy of note to really put		4	indicated the middle bracket and 27 percent of
some context and perspective around the		5	respondents scored a one or two out of five,
discussions that will take place over the next			which indicated the not confident aspect. So
few days. Approximately 650 make around s	seven 17	7	you can really see a fairly balanced spread of

few days. Approximately 650 make around seven to nine trips offshore per year and so we took a trip to actually be being on duty to go out to work and so we could look at the trip there and back, or as we know, the helicopters do jump from installation to installation and so in terms of this question, it was really how

many trips to the rig or platform do you make.

So seven to nine, on average, you know, once

you'll see a very mirror image response in terms of the results to Question 7.

Question 8 is similar in its intent and

those that are confident, those that are in

they are not confident.

the middle and those that have indicated that

24 MS. FAGAN:

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. So would this be one of these questions where

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 45 Page 47 it's the same idea but asked two different I will just draw our attention to page 1 20, Question 10. Page 20, Question 10, this 2 ways? 2 actually asks survey respondents to indicate 3 MS. TURNER: 3 A. Yeah, absolutely, and one was about confidence whether they've noticed any safety 4 improvements or changes since the accident and the other one was about how people felt 5 5 itself and this was quite encouraging, and so it really is worthy of note, if you 6 6 7 have 300 of your employees saying that they percent of people said that they had actually 7 feel unsafe or they feel -- they believe that noticed an improvement in safety, and so these 8 8 they're not confident, there's ways to results do need to be looked at, in terms of 9 9 10 actually address those issues that are raised 10 the confidence rating back in Question 7 or 8 in the part 30 -- Question 36 with those and it would have been interesting to have 11 11 asked Question 7 or 8 directly after the 12 answers. 12 accident and whether or not the safety 13 MS. FAGAN: 13 improvements have actually increased Q. And 36 is the ways for improvement? 14 14 confidence there as well. 15 MS. TURNER: 15 16 A. Absolutely. 16 I will just go back one question to Question 9 and I do know that the media picked 17 MS. FAGAN: 17 up on this question out of the 36 questions Q. So not only -- there's an indication, you 18 18 when the survey report was released a number know, that there is a group that could have --19 19 of weeks ago. "Would you" -- the question you know, could help with the confidence and 20 20 in the survey, they've also provided a way of reads, at Question 9, "would you prefer to 21 21 improving that situation? travel to the rig or platform by an alternate 22 22 means of transport, for example, by boat?" and 23 MS. TURNER: 23 again, you can see a fairly balanced response. A. Yes, that's correct. In terms of the context, 24 24 37 percent answered yes, 38 percent answered it's also important to draw attention to the 25 25 Page 48 Page 46 timing of this survey and it being nearly 12 no, so almost exactly the same, and 21 percent 1 1 months from the actual experience of the were undecided, and certainly this question 2 2 3 accident itself, and so this does demonstrate wasn't put in to be a motive or to drive down 3 any path, but I note in the early days, it 4 the residual confidence level at this point in 4 5 time. 5 certainly was a topic of interest of whether 6 MS. FAGAN: or not an alternate means of transport needed 6 Q. Also, would one of the factors perhaps be that 7 7 to be considered. the Transportation Safety Board has not Moving on to the next bracket of 8 8 9 released its report yet on the cause of the questions, Question 11 to 15, this bracket of 9 accident? So perhaps there's a little -questions really is focused around emergency 10 10 there may be a little uncertainty because some procedures and I won't go into that in too 11 11 of the issues haven't fully -- I guess fully much detail, but as a general rule of thumb, 12 12 resolved or fully worked its way through the two-thirds of people felt that they could 13 13 system. Would that have an impact? respond to an emergency situation fairly 14 14 confidently and in terms of training and the 15 MS. TURNER: 15 A. Yeah, I think that is a fair assumption. In frequency of that training, there were 16 16 questions asked along those lines that terms of confidence and feeling, the biggest 17 17 thing that can combat a lack of confidence is validate the evidence that has already been 18 18 19 communication of information. So whether 19 heard by the Inquiry in terms of training that's the TSB's report on the technical being received every three years. 20 20 details of the accident itself or whether it's Moving on to Question 16 to 20, this 21 21

22

23

24

25

bracket of questions is predominantly focused

around HUET training, which is the helicopter

underwater escape training, and this has been

a topic of huge interest right across the

with that information.

22

23

24

25

just an understanding and familiar -- being

familiar with helicopter operations and how

that works can certainly provide confidence

Page 49 Page 51 Inquiry and certainly these results validate 1 1 MS. TURNER: that topic of interest. So just moving to 2 A. Yeah, that's right, and I'll just take us to page 25 and Question 17, you'll see here that that and maybe we can work through some of 3 3 the question asked was "how effective is the those top frequency answers because it really 4 4 HUET training?" and the bell curve is actually does provide a validation as to some of these 5 5 skewed to the higher level in terms of the 6 checkboxes and the collection of the stats. 6 four and five, in terms of very effective, and 7 Now one of the decisions we made in compiling 7 so you'll see 54 percent of passengers have this survey was to actually transcribe every 8 8 actually indicated that they find that that's single written response and place that in an 9 10 effective training. 10 annex or an appendix to the survey report. In this bracket of questions following on Now although it has bolstered out, as you say 11 11 from the HUET and the training, we asked a Ms. Fagan, to a very lengthy report, we 12 12 number of questions, for example Question 19, thought that that was really important so that 13 13 around the suits. This has been another very workers could, number one, have confidence 14 14 large area of interest and concern and 15 that the results weren't doctored or weren't 15 16 Question 19 asked "do you have any concerns 16 summarized to the point where their comments with your survival suit?" So moving to page were lost, and secondly, to give people the 17 17 26, Question 19, you'll see that unlike some raw data so that as you maybe cruise through 18 18 of the other questions that have a normal bell the information, it really does give you a 19 19 feel for the topics of concern. So those 204 curve shape, this is a little bit more flat in 20 20 its response and so you'll see that in terms responses around suits, some people clearly 21 21 of these results, 34 percent of respondents, just wrote suits, where others actually wrote 22 22 if we're looking at number one and two, suits, discomfort, zippers, size, you know, et 23 23 indicate that they're not concerned, but then cetera. So some people actually went into a 24 24 we have a similar amount of people, 38 further explanation. Now there are quite a 25 25 Page 50 Page 52 percent, that indicate that they are. Now number of pages, both on the areas of concern 1 1 and the areas of interest, but it's really 2 these results were actually validated by the 2 3 open answer responses, where we received 204 excellent information that really does provide 3 individual comments on suits themselves, and the view of the workforce at this snapshot in 4 4 5 that was the highest rating frequency in terms 5 time. of response. So again, you can get a really 6 MS. FAGAN: 6 good feel as to where the areas of interest or 7 7 Q. Now is there anything else in this section that's -- it's all worthy, but what you would 8 concern are with the workers. 8 like to point out? 9 MS. FAGAN: 9 Q. So when you say the highest frequency, the 10 MS. TURNER: 10 open-ended question was designed to just list 11 11 A. Yes. your concerns? 12 12 MS. FAGAN: Q. This is just an overview. Everybody can read 13 MS. TURNER: 13 A. Yes. the entire report. 14 14 15 MS. TURNER: Q. And then you recorded all the raw date of A. Yes, sure. One of the last areas of note in 16 16 everything that was said? this part two is we've asked throughout the 17 17 survey, a couple of questions around access to 18 MS. TURNER: 18 A. Um-hm. information and communication and on page 27, 19 Question 21, this question was asked to ask 20 MS. FAGAN: 20 the workers "when you're travelling by Q. And if -- are you saying that suits sort of 21 21 topped the chart as far as from a discussion helicopter, how satisfied are you that you get 22 22 the right amount of information regarding perspective, either concerned or whatever, but 23 23 it was the area where all the comment -- the helicopter operations?" and as you can see 24 24

25

here, almost 400 people said that they were

most comments were received?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ju	ne 28, 2010	Multi-l	Page <sup>™</sup>	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	P	age 53		Page 55
1	satisfied, yet approximately 300 people		1	adequate level of overseeing of safety, safety
2	indicated that they were not satisfied and		2	oversight for helicopter transportation?" and
3	they're fairly large numbers and as you can	ı	3	you'll see here that 48 percent of respondents
4	see, you can go either way, but if 300 of you	ır	4	have said yes, they believe there is an
5	workers are saying that they're not satisfied		5	adequate level of safety oversight. 26
6	that they're getting enough information, wel	1	6	percent have said no, and 24 percent have said
7	that's certainly something that can be		7	that they don't know or they're unsure. The
8	addressed and be addressed fairly easily. The	e	8	thing that really peaked my interest in this
9	type of information is still open for		9	was the 24 percent that said that they didn't
10	discussion, but that does give you a good	1	0	know, and I guess I put that down to a couple
11	indication and you certainly can't ignore 30	1	.1	of reasons, either one, because people may not
12	percent of your workforce.	1	2	have knowledge as to what safety oversight
13	MS. FAGAN:	1	.3	activities are undertaken or that they
14	Q. Okay. Can you now move on to part three	e, 1	.4	actually don't know what safety oversight is,
15	which I believe you indicated dealt with	1	.5	and so I think that's really important to keep
16	safety culture or at least gave some	1	.6	in perspective in looking at these results.
17	indications of culture?	1	.7	Again, communication or education on what
18	MS. TURNER:	1	.8	safety oversight is could possibly reduce that
19	A. Yes. In part three, I just wanted to make a	1	.9	24 percent of those that are indicating here
20	few comments before we start. In terms of	f 2	20	that they are unsure or don't know.
21	culture, and this is explored in our third	2	21	The topic of safety oversight,
22	paper, some people believe that it's quite a	2	22	particularly in the aviation industry, is an
23	simplistic topic where it's just the way	2	23	extremely defined discipline. It actually
24	things are done around here, but there	2	24	outlines structures, accountabilities, safety
25	certainly is a depth of theory about how you	1 2	25	assurance, assurance regimes, compliance, the
	Pa	age 54		Page 56

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

define a culture, the traits and characteristics of behaviour, values, how people make decisions, et cetera. In this part three, we just asked a number of questions that touched on a few different aspects around reporting, about their view on culture, around whether people felt comfortable to highlight areas of concern, and you'll see a handful of questions asked around the structures of their safety management systems and risk management and really the organization's policies around these topics and whether there's an understanding and an awareness around those things.

The culture paper, as I mentioned, does go through these accepted theories and provides a grade of maturity. We have not done an analysis on where this industry fits in terms of its cultural maturity, however, the information is provided so that maybe that work can be undertaken at a future stage.

If I can take you to page 28? Page 28, Question 22, this was one of the responses that really peaked my interest and the question reads "do you believe there is an

management of risk. So I just put that out because some people may or may not be aware of that discipline, but it's certainly an area of growing interest and focus globally in every industry is the issue of oversight.

Okay, the next question that I'd like to draw your attention to is on page 29. Page 29, Question 25. This question reads "do you consider your organization or employer to have an open reporting culture?" Now the reason why this question was actually asked is in culture, one of the key measures or traits or characteristics is all about the openness of reporting, and I'll talk to that when we get to paper number three, and you'll see here again, the bell curve is slightly skewered to that higher end that it's an open reporting culture, but there are those that believe that it is closed. So 40 people, so a small percentage indicated a one. 122 indicated a two on that scale. But then there is a large percentage of people that indicated that they believed it was open.

The next set of questions in this part three of the report cover safety management

Jun	ne 28, 2010 Mult	ti-Page '	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 57	7	Page 59
1	system and again, highlight a few other areas	1	transportation?" and I found these results of
2	around reporting. In terms of the safety	2	particular interest. We had 24 percent say
3	management system, a question was asked "does	3	yes, 22 percent say no, and 51 or 52 percent
4	your organization have a safety management	4	say that they didn't know or were unsure.
5	system in place?" A high percentage of the	5	In order to validate this question, on
6	respondents actually indicated that they were	6	the next page, page 33, Question 32 then asked
7	aware that the safety management system is in	7	the question "have you seen a copy of the risk
8	place. 59 percent of those people indicated	8	assessment on helicopter transportation?" So
9	they had received training and 55 percent said	9	you can see the flow of question. Does your
10	they regularly use their safety management	10	organization undertake risk assessments? Does
11	system. So again, you can see these questions	11	your organization have a risk assessment on
12	were asked to validate people's understanding	12	helicopter transportation, and have you seen a
13	of the policies, processes and application of	13	copy? And so you'll see here that 76 percent
14	practice, which in turn correlates with some	14	were unanswered or invalid. 10 percent said
15	of the cultural traits and characteristics	15	yes, they had seen a copy. 12 percent said
16	around behaviour.	16	they hadn't seen a copy and two percent said
17 N	MS. FAGAN:	17	they didn't know.
18	Q. Now safety management system, do you know if	18	This is an area I just wanted to draw
19	these responses were in connection with a	19	comment on because I guess we all recognize
20	safety management system generally or for	20	that there is a range of different risk
21	helicopters in particular?	21	assessments that can take place, from the risk
22 N	MS. TURNER:	22	assessment undertaken on the procurement of a
23	A. My assumption, given the questions asked	23	helicopter all the way through to quantitative
24	around their organization or their employer,	24	assessments on helicopter reliability rates,
25	would be the safety management system	25	right through to the engagement of a
	Page 58	3	Page 60
1	generally, and as opposed to the aviation	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	contractor in helicopter to undertake
2	safety management system that is in place at	2	helicopter activities, all the way through to
3	Cougar.	3	operational risk management and the risks
4 1	MS. FAGAN:	4	associated with loading passengers onto an
5	Q. Okay, thank you.	5	aircraft, escape, emergency procedures,
1	MS. TURNER:	6	communication, landing, taking off, et cetera,
7	A. The next bracket of questions in this part	7	and so I just really wanted to draw our
8	three relate to risk assessment and I'll just	8	attention to that there is this continuum or
9	draw your attention to page 32, Question 30,	9	style of context. We didn't define in these
10	and there's three questions I'd like to walk	10	questions exactly where the questions sat on
11	us through here in sequence. In this	11	that continuum and it may be an area of
12	question, it asks "does your organization or	12	further exploration.
13	employer do risk assessments?" So as in line	13	In terms of the use of operational risk
14	with the last question, the intent of this was	14	management, and I just wanted to coin that
15	really general question about "does your	15	term. Operational risk management or ORM is a
16	organization use the risk management process	16	fairly standard term used in the aviation
17	to undertake these type of assessments?" A	17	industry associated with a dedicated risk
18	very, very high response rate. 90 percent of	18	assessment around the task profile or the
19	respondents indicated that their organization	19	mission profile. For example, flying to and
20	did undertake risk assessments.	20	from an oil rig, conducting a public relations
21	The next question, Question 31 on the	21	flight, conducting a training site or
22	same page, then brings our attention more into	22	maintenance test flight. So the use of
	the risk assessments on helicenter energical	22	operational misks assessments on profiles is

24

25

operational risk assessments or profiles is

actually a standard tool and we weren't able to validate whether the ten percent of people

23

24

25

the risk assessments on helicopter operation.

employer have a risk assessment on helicopter

So it reads "does your organization or

Multi-Page TM June 28, 2010 Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry Page 61 Page 63 saying in the survey that they've seen a copy 1 1 MS. TURNER: 2 of the risk assessment, whether it was that A. Yes. type or whether it was something different. 3 MS. FAGAN: 3 4 MS. FAGAN: Q. This is not a survey of the workers at Cougar Q. Now there is a large number that unanswered or Helicopters or at an aviation company. 5 5 invalid. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 MS. TURNER: A. Yes. A. Um-hm. 8 MS. FAGAN: 9 MS. FAGAN: Q. So would you expect workers to know about the Q. Can you explain what that would mean? 10 helicopter component or the helicopter risk 10 assessment? 11 MS. TURNER: 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 MS. TURNER: 13 MS. FAGAN: A. Yeah, that's a really good question, and we do 13 have experience in working with other industry 14 Q. Because in almost all of the questions, there 14 might be, you know, one percent or half a sectors that use or contract aviation assets, 15 15 16 percent that would fall into the invalid. 16 be that fixed wing or rotary, to undertake a 17 MS. TURNER: specific task. So the mining industry uses 17 A. Yes. helicopters to move around say drill parts. 18 The power line industry uses helicopters to 19 MS. FAGAN: 19 inspect their power lines and would put their Q. But in Question 32, 96 percent, what does that 20 20 mean or can you explain why that would have observers in the back of aircraft. The 21 21 medical industry put doctors and nurses in the 22 occurred? 22 back of aircraft, but the contract may be with 23 MS. TURNER: 23 the hospital itself, the same with law A. Yes, sure. In Question 32, it's 76 percent of 24 24 participants left the question unanswered or enforcement. So there's many industries where 25 25 Page 62 Page 64 invalid. So people didn't check the box. Now aviation is not their core business and as you 1 1 2 that would make sense if they answered the rightly indicate, the workers of this survey 2 previous question of "does your organization 3 are non-aviators. They don't have necessarily 3 have a risk assessment on helicopter an expertise in the aviation industry. 4 4 5 operations?" If they answered no there, there 5 In terms of the level of knowledge and is correlation with this unanswered question being familiar with helicopter operations, 6 6 7 in the next one. 7 there is a fairly defined definition in the aviation industry of whether people are 8 MS. FAGAN: 8 Q. Yeah, and there was 22 no and 51 don't know, passengers or whether they're crew, and so it 9 9 so that's 73 percent that could possibly fall is important to note, in this context, the 10 10 into the 76 that just didn't answer the next 11 11 workers are actually passengers and are not deemed crew members. As opposed to the power question. 12 12 line industry where you might have an observer 13 MS. TURNER: 13 get in the back of an aircraft that is A. Yeah, that's correct. 14 14 undertaking a task using the helicopter to 15 MS. FAGAN: 15 inspect the power line, it gets a little bit Q. Would you expect -- I mean, you've done -- and 16 16 maybe you can't answer this. You have grey as to whether they're a passenger or 17 17 conducted work for organizations where whether they're actually participating in 18 18 19 helicopter transportation is not their core 19 activities that contribute to the safe

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now if we're just looking at passengers, and this goes back to one of the earlier survey questions about what is the frequency of travel, so a large percentage travel to the offshore installation seven to nine times

operation of the aircraft.

Q. And this survey is being answered by workers,

you know, commuting back and forth to work.

business.

21 MS. TURNER:

23 MS. FAGAN:

A. Yes.

20

24

June 20, 2010	WIUIU-I	age	Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
F	age 65		Page 67
1 a year, so they're frequent flyers, so to	1	1	and then you have all the way through to crew
2 speak. So one could shape a case that for	2	2	and it is important to note that in this
3 those that have that level of frequency in	3	3	survey, we're really dealing with that mid
4 helicopters and it is part of their job, maybe		4	section of demographics.
5 there is merit in considering increasing their	5	5 MS. l	FAGAN:
6 level of aviation awareness or basic knowledge	6	5 Q.	Thank you. I believe there is only one
7 so that they understand how a helicopter	7		question left and I think that's the
8 works, how communication works, what happe	ns 8	3	effectiveness of the safety committee because
9 when things go wrong, all the way through to	g	9	we did hear evidence through the fall and
10 emergency procedures, HUET training,	10		winter about the safety committees -
equipment, access and egress activities.			ΓURNER:
12 MS. FAGAN:	12		Yes, at our operating with the workers.
13 Q. So whether they should or shouldn't have more			FAGAN:
information is really not your job. That is	14		And what was the question and the results.
for the Commissioner or another undertaking.			TURNER:
16 MS. TURNER:	16		The question here was how effective do you
17 A. Yes.	17		believe your safety committee is in addressing
18 MS. FAGAN:	18		safety concerns. And the reason why this was
19 Q. What you can say is this, as a snapshot, is	19		included in Part III under "Culture" was
1			really about getting that flow of information
20 what they say they know or don't know? 21 MS. TURNER:	20		and action to address safety concerns and
			you'll see here 153 people out of the 991
22 A. That's correct.	22		indicated very effective, a large percentage,
23 MS. FAGAN:	23		
Q. So ifthis survey, you know, is a large	24		approximately 350 indicated a four on that
25 percentage and so what we're hearing is they	25	)	scale, and then we have a smaller percentage,
	age 66		Page 68
don't know about these particular safety	1	1	approximately 19 percent of respondents
2 mechanisms, risk assessments -	2	2	answered either a one or a two on that scale.
3 MS. TURNER:	3		FAGAN:
4 A. Yes.	4	4 Q.	Is there anything else in this part of the
5 MS. FAGAN:	5	5	survey that you would like to comment on
6 Q. When you drill down and get into the	6	5	before we move to the free sections?
7 helicopter operations, they don't have this	7	7 MS.	ΓURNER:
8 information.	8	8 A.	No, that's all I'd like to draw attention to
9 MS. TURNER:	Ģ	9	in Part III. Obviously there's a lot of
10 A. Yes.	10	)	information in all of these questions and you
11 MS. FAGAN:	11	1	can sit down and draw parallels, et cetera.
12 Q. Whether they should or should not or wh	at   12	2 MS. l	FAGAN:
level is not for you to say, you can just tell	13	3 Q.	Questions 35 and 36 are the free areas. I
us this is the result.	14	4	would just ask you to review the top seven
15 MS. TURNER:	15	5	because there's 2000 pieces of raw data and as
16 A. That's correct.	16	5	I've said a number of times, people can
17 MS. FAGAN:	17	7	download the survey and read these results if
18 Q. Would that be fair?	18	3	they wish.
19 MS. TURNER:	19	MS.	ΓURNER:
20 A. Yeah, that is fair and that is the result and	20	) A.	Yes. I'll just draw your attention to page
in terms of this issue about passenger and	21	1	35, Question 35 and so what we've done here is
crew, there's a continuum, so you can put A	ir 22	2	as was just mentioned there is over 2000
Canada on one end where we buy a ticket		3	individual comments that were made in Question
get on a plane; you've got then, I guess	24	4	35 and 36 and so we did actually collate and
people who that travel regularly with routin	e 25	5	theme that information and you can see how

Page 71

Page 72

Jun	ie 28, 2010 Wiuit	I-Pa	ige	Offshore nencopter Safety Inqui
	Page 69			Page
1	that was done in the back annexes. Just	1		sum up your thoughts on this report in its
2	running through the top handful there, you'll	2		entirety, and I know that's difficult without
3	see as previously mentioned 204 are individual	3		having you slant in one direction or the
4	responses highlighted six, the second issue	4		other.
5	based on frequency was around helicopter	5	MS. T	URNER:
6	maintenance and the technical aspects there.	6	A.	Yes, sure. Overall I would encourage people
7	Number 3 was around passenger seating,	7		to actually really look through the results,
8	including loads, arrangements, seating patens,	8		the raw data in the annexes because it really
9	etcetera, with 172 people. 164 people	9		does give you good insight into people's areas
10	indicated the auxiliary fuel tank location or	10		of interest, concern or improvements. The
11	issues around the fuel tanks. Search and	11		response rate really has demonstrated a very
12	Rescue is also on that top list of seven at	12		high level toa very high level of commitment
13	No. 5. Flying in bad weather and conditions	13		to safety and to being involved in this whole
14	around visibility, sea states and limitations	14		process, which I think is fabulous and
15	on a aircraft, again getting into some of the	15		certainly not necessarily always there in
16	operational risks around an aircraft there,	16		every workforce around the world. In terms of
17	and then No. 7 is really around communication	17		the results, overall they're fairly balanced.
18	and transparency of information around	18		Most questions actually always have a 30%0
19	helicopter operations with just over 100	19		split; 30 percent sitting at one end of the
20	responses received from that.	20		extreme; 30 percent at the other; and 30
21 1	MS. FAGAN:	21		percent sitting midway. And there's only a
22	Q. So these were the concerns, was there any	22		small number of questions where the results
23	correlation or similarities when you looked at	23		aren't as balanced and askewed in a different
24	the top seven areas for improvement?	24		way. From my perspective the workers that
25 1	MS. TURNER:	25		have completed this survey are highly trained
	Page 70			Page
Ι.	XV. About the second 1211 hand to be seen to the top	1.		

A. Yes, there was. I'll just take us to the top 1 2 seven areas of improvement which is on page 37 3 and this is actually quite an interesting result that the top handful of issues that 4 5 were listed on the concerns are also the top handful of issues that are indicated on the 6 7 areas for opportunity and improvement and 8 there is a great correlation with those. 9 Number one is around additional helicopters and we've heard a lot about that; number 2, 10 11 improved communication and frequency of 12 information in regard to all aspects of 13 helicopter operations; three, suits; four, Search and Rescue; five, auxiliary fuel tanks; 14 15 six, seating; and seven, training crops up. What I've found guite interesting to note 16 17 which is on areas of concern, the 18 communication and information flight came in 19 at No. 7, but in terms of the areas for improvement, it really is right up there at 20

23 MS. FAGAN: 24 Q. In conclusion, because that's about all I have on the survey report itself, could you just 25

and an interest to get more information.

No. 2. So you'll see that there's a hunger

professionals, have technical expertise, they have taken the time to complete the survey and it's really provided some excellent information on the current state of this topic at a particular point in time, so it's really important, particularly as I present through paper No. 3, which could be seen a very theoretical paper, that that theory isn't held in a vacuum. This report actually gives you some good information that could be fed in and combined with some of the cultural theory that I'll talk about later.

13 MS. FAGAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 Q. That's all the questions that I have. My colleague here has pointed out that apparently 15 at the beginning I gave us a half hour break, 16 17 but it is not a half hour break, we're supposed to break at 11:00 but we're coming 18 19 back at 11:15, so I'm finished with the direct 20 of the survey.

21 COMMISSIONER:

Q. My schedule says 11 to 11:15. 22

23 MS. FAGAN:

24 Q. Well apparently I may have said 11:30 or 25 something when I started.

21

June 28, 2010	Multi-Page Multi-Page Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
P	age 73 Page 75
1 COMMISSIONER:	out in this presentation.
2 Q. Oh, I see, okay.	2 MS. FAGAN:
3 MS. FAGAN:	3 Q. Although we have dealt with some of this in
4 Q. But just to be clear, it's 11:15, thank you.	4 the explanation of your expertise, can you
5 COMMISSIONER:	5 explain the scope of your review and why you
6 Q. This is the time to take the break then and w	
7 will try to keep to our timelines, so we will	because you've always said you're not an
8 chase you around if necessary to round you	ip. 8 expert in how petroleum regulators operate
9 Thank you.	9 generally.
10 (RECESS)	10 MS. TURNER:
11 MS. FAGAN:	11 A. Uh-hm. Yeah, that's right. In the previous
12 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. The next report	hat   12 report presentation we talked about industries
13 I'm going to ask Ms. Turner to review is th	that aviation is not their core business, but
Regulatory Comparative Analysis, and I bel	eve 14 they certainly rely on aviation to undertake a
that we're not going to need to refer to that	particular activity. The offshore petroleum
exhibit, it is there, especially if counsel	industry definitely falls into that basket.
have questions, but I believe we're just goin	Without expertise and background into aviation
to speak from the slide show, so we'll call it	safety and oversight, there was logic in
up if we need it. The second report that	undertaking this piece of work to review
20 Aerosafe was asked to complete was a revie	w of 20 selected regulatory regimes, understand then
21 selected offshore petroleum regimes and	21 how safety takes place and then really
regulators and the focus was governance as	d 22 narrowing down and focusing on where there any
23 oversight arrangements for aviations	particular practices or approaches in relation
24 activities. So canhow can a review of other	r 24 to helicopter transportation that could be
25 petroleum regulators benefit the inquiry, the	brought out for this inquiry. It's important
P	age 74 Page 76
real question is why would you bother, why	
that information helpful?	didn't go to any indepth, you know, point of
3 MS. TURNER:	actually visiting, meeting, interviewing
4 A. There's real merit in reviewing practices of	4 people, we did make contact with a number of
5 what others do around the world for a numl	er 5 the regulators and most were very helpful,
6 of reasons. You can always learn from wh	
7 others do and there's great benefit in	7 considering the BP incident that was going on,
8 benchmarking and comparing your practice	s to 8 we did have some good interaction with them
9 those that do a similar job in a different	9 and I'll refer to those conversations and
10 environment. Certainly in undertaking this	findings throughout the presentation. So,
assessment it's very clear that some	although I will cover the high level overview
jurisdictions are more mature than others an	and structures and context of the industry,
some have very different size in scope and	drilled down into regulatory oversight, then I
context, some are extremely large, others ar	will draw our attention to the practices in
very small, but there's definite lessons that	15 helicopter aspects.
could be learned or it's just interesting to	16 MS. FAGAN:
understand the philosophical approach and	hy 17 Q. Okay, now the selected offshore petroleum
the different organizations tackle the same	regulators, which ones did you select, why did
issues in different ways. Our report, our	19 you select them? Were you told, you know,
20 findings and observations really need to be	this is who you must go check out? We have a
kept in perspective, it was a research paper	number there, can you just lay all that out
and it was based on publicly available	for us?
information and it just provides that high	23 MS. TURNER:
level overview of those various approache	
25 around the world, and I'll, try and draw that	25 many offshore oil regulators there were around

25

many offshore oil regulators there were around

around the world, and I'll try and draw that

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 79

Page 80

Page 77 the world and then in understanding who was 1 2 around, as a team we actually sat down and with the background and understanding of what 3 was available thought what would be of 4 interest and where would there be key lessons 5 6 learned that could be drawn out through this 7 inquiry here. The first country or regulator that was selected was the United Kingdom and 8 no surprises there, I guess there's some great 9 10 practices that have come out of the UK and their oil and petroleum industry is quite 11 12 mature. 13

The second was the United States with a particular focus on the Gulf of Mexico, again, before the deep water horizon incident happened back in April, we selected this aspect and predominantly because it was in North America and again, the pure volume of the activity that takes place down there led us to think there might be some practices that would be of interest.

The third country or regulator was Australia and when I actually present on the structural changes that have occurred in the last four or five years, I think you will

agree with me that there are some really good

Page 78

areas of interest that are worthy of consideration and of note. Norway was also selected and I understand that the Commissioner and Inquiry Counsel conducted a site visit to the various players over there in Norway and so we selected to include that in this research. Nova Scotia, because they're next door and they're the neighbours here of the area and the last one we chose to do, but actually excluded it from our research once we got into that was that of South Africa. The reason why we selected that was we figured that it was a common wealth country, small, fairly small offshore oil industry and we were interested to see how that would compare with the practices here,

21 the body of work. 22 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Why didn't you include the C-NLOPB, I mean, you've included the labour, but it's pretty clear they're not one of the selected regimes

but unfortunately there was a lot of

limitations in accessing information and

certainly not enough for us to include that in

or was that deliberate?

2 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes, that was deliberate given that the 3 Inquiry has already heard evidence from the C-4 NLOPB and has a good understanding and 5 appreciation we decided not to include that in 6 this table-top review and that information was 7 already available to the Commissioner and we 8 felt because of that, it would be interesting 9 10 to bring that information that maybe wasn't in this public forum so that it could be compared 11 and considered. 12

13 MS. FAGAN:

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What was the scope of your research?

15 MS. TURNER:

A. The scope of the research in the report, the Table of Contents actually gives a very, very good overview of the structure, but a couple of key highlights with each of those six different regulators from the six different areas, the scope of the review, we actually looked at the industry itself, its size, scope, magnitude, how big the oil industry was and really tried to get some figures around the size and scope of the helicopter

operations and the aviations activities that

2 took place. The second thing that we really drilled into across all six was looking at the 3 organizational and oversight structures. So 4 looking at their organizational structures, 5 the composition of the board, their safety 6 7 organizations and how that was set up. That then gave us the opportunity to drill into 8 some of the approaches towards safety 9 oversight in general and then finally our 10 fourth area was looking at transportation of 11 workers using aviation assets, in particular 12 helicopters and the oversight that takes place 13 there. 14

15 MS. FAGAN:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now before you take us through your report, what I want you to do is highlight the key areas of interest and we've already acknowledged that your focus is on aviation oversight in helicopter transportation. But I appreciate we need an overview or a context in which for you to pass comment on the helicopter portion, so with all that in mind, can you go through the key points and we'll go through each jurisdiction, so I'll like to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 81 Page 83 start with the United Kingdom and what your appreciation as to the size and the scope of 1 1 2 findings were and we all recognize that this 2 that industry. may not be absolutely complete, this is a high On the 1st of April, 2008, the Health and 3 3 level overview of the key points you found. Safety Commission actually restructured and 4 4 it's interesting when you start looking across 5 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Sure. Before I start, I must say that in all the jurisdictions where there's been a 6 undertaking this assignment, it's certainly a restructure take place and you can really 7 7 very, very interesting task and you can see track that down in the last five to ten years, 8 8 how there would be great merit in actually the various jurisdictions have re-examined 9 10 doing this in an indepth way of actually, you 10 their structure, particularly for oversight know, really doing thorough benchmarking, and I'll bring out some of those key findings 11 11 ranking and comparing, looking at the as I talk through. But I'd just like to read 12 12 the mission statement of the safety executive. 13 structures, having the site visits, the 13 conversations, et cetera, but I do believe It says, "The goal of the mission is to assure 14 14 safety management and the effective control of 15 that this report just provides that snap shot 15 16 which is a value and we would have loved to 16 major accident hazard risks and prevent catastrophic incidents in offshore oil and the 17 have drilled even further and got into that, 17 but in relation to the United Kingdom, we all gas industry." The thing that I really liked 18 18 recognize that they're a big player in the about that mission statement was the use of 19 19 offshore oil industry and certainly in the the words "to assure safety". In my previous 20 20 aviation side. Just to give you a bit of an presentation I talked about safety assurance 21 21 and that it is a very defined discipline, so 22 overview of the structure, in the UK the HSE 22 23 or the Health Safety Executive actually you can see the philosophy of this assurance 23 undertakes workplace safety oversight for all based approach creeping in in the last couple 24 24 industries and it was really interesting of years. 25 25 Page 82 Page 84 following the 1998 Piper Alpha incident and One of the other key areas to note with 1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the public inquiry conducted by Lord Cullen, there was a bit of a restructure in how the oversight actually took place. A couple of the things that came out of that or followed the inquiry was firstly the establishment of an offshore division within the HSE that falls under the hazardous installations directorate and one of the other key things in the very late 90's, early 2000 following the Piper Alpha incident was this shift in the regulatory approach from a--to a performance and goal based approach. Now I'm going to refer to that because right across all different six jurisdictions there's a bit of a flavour of a shift towards this performance and goal based oversight and regulatory model, but certainly we can see some of the roots established here in the UK. The UK industry is very large and there's approximately 300 installations on the continental shelf there. There's three main helicopter operators,

Bristows, Bond and CHC and there's roughly 100

the UK is they have adopted an approach to undertake safety cases and there is a regulation that actually covers the scope of how that is to take place. There's 27 assessment principles that support that safety case and when you run through the lists that are actually documented in our report, if you just count the ones that mention risk or risk management, 17 out of the 27 have that risk management based definition or flavour in there. In drilling into the helicopter oversight, when you're talking the oversight of over 100 aircraft, that's a very large fleet and you've got a number of operators, helicopter operators that take place in that area. One of the key things that we found in our research was that there is a memorandum of understanding between the civil aviation authority and the offshore regulator which outlines the lines of accountability and responsibility for aviation activities, and so really a great tool and something worth looking at. In terms of the helicopter activities, it

helicopters that actually service that

industry there, so you can really get an

Page 87

Page 88

Page 85 seems to me through the level of commitment, 1 2 resource and activity that takes place that it's a very proactive approach and there's a 3 lot of interaction with the industry. One of 4 the key outputs of that interaction has been 5 the development, production and distribution 6 7 of guidance material on aviation and helicopter safety standards and practices. So 8 there's some real tangible resources that have 9 10 been produced in the UK jurisdiction around aviation matters. 11 12 The Civil Aviation Authority and the 13

Health Safety Executive actually meet twice a year and one can only assume that that's to discuss the various issues associated with the helicopter operations and there is an advisory committee which is a helicopter liaison group. So a couple of really key things that have come out and that are documented in that report that are worthy of consideration.

21 MS. FAGAN:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 Q. The advisory committee of the helicopter liaison group, can you give us an indication 23 of what parties or who would be part of that 24 group? 25

1 MS. TURNER:

A. Okay, moving a little bit close to the home, the United States has an interesting setup, 3 there's basically three agencies or regulatory 4 bodies that have some stake in the offshore 5 oil oversight picture. The main one is the 6 MMS that I had mentioned before which stands 7 8 for the Minerals Management Service. There's also a very very strong relationship and the 9 10 US Coast Guard is the second regulator that actually has a level of oversight and 11 responsibility in that area, and the last one 12 is the occupational health and safety 13 administration. Now it's interesting that we 14 have included a table in our research paper 15 which runs through all the functional areas 16 and who actually has the oversight split, 17 that's quite a useful document, but in essence 18 the MMS controls the production, licensing, 19 resourcing et cetera, the US Coast Guard looks 20 at--sorry, and the facilities, the US Coat 21 22 Guard looks at the marine related activities and the occupational health and safety 23 administration looks at workplace, safety and 24 inspections. It's interesting when you start 25

Page 86

1 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes, sure. From our research and you can very 2 easily access the minutes of these meetings. 3 You can see that there's the pilot groups 4 represented, the aviation companies 5 themselves, the oil operators, the regulators, 6 7 both offshore, oil and petroleum and the aviation regulator, various special interest 8 9 groups, associations and experts there, so it's fairly balanced in the contribution and 10 11 approach.

12 MS. FAGAN:

13 Q. Do you know if there's any workers or union or employee representatives, your recollection? 14

15 MS. TURNER:

A. Yeah, in terms of the research that we went 16 through, it was very, very balanced and a 17 cross section. I'd need to validate and 18 19 double check whether the unions were represented but there's a very high percentage 20 of involvement and publicity around the 21 22 findings that come out. 23 MS. FAGAN:

24 Q. Okay, thank you. What can you tell us about the structure in the United States? 25

looking at exactly who inspects what and who 1 uses what. It does look like there's some

2 overlap or integration where some standards 3

from one agency may be used and audited by 4

5 another and that is actually defined in our

report. 6 7 MS. FAGAN:

8 Q. The scope of the industry, now are we speaking 9 Gulf of Mexico or are we in other areas? Is this just the Gulf or are there other -10

11 MS. TURNER:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, sure. Our review of the United States actually covered all of the--the whole jurisdiction which extends all the way up to Alaska, but a lot of the information was focused around the Gulf of Mexico and when you start looking at the scope and size, you can appreciate exactly why. There's approximately 6,000 oil and gas installations and in terms of helidecks, there's over 4,000 and so you can just, you know, through those numbers get an appreciation of how large the scope is in a fairly small geographical area. In terms of aviation operators, there's a number of big helicopter operators similar to the UK, but in

June 20, 201	IVI IVIUIU	-1 6	age	Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 89			Page 91
1 the	US you've also got the smattering of	1		to tell in terms of the formal structural
2 smal	ller helicopter operators that ferry VIPs	2		sorry, formal structure and any changes there;
3 and	specialists, et cetera, backward and	3		however, from a philosophical perspective
	vards, so you've really got the big end of	4		there's been very, very clear directive that
	tion with the PHI's or Petroleum	5		there will be a separation of the commercial
6 Heli	copter International, all the way through	6		and the production areas and then the safety
	mall helicopter organizations that just	7		standards and oversight and have that
I	handful of aircraft.	8		separation of functions. When we start
9 MS. FAGAN		9		looking into the safety oversight and what is
I	o would inspect the helidecks when you talk	10		currently in place, a couple of key highlights
	at the division, this 4,000there's 6,000	11		that we've put in our report is each operation
	allations, what I'm hearing is they would	12		is to have a production plan which is similar
	e under the Minerals Management Service.	13		to the safety case or the safety plans.
14 MS. TURNE	_	14		Currently as it stands there's a voluntary
15 A. Yes.		15		safety environmental management system or an
16 MS. FAGAN		16		SEMS.
	installation itself.	-		FAGAN:
18 MS. TURNE		18		2. So is that similar to a SMS?
19 A. Yes.				TURNER:
20 MS. FAGAN		20		A. Yeah, it's similar to a SMS which is a safety
	helideck, the 4,000 helidecks, how would	21	1	management system, but the scope covers the
	work in the division of power, I suppose.	22		environment as well. Now there was moves to
23 MS. TURNE		23		actually bring that into become compulsory and
1	v it's very interesting when you talk about	24		there's proposed rule making already in place
1	division of power, I haven't mentioned the	25		for that. I have no doubts that that will be
	Page 90			Page 92
1	yet and the FAA is equivalent to Transport	1		accelerated and possibly we might even see a
I	ada and is the aviation regulator. Now e helidecks are actually considered	2		separation of the safety management system and
1		3		the environmental management system, given the
_	ate heliports, so they don't necessarily	4		outcoming consequence of the current incident
I	the under the inspection regime of the FAA,	5	MC	down there.
1	ever, the FAA does have an advisory			FAGAN:
	ular which is guidance material for	7		2. You had mentioned the FAA and when you
	decks, heliports, landing pads, et cetera	8		discussed the UK, you had said that there were
	as you mentioned, the MMS has that	9		committees and a MOU, an interaction with the
	rsight and conducts those inspections.	10		Civil Aviation Authority. The Civil Aviation
11 MS. FAGAN		11		Authority would be, in the UK, would be the
I	w has there been any changes or	12		equivalent of the FAA?
I	ructuring in the United States? You've			TURNER:
	tioned after Lord Cullen's inquiry there	14		A. That's correct.
1	some shifts in the UK and then again in			FAGAN:  In all different countries, they all have
_	il of 2008, the Board of Health and Safety	16		). In all different countries, they all have
1	nmission was restructured, so the UK has had	17		their own terminology. Did you find any
	e restructuring in the last ten years. Has	18		interaction with the FAA or any involvement
1	e been anything in the United States	19		between the FAA and the oil regulators or the
	's noteworthy?	20		petroleum industry?
21 MS. TURNE				TURNER:
I	, sure. Obviously the biggest thing that	22		A. Sure, sure. The FAA, as I mentioned before,
	n all of our minds is the recent BP	23		is the aviation regulator. One of their
	dent and the deep water horizon incident	24		primary tasks is to issue air operating
25 of A	pril this year. It's probably too early	25		certificates or an AOC. Now that is the same

Ju	ne 28, 2010 Mulu	I-P	rage Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 93		Page 95
1	case as in every jurisdiction and they do that	1	and system of issuing air operating
2	under ICAO's delegation as such. So the FAA	2	2 certificates and having to set regulations for
3	actually registers and licenses the helicopter	3	3 aviation activities.
4	operators, but its span of oversight and	4	4 Now one of the key things that is
5	inspection is limited in that aspect. In	5	5 consistent across the aviation regulators of
6	terms of their interaction, there is a group	6	6 the jurisdictions is the regulator is to set
7	called the HSAC or the Helicopter Safety	7	7 regulations and standards, they are to conduct
8	Advisory Committee and that has a very large	8	8 surveillance which is where their audit and
9	emphasis on the offshore oil petroleum	9	9 inspection regime comes in, and they are to
10	industry. They meet a couple of times a year	10	0 undertake some level of enforcement or to
11	and I have been fortunate to attend some of	11	ensure compliance with those regulations, and
12	those public meetings, most recently in	12	2 so there's various scales and continuum of
13	Dallas, Fort Worth in Texas and the HSAC is	13	what enforcement activities take place there
14	actually broader than offshore oil, but it's	14	as well. So the surveillance and the
15	got a heavy emphasis with the, again,	15	5 intervention, enforcement being one of them,
16	representation from the helicopter companies,	16	is actually the same structure around the
17	the regulators, the oil industry, the customer	17	7 world for aviation regulators.
18	base, et cetera.	18	8 MS. FAGAN:
19	MS. FAGAN:	19	9 Q. So this would include Norway.
20	Q. So just for clarification, we heard evidence	20	0 MS. TURNER:
21	that Transport Canada in Canada, of course,	21	1 A. Uh-hm.
22	issues the air operators certificate and	1	2 MS. FAGAN:
23	regulates the helicopter operator.	23	
24	MS. TURNER:	24	4 United States.
25	A. Yes.	25	5 MS. TURNER:
	Page 94		Page 96
1	MS. FAGAN:	1	1 A. Correct.
2	Q. You know, the company that operates the	2	2 MS. FAGAN:
3	helicopter and that's the situation here.	3	3 Q. You said CASA.
4	MS. TURNER:	4	4 MS. TURNER:
5	A. Yes.	5	5 A. Yes.
6	MS. FAGAN:	6	6 MS. FAGAN:
7	Q. So the FAA, it's a similar situation, when you	7	7 Q. In Australia, and Nova Scotia, of course, is
8	had said across all jurisdictions, the air	8	8 Canada.
9	operator's certificate, do you mean all of the	9	9 MS. TURNER:
10	ones that you reviewed all have a similar	10	0 A. Uh-hm.
11	structure because they're part of the	11	1 MS. FAGAN:
12	international organization?	12	2 Q. Or Norway is another member state?
13	MS. TURNER:	13	3 MS. TURNER:
14	A. Yes, how it actually works is ICAO which is	14	4 A. Yes.
15	the International Civil Aviation Organization	15	5 MS. FAGAN:
16	is the international body, then there are	16	6 6
17	member states that actually subscribe to the	17	J J
18	conventions of ICAO, those member states then	18	11.5
19	have the regulator, so in the case of Canada,	19	•
20	Transport Canada is a member state through	1	0 MS. TURNER:
1	ICAO and the associated conventions, the FAA	21	1 A. Yes.
21		1	
22	in the US is the member state, in Australia,	1	2 MS. FAGAN:
22 23	the Civil Aviation Safety Authority or CASA,	23	Q. So they would be the primary when it comes to
22		23 24	Q. So they would be the primary when it comes to

Page 97 Page 99 A. That's correct. National Offshore Safety Petroleum Agency, but 2 MS. FAGAN: 2 it's been interesting actually looking at the practises there and understanding why things Q. So this report focuses on the interaction or 3 3 the aviation component in an industry - the have taken shape in the way that they have. 4 4 petroleum industry where it's not its core? One of the interesting things to note was 5 5 6 MS. TURNER: in 2005 a national oversight entity, and I've 6 A. Yeah, that's right. Now just on the US and used the acronym, NOPSA, was established and 7 7 some of the activities, now that intervention prior to that each state and territory that 8 8 regime that I've talked about before, the actually had oil and gas activity actually had 9 10 regulator can adopt to use enforcement 10 their own oversight regime, so very much a activities, such as notices, non-compliance stake-based approach. This national oversight 11 11 notices, inspections, etc, but there has been entity is predominantly focused on safety and 12 12 you can see that through examining their 13 a move in the last 10 or 15 years for where 13 there's a hot spot or an area of a high risk organizational chart and their charter, so to 14 14 profile for the regulator to actually 15 speak. When you read into the history of how 15 16 undertake different types of activities to try 16 that actually came about, there's comments and address the issue, and a good example of that the findings out of the UK Piper Alpha 17 17 this is in the United States recently with the accident and the Public Inquiry actually 18 18 really led to an examination around the helicopter medical industry, so the air 19 19 ambulances, that was a sector, an industry structure in Australia and had quite a lot of 20 20 sector that had a higher accident profile. influence around setting up that national 21 21 Of course, the regulator was looking at oversight entity. 22 22 more defined regulation, etc, but there was The division of power really was seen to 23 23 also a very, very high level of industry bolster up the focus on safety, and it is a 24 24 safety authority or a safety regulator. In interaction, representation from the FAA 25 25 Page 100 Page 98 industry conferences, focus groups, meetings, terms of the scope of the industry, I thought 1 1 discussions, etc, and the offshore oil 2 2 originally it was actually quite small and, 3 industry does have that same level of you know, a few of the different pockets of 3 involvement and attention, but it's more ad different oil fields, but there's actually 166 4 4 5 hoc, as opposed to the HSAC, which is more of 5 installations in Australia. There's a number a structured committee and safety activity of helicopter operators which include Bristow 6 6 7 that takes place regularly. 7 Helicopters, CHC, Jayrow, and Esso, which is one of the oil operators actually has their 8 MS. FAGAN: 8 Q. Okay, thank you. The next country is own aviation fleet. So you will see, you 9 9 Australia, so your home country. I guess know, commonality of some of the larger 10 10 you're very familiar with this - with the operators operating in different jurisdictions 11 11 aviation world. around the world. 12 12 13 MS. TURNER: 13 MS. FAGAN: Q. The scope of this industry, is this on the 14 A. That's right. 14 upswing or the downswing? 15 MS. FAGAN: 15 Q. What did you learn of the petroleum world? 16 MS. TURNER: 17 MS. TURNER: 17 A. Now my understanding and knowledge of the changes, the forecast changes that are A. Now it's certainly interesting coming from an 18 18 19 aviation perspective and seeing what changes 19 expected to happen in the next year or two, is in growth is happening in Australia with the significant growth in this area, and from a 20 20 offshore petroleum industry, and I'll talk aviation perspective in working with CASA, the 21 21 about that in a little bit. aviation regulator, it's very much on the 22 22 I must say prior to involvement in this radar as one of those spike sectors that is 23 23 Inquiry, and in particular this assignment, I about to undergo dramatic growth to the point 24 24

25

where they're anticipating anywhere from 30 to

25

wasn't too familiar with NOPSA, which is the

Ju	me 28, 2010	Multi-P	Page Th	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
		Page 101		Page 103
1		1	l	the organizational or systemic issues and
2	_	2	2	those theme-based audits take place there.
3		3	MS. I	FAGAN:
4		4	4 Q.	So what would be the difference? I mean, the
5		5		planned inspection of audit, would that cover
6		1	5	the entire system or does it not? I mean,
7		etween 7	7	what's the difference?
8			MS.	ΓURNER:
9		g	) A.	Yeah, it's interesting when you start looking
10		10		at - your compliance-based audits or
11	really in anticipation for the growth that's	11	L	inspections are very much looking at a rule or
12		12	2	a regulation and determining whether the
13	MS. FAGAN:	13	3	organization is compliant or non-compliant,
14	Q. Do the Australia regulator, do they have a	14	1	whereas a theme or an organizational-based
15	safety case type approach because we've hear	rd 15	5	audit is more looking at the structures, the
16	the safety plan, the safety case, and a	16	5	frameworks, the systems, the accountability,
17	production plan.	17	7	and whether or not it's suitable.
18	MS. TURNER:	18	3	Now in the field of audit and risk based
19	A. Yeah.	19	)	auditing, you've got compliance-based audit,
20	MS. FAGAN:	20	)	and then you have risk-based audit, which is
21	Q. So how is it structured in Australia?	21	l	more like an evaluation and a grading and a
22	MS. TURNER:	22	2	synopsis of how effective something is as
23	A. Yeah. With the establishment of NOPSA, from	n 23	3	opposed to a "yes" or "no" answer, and so the
24	day one the underpinning philosophy was ver	ry 24	1	approach to the whole audit setup is
25	much risk based. There is some strong	25	5	reflective of that concept.
		Page 102		Page 104
1		_	MS. I	FAGAN:
2			2 Q.	We have heard about the United States is
3	espouse that they have a performance or	an 3	3	looking at a safety management and environment
4	outcome-based safety regime and that ma	y be an 4	1	system.
5	area worth delving into if people are		MS.	ΓURNER:
6	interested of what is the difference between	en a 6	б A.	Uh-hm.
7	compliance or a prescriptive-based regime	e and	MS. I	FAGAN:
8	performance and outcome-based because	e again 8	3 Q.	It has the environment in there. What is the
9	Australia has shifted towards that oversig	ght 9	)	status of safety management systems in
10	aspect.	10	)	Australia?
11	MS. FAGAN:	11	MS.	ΓURNER:
12	Q. On the oversight, did you notice anythi	ing 12	2 A.	There is a mandated requirement for all
13	interesting in their audit process?	13	3	activities to come under a safety management
14	MS. TURNER:	14	1	system within Australia, and it's a little bit
15	A. Yeah, I mentioned a term "assurance" be	efore 15	5	broader than just a pure safety case or a
16	and safety assurance is a real emerging ar	ea. 16	5	safety plan. It really is looking at the
17	In Australia, it's interesting when you loo	ok 17	7	organizational structures and systems that are
18	•	1. 18	3	in place to manage that safety risk exposure,
19		19	)	and it's fair to say that Australia does have
20		ions 20	)	a level of expertise in safety management
lo-	an field andite rubish is removed as andi	iomoo lor		and an all and baller atoms of a mount on a fire and

22

23

25

24 MS. FAGAN:

system and probably started a number of years

before, you know, other countries around the world and does have a vent in that area.

Q. The MOU is one area where the civil aviation

or field audits which is very much compliance-

based with the regulation, and the other,

which is probably the most interesting, are

theme-based audits that take place at an

organizational level. So really looking at

21

22

23

24

June 28, 2010	Mulu-P	age Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 105	Page 107
authority interacts with the petroleu	m 1	profile industry in terms of the national
2 authority.	2	interest. There's 57 oil and gas fields, 73
3 MS. TURNER:	3	permanent installations comprised of 2862
4 A. Uh-hm.	4	wells. So again a fairly comprehensive
5 MS. FAGAN:	5	
6 Q. Did you see any other evidence or did yo	ou find 6	The oversight for regulation takes place
7 anything else with respect to aviation	or 7	
8 interaction? We've heard about commit		
9 MS. TURNER:	9	1 0 0
10 A. Uh-hm.	10	separation from other government departments
11 MS. FAGAN:	11	and agencies in 2004.
12 Q. Anything else you can comment on?	12	MS. FAGAN:
13 MS. TURNER:	13	Q. Now what do you mean by an independent agency,
14 A. Yes, sure. One of the other things, NOPS	SA has 14	
periodically engaged aviation expertise		•
consultants to undertake various reviews		MS. TURNER:
I found that that was quite interesting gi	·	A. Yeah.
that they don't hold the aviation expertis		MS. FAGAN:
house or internally. So you can see th		Q. And you've heard - we're hearing on the news
20 they've taken the approach of getting the		
21 own independent aviation advice as we		
22 connecting with the regulator as such.	22	
23 I don't have visibility, given the size,		MS. TURNER:
the current size of the aviation industry,		
to whether there's forums and connective		MS. FAGAN:
	-	
the come way that the HSAC or the HV	Page 106	Page 108
the same way that the HSAC or the UK		8
2 place, but I'm sure that that will grow o		MS. TURNER:
3 time. I am aware, though, through the		, ,
4 Helicopter Association of Australia and		8 11
5 various conferences, aviation conference		early 2000's, 2004. The PSA is profiled as an
6 take place every two years, that there's		
7 subset that really tackles the offshore o		ı
8 flying activities, etc, but it's not big	8	1 , , ,
9 enough to have its own committee at		J 11
10 stage.	10	
11 MS. FAGAN:	11	$\mathcal{E}$
Q. The next jurisdiction is Norway, okay,		
they are, we've heard, a very - from oth		•
that it's a mature petroleum industry. So		
you first give us the structure and the sce	_	
16 the size?	16	2
17 MS. TURNER:	17	•
18 A. Yes, sure. It's interesting in Norway, a		6
Commissioner, I understand that you've		
and met with the people there, it's		MS. FAGAN:
interesting in terms of the scope and the		Q. What about the transportation of workers to
of the industry itself. Some interestin	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
stats, 34 percent of the nation's income		•
24 actually derived from this industry, and		• •
25 was stats from 2008. So obviously a ver	y high 25	organization.

Page 109 Page 111 high level of interest in that industry. 1 MS. TURNER: A. Yes. 2 MS. FAGAN: Q. The helicopter involvement, what is on the 3 MS. FAGAN: 3 Q. So they have their civil authority. scene with respect to helicopter 4 transportation? 5 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Uh-hm. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 MS. FAGAN: A. Yes, sure. The biggest thing that jumped out 7 from an aviation perspective was over the last Q. What about the regulator in Norway? 8 10, or just over 10 years, Norway has 9 MS. TURNER: 9 A. Yeah, one of the things that really jumped out 10 committed to some fairly comprehensive 10 in the research were the regulations for helicopter safety studies. They've done three 11 11 Norway from the petroleum side actually did of those reports, not all of them fully 12 12 provide a level of detail and requirements translated in English, but the first two were 13 13 that were specific about covering the actually commissioned by the Government and 14 14 transportation of workers to and from the really did explore the hazards, risks, and 15 15 16 installation, and so that was one of the 16 safety issues associated with offshore flying. things that was a little bit different or not It's interesting, the third study was 17 17 as obvious in the other jurisdictions, not to actually commissioned by a group of eight oil 18 18 say that it's not there, but certainly in companies. So again you can see a little bit 19 19 Norway that jumped out as one of those key of a shift from regulatory government flavour 20 20 focal points or observations. through to engagement, transparency, 21 21 consultation through to the oil operators 22 MS. FAGAN: 22 themselves commissioning that last and third 23 Q. How do they approach safety and assurance? 23 study which has just come out recently. In 24 terms of interaction, again very similar to A. Yeah, as I mentioned before, this thing about 25 25 Page 110 Page 112 safety assurance is really developed. Norway the UK approach in terms of interaction with 1 1 have taken a fairly innovative approach to 2 2 the industry itself, and they have a 3 their checking function, and in particular helicopter safety committee and a forum which 3 their enforcement. They have this concept includes a range of different players, 4 4 5 called a stepped enforcement regime, and it's 5 including the workers, the unions, and most like a picture of stairs and where there's an interestingly, air traffic control. So really 6 6 looking at that setup again, slightly 7 issue identified, the very, very first step is 7 to actually engage with the industry in different approach that fits the context of 8 8 9 dialogue. their setup there. 9 So you can see that in their philosophy 10 10 MS. FAGAN: it really is about having the conversation 11 11 Q. So beyond the unions and air traffic control, first, having that consultation and dialogue what about the oil operators and the aviation 12 12 before it's escalated to, you know, the full 13 13 people? extent of penalties and the other spectrum of 14 14 MS. TURNER: enforcement. So that stepped enforcement 15 15 A. Yeah, absolutely. Pilots, aviation operators, regime is fairly key. It does show a bit of a oil operators, and the regulators, all 16 16 shift in the philosophy, and one of the other represented there as well. 17 17 things in terms of the consultation, it seems 18 18 MS. FAGAN: 19 that Norway has a very transparent approach. Q. So is the PSA, when you say the regulator, the 19 When you look at their website, there are oil regulator is also part of the committee? 20 20 results from audits, there is a lot of 21 21 MS. TURNER: material, and so it seems very public and very 22 A. That's correct. open and transparent, which makes sense. If 23 23 MS. FAGAN: 34 percent of your nation's income is derived 24 Q. And the last jurisdiction is Nova Scotia. 24

25 MS. TURNER:

25

from that sector, I think there would be a

4

5

13

		Page 1
1	A.	Sure. Nova Scotia, as many of you know, has
2		the oversight body of the C-NSOPB, that has
3		about 35 staff and was established in 1990.
4		So, you know, fairly recent, but certainly
5		growing and developing. There's two
6		helicopters operators, CHC, and Cougar
7		Helicopters, that provide support to the
8		industry in Nova Scotia, and it's interesting
9		when you start looking at the regulatory
10		approach, there's a strong theme around hazard
11		management and a hazard-based approach. It is
12		quite consistent with other jurisdictions and

there is also certainly a communication that

there's a performance-based oversight regime

#### 16 MS. FAGAN:

13

14

15

Q. How about the safety plan, safety case, 17 production plan, do they have that similar -18

there in place as well.

#### 19 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes, sure. From our research we found that 20 there was draft guidelines put in place for 21 22 safety plans that was released in December last year, 2009, and again you can see fairly 23 consistent theme and approach, as you say, 24 safety cases, safety plans, production plans, 25

### Page 114

safety management systems. They all have a 1 2 similar intent, some of them more indepth than 3 others.

#### 4 MS. FAGAN:

Q. The helicopter operations, can you explain the 5 oversight there? 6

#### 7 MS. TURNER:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yeah, one of the interesting things that 8 actually came out in this research was in 9 terms of helicopter operations oversight, the 10 11 definition of the use of support craft, and the helicopters actually fall under that 12 definition and on page 51 of our report, we've 13 just included two points that I'll just read 14 15 out for us that actually provide an overview of that scope of the support craft and the 16 17 definition of what it covers. 18

So helicopters used to transport workers to and from offshore oil installations are included within the term "support craft". Furthermore, the duty of the operator is to ensure that; number one, any operation necessary for the safety of persons on a support craft has priority at all times over any work or activity, and, number two; all

# Page 115

persons in transit to and from an installation 2 receive instruction in and are familiar with

safety and evacuation procedures including

emergency response procedures. So that was something that came out that

was of interest in the research and I thought 6 7

was fairly sound.

#### 8 MS. FAGAN:

Q. So you have mentioned that the PSA, the 9 Petroleum Safety Agency in Norway, does - its 10 regulations does cover - although they have 11 the civil aviation, their regulations do cover 12 the helicopter transportation. How would you 13 categorize the Nova Scotia regulations, having 14 just read that section? Is that the same as 15 16 what you saw in Norway, or are these two different things? 17

#### 18 MS. TURNER:

19 A. Yes, sure. In terms of our research, I mean, that's just a definition extracted from one of 20 the regulations, but in our bibliography you 21 22 can see the scope of what we looked at, and as I mentioned before, I'd love to go into this 23 in more detail to really draw out some of 24 those parallels that you're talking about. I 25

## Page 116

think that Norway is probably a little bit 1 ahead of the curve in terms of their history 2

and maturity, but again some really good 3

things that can be taken away and embedded in 4

the philosophical approach as to how you

actually drive regulations. 6

#### 7 MS. FAGAN:

5

Q. Are there any changes expected that you're 8 aware of with respect to the Nova Scotia 9 regulations, because you've told us you expect 10 11 some shifts -

#### 12 MS. TURNER:

13 A. Yes.

#### 14 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Either they've happened in the other 15 jurisdictions or they're likely to happen. 16

Anything on the horizon on the Nova Scotia 17

jurisdiction? 18

## 19 MS. TURNER:

A. Yeah, the biggest thing in the Nova Scotia 20 jurisdiction is the expected review and 21 amendment in the Occupational Health and 22 Safety Regulations, and it will be interesting 23 to see, you know, what relationships are drawn 24 25 with the offshore oil regulations, the

Multi-Page TM June 28, 2010 Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry Page 119 Page 117 that outcome. So the performance-based aviation regulations, and the occupational 1 1 regulation is about being prescriptive on the 2 health and safety, and that approach is fairly 2 consistent with other jurisdictions. So no outcome as opposed to the way and how you 3 3 surprises there. achieve it. So that's what I find is the most 4 4 simplistic way of defining that. 5 MS. FAGAN: 5 Q. I will just ask you to provide, in conclusion, Even here in Transport Canada, the rail 6 6 7 a synopsis. I know it's difficult to draw component of transport is trying to move from 7 a compliance-based prescriptive regulatory 8 parallels. 8 regime where there's a lot of regulations that 9 MS. TURNER: 9 10 A. Yes. 10 are very, very detailed, and inspectors go out and check compliance, through to this 11 MS. FAGAN: 11 performance-based outcome based approach. So 12 Q. Without perhaps a much, much greater depth; 12 however, with this oversight, were there any that's a pretty big theme and something that 13 13 themes - was there anything that you could see has come out in this research. 14 14 as sort of patterns that we can draw upon? The next is this move to a risk-based 15 15 16 MS. TURNER: 16 approach, and the risk management discipline, A. Yeah, sure, and I'm sure many of these themes I mean, this is my bread and butter and more 17 17 and patterns have just come out or people are core area of expertise. It really is a 18 18 twigging with that just as I've been talking, growing field and you can see risk management, 19 19 and a couple of the trends that are across the management of risk, the identification, 20 20 most, if not all, is firstly the division of assessment, and reduction of hazards and risks 21 21 power, or the separation of power from safety 22 22 and consequences is a flavour that's being and then the commercial and production side of written into not just regulation, but also 23 23 things, and that is not unusual for a legislation and standards and guidance 24 24 regulator that has a safety oversight function material, and there is a discipline, an art, 25 25 Page 120 Page 118 to really examine where safety sits. and a science around how you achieve a good 1 1 I'll just draw on an example from 2 2 level of risk management in those 3 Australia, that the Australian aviation applications. So this risk-based approach 3 compliments the performance or outcome-based 4 regulator used to be called the CAA, or Civil 4 regulatory regime. You actually need a risk 5 Aviation Authority, and a number of years ago 5 the Director at the time decided to change the management process or system to achieve a 6 6 name to be the Civil Aviation Safety performance-based outcome. 7 7 Authority, and so you can see this emphasis in One of the key things, being a bit more 8 8 9 the separation of safety in many areas around focused now on aviation, is the interaction 9 the world. with the aviation community and how the 10 10 various jurisdictions actually tackle that. 11 The second one, and this is probably of 11 greater importance, is the shift to Some of the structured memorandums of 12 12 performance-based regulation and oversight. 13 13 understanding that define the relationships. Now I've talked about performance-based, we've Others commit to formal forums or committees 14 14 15 15

25

talked about goal-based, we've talked about outcome-based. Basically, in a nutshell, what 16 it is is rather than writing a rule or a 17 regulation that could cover every particular 18 scenario or case in an industry, the aim of 19 performance-based regulation is to say this is 20 the outcome that we wish for you to achieve; 21 how you achieve it is up to you, we won't be 22 prescriptive in telling you exactly how, but 23 it's up to you to have an appropriate 24

framework system process/procedure to achieve

and dialogue with the operators. Some have retained aviation expertise, some have aviation expertise on their organizational structure. So I guess there's a number of different things that can be learned from that aspect.

The next theme, and I've touched on this and emphasized it a couple of times, is around safety assurance, and adopting an innovative approach to providing confidence that things are safe. So basically what assurance is, is

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 123

Page 124

Page 121 the provision of confidence. Confidence to 1 2 whom; confidence to the regulator, to the workers, to the community, to the operators 3 themselves. How do you provide confidence; 4 well, we do a lot of audits and inspections in 5 6 these type of industries, and that's one way 7 to do the checking or to provide confidence. What we're seeing is a shift which is 8 complimentary of the performance-based and 9 10 risk-based into safety assurance, which broadens the tools and methods of how you 11 achieve that communication of confidence into 12 13 things beyond just audit. Then the final one that is pretty common 14 across the board is level of consultation that 15 16 needs to take place or that does take place. 17 MS. FAGAN: O. Consultation between who? 19 MS. TURNER:

A. Really the oil industry, the aviation 20 industry, the regulators, the operators, and 21 22 all the interested stakeholders in those groups, including the workers, the unions, the 23 associations, and subject matter experts in 24 the various technical fields. 25

that's available and it is very open. 1

> A couple of things from Australia is definitely the safety management system and taking that broader approach and not just necessarily a safety case or a safety plan. The audits that pick up that organizational type themed audits and with the restructure, probably the national approach to safety oversight, as opposed to, you know, the statebased administrative function that took place and that's really allowed safety to be bolstered up in that, which has been great.

And then, in terms of the UK, probably the most influential thing that really does have a place in aviation safety is the production of the written guidance material on aviation safety and helicopter activities is a stand out there.

19 MS. FAGAN:

Okay, thank you. Well, that's all I have to 20 Q. ask you on this report, and we're not going to 21 22 break until one, correct?

23 COMMISSIONER:

O. Yes.

25 MS. FAGAN:

Page 122

1 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Did you see any practises or approaches that 2 weren't right across the board, but something 3 that might be a little innovative that we may 4 5 want to think about or look at more closely? 6 MS. TURNER:

7 A. Yes, certainly. The one that comes out of Norway that really stood out was their stepped 8 9 approach to their enforcement and really focusing on having some structured processes 10 11 around having the dialogue before things escalated into the, I guess, traditional 12 regulatory compliance based area and also 13 secondly, their level of transparency. 14 Everything's public. Everything's available. 15 Certainly, you know, it doesn't seem like 16 17 there's need for freedom of information requests and things like that. It's just 18 19 available for people to read.

20 MS. FAGAN:

21 Q. There may be those requests?

22 MS. TURNER:

A. Yeah, absolutely, and I'm sure there's a depth 23 of notes and, you know, more in-depth work 24 25 that sits behind, but certainly there's a lot

So we can move right on to the third and final report and all the counsel are taking lots of notes, so we're dealing with the three reports upfront and then they'll have the opportunity to delve into each one of the reports. So your last report is a safety culture report.

A lot of times this is referred to something that's fairly theoretical, so we will try and keep it to a -- we need to understand the theory, but some practical examples or applications will be helpful. So this paper on organizational safety culture, the purpose of this work was to highlight to the Inquiry key practices and concepts that are accepted and widely applied in the aviation industry. So can you tell us how we could best use this information? And you've prepared the report. How do you think it may help the Inquiry?

19 MS. TURNER:

Yes, sure. A couple of points to note in terms of safety culture, be that organizational culture or safety culture, this is a very defined discipline, particularly in the aviation industry. Culture and culture theory really emerged about 20 to 30 years ago

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 127

Page 128

Page 125 and is quite embedded into the safety 1 2 practices and hence why I'm so familiar with these areas and have assisted our client base 3 over the years in implementing some real 4 practical programs and systems. Like many 5 6 other what we call high reliability 7 organizations or industries which are high risk, low chance of things going wrong, but if 8 they do, catastrophic. Such as the petroleum 10 industry, the nuclear industry, the petrochemical industry, the aviation industry, 11 there's a low chance of things going wrong, 12 but if they do, the outcome, as we've seen 13 down in the Gulf of Mexico, can be 14 15 catastrophic, be that to safety, people, 16 environment, reputation or even funding and 17 finance.

Why this is important is these industries, higher liability organizations, since their very inception have been driven to reduce risk and to minimize risk and so when we're trying to establish cultures that encourage behaviours that actively reduce risk, there's a bit of an art to that.

There's many interfaces within aviation

safety that have a cultural perspective and

Page 126

one of the models, called the shell model, and not as in Shell Oil, but as in shell, is it looks at the systems between liveware, the people, the interaction between people and people, liveware and liveware, people and the environment, people and the hardware, people and software, and so that whole interface

really is quite -- it's quite an ecosystem to really get functioning to a high degree or reliability and hence, the culture and the people aspects are really key.

13 14

When you hear safety culture, in particular, being talked about at conferences or papers or experts, there's one very, very simplistic view that gets espoused all the time and in one way, I like it, and in others, I absolutely despise and hate it, and it says how do you define safety culture, and the simplistic way of looking at it is the way things are done around here. So how do you actually describe your culture, the way things are done around here. Now I think that really does have merit because it demonstrates the behaviours, the thoughts, the processes, the

decisions of how people interact and behave.

Now that's a simplistic view. When you actually look behind why people do things the way they do, there's a whole set up of beliefs, values, systems, rules, structures, expectations, behaviours and decision making that all influence the way things are done around here. So the purpose of this paper was to provide, again, a high level synopsis and summary of a discipline that's been very, very high profile in the aviation industry for the last 20 or 30 years, in 36 pages, so that those key concepts and models could be understood and possibly matched with those good practices that we've seen in other regulatory jurisdictions and possibly the results of the survey itself.

Now I must say that there's one exclusion. We have not conducted a safety cultural analysis or assessment of the offshore oil industry here in the area, but the work that has been done could be used as a foundation to some of that further work.

25 Q. The report, can you take us through some of

the main points in the report? It's 36 pages. 1

We're not going to read 36 pages.

3 MS. TURNER:

A. No.

5 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Just like you to explain some of the key 6

7 points.

8 MS. TURNER:

A. How it all works, yeah. In preparing for this presentation, I didn't want to get into the theory too much. The way the paper has been written is actually in common language, so it should flow and be a fairly easy read, but the 36-page report does provide that high level overview and it just highlights a couple of key accepted practices and models that are commonly used across the aviation industry.

In terms of the report itself, it does go into the definition of safety culture. It goes into key traits and characteristics of culture itself and how you might recognize and define one. It also goes into how to develop a positive safety culture. It's all very good and well, every company, including mine, including the Inquiry, including all those

Page 131

Page 132

Page 129 organizations represented here, have a culture. They have their organizational culture and then they also have a culture around how safety is handled and managed. The question is whether it's a good one or a bad one, and that's where some of these definitional areas assist and recognizing the traits and characteristics definitely assist.

In terms of how you'd set things up the best you can to help encourage, enhance or develop a positive safety culture. I just wanted to talk you through this concept which was presented earlier in my evidence presented late last year. There's merit in the relationship between all those structural things we've talked about, regulations, legislation, standards, committees, interaction, forum, safety management systems, safety cases, safety plans. All of those things help to create an environment and this model that you see on the screens, for those of you that can see it, and for those of you that can't see it, basically how do you develop a positive safety culture? You create an environment which influences people's

Page 130

behaviours, which in turn will shape or develop a culture. Okay.

So when you actually look at an organization's safety culture, if you're not happy with the traits and the characteristics and what they're saying to you, you can use this concept and this model to help redesign or reshape. So just to give you a very, very simple example. How do you create the environment? Well, if the regulator comes out and mandates that every organization is to have a safety management system, and these are the components, over time that will set up an infrastructure that creates an environment that defines certain accountabilities, responsibilities and practices. That will in turn affect people's behaviours.

I used the example before, we talked about operational risk management and how that's a tool in aviation. Now basically what it is, it's a standard risk assessment on a routine activity or task that you might undertake. Now very, very simplistically, for those of us that work in an office-based environment, to go to and from work, we might

drive a car. You could develop an operational or standard risk assessment around how you drive the car and what's accepted in terms of your normal practices, and so that tool can define the environment. It can define your equipment, your procedures, the expectation, the speed, the limitations, whether you wear your seatbelt or not, whether you have passengers, whether you have your windows open. So you can actually define all that environment that will shape or influence people's behaviours, and which will in turn become a habit and become the way things are done around there.

So this culture slide is designed to, I guess, give direction or tips as to how to reshape a culture if you're not satisfied.

Now if you're happy and satisfied with your current safety culture, and a lot of organizations are, it doesn't take much to tip the balance. It could be a change of leadership, could be a change of regulation. It could be a change of customer base. It could be a change of activity, and so all the time culture actually shifts and changes, but

the theories presented in the paper help identify those traits and triggers of how you

3 recognize those things.

4 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Okay. What is the key points that you'd liketo discuss on Slide 12?

7 MS. TURNER:

8 A. Yeah.

9 MS. FAGAN:

10 Q. Safety culture. Now it's a little difficult
11 to read and there are -- the viewers who are
12 trying to watch this from their desks at work
13 or at home won't be able to read this slide,
14 so it -- because it's a fairly busy slide, and
15 it's a safety culture stages. So can you
16 describe the stages?

17 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes, sure. What this diagram represents, and for those of you that can't see it, I'll just talk through a few of the keys points. I mentioned before there's traits and characteristics of how you measure culture or how you recognize culture. Going back to the survey report, in part three, we asked a list of questions of the workers to help us define

Page 133 the culture. Now a couple of those questions were all around reporting. Do you feel comfortable that you can raise a safety concern and it will get actioned? You know, how would you describe your company's reporting culture? Is it opened or closed? Those type of things. Those questions were asked using some of these concepts and theories to actually gauge some of the traits and where things actually sat.

So on this slide, a couple of the key concepts that I just wanted to talk through, maybe two or three of them, is what is a just culture. Now just to give you an example, a just culture is a culture where people are encouraged to highlight reports and information and incidents without fear of retribution or punishment. Now one of the very delicate aspects in just culture is what do you do if somebody purposefully breaks a rule and causes harm or injury to someone else? The just culture approach doesn't cover that type of behaviour, but it does cover the bulk of just normal human behaviour where errors occur, mistakes happen, you know, maybe

Page 134

people forget or there's a lapse or aren't trained properly and so a just culture is exactly that. It's just, it's fair and it's designed to, I guess, get information out.

Now just an example that we might all recognize because, as Ms. Fagan said, it's very easy for this material to turn into theory and be hard to apply in practice, so if we took an example of just a workshop, be that an aviation workshop or a maintenance workshop, a just culture would encourage people to be open, report, communicate without fear of being penalized or punished. For an example, a worker would be more -- a worker would be more likely and comfortable to raise a concern if they know that they're not necessarily going to be penalized or punished. So in the case where, say, some oil is spilled on the floor of the workshop, if a just culture exists, people would be happy to, number one, report the information so that something can be done about it, and number two, not be afraid that they're going to get fired or they're going to get reprimanded for possibly making that mistake.

Now as I said before, that's quite different if the person purposely goes out and pours oil on the floor in order to cause harm, and that's a separate issue that is dealt with in its entirety. Now if a closed or a punitive culture existed, people wouldn't necessarily put up their hand to say "hey, look, there's an issue over there" or there's an incident or there's oil on the floor. They'd just walk by it and say nothing through that fear factor, and you can just imagine that you apply a simplistic example like that to a complex environment like aviation where helicopters are involved, where people might see something that's wrong, yet if they're not necessarily comfortable, encouraged or open to put things up, the regulator, the operator, the helicopter provider, the pilot may or may

not have that information readily available to

them. So the just culture is all about what

is done with the information and how it is

investigated and whether there is penalty,

tools that is available is a just culture

algorithm and it's used in accident and

cause or an openness, and one of the practical

Page 136

Page 135

incident investigation and it's basically a decision flow chart that takes you through a question such as: the incident occurred, what type of event was it? Was it a procedural concern? Was it a training issue or was it a violation? And so you pick that and then you walk through and say: did the person do this on purpose? Yes or no. If the person did it on purpose, why did they do it? And then you can -- if they didn't do it on purpose, did they know that it was a situation that could cause harm? Yes or no. If they did know, you know, why was it breached? So it's actually like this decision flow chart.

Now the end point actually gets you to a point that gives you guidance on whether or not there should be penalty, punishment, retraining, counselling or consoling and so that the behaviour that follows the investigation is commensurate with the type of era or the type of activity. That's a very long-winded way to basically say there is theory, there's structure. If you do adopt a just culture, it's more than just a concept. There are practices and procedures that

Page 137 Page 139 your training regime. The thought around how actually go with the idea that need to be 1 1 2 embedded into your safety set up and 2 you do closed loop reporting so that oil on the floor, someone reports it, it's fixed, or practices. 3 3 does everybody else in the workshop who works 4 MS. FAGAN: 4 Q. So from that example, in a just culture, it in that situation know about the incident, 5 5 wouldn't be just, you know, an expectation or know what happens and knows what was done to 6 6 a feeling or a sense that "if I report the oil actually prevent it, and whether they have a 7 7 on the floor, I'm not going to get in trouble 8 8 role in that. So the learning culture is all or I'm not going to get a colleague in around using that material to grow, develop 9 9 10 trouble"? You would see a system, some type 10 and learn from others mistakes. of process where, in a just culture, a lot of I would say the aviation industry, as a 11 11 questions would be asked, perhaps a lot of whole, as a very strong learning culture. The 12 12 dialogue, as to why and you would investigate offshore oil industry, the helicopter 13 13 and get all the facts and depending on how industry, the aviation industry is watching 14 14 that sorted itself out, a decision would then with great interest as to what comes out of 15 15 16 be made and that there would be a sense of this Inquiry. Why? Because they have a 16 fairness, but that the workers could see and learning culture. Reviewing the Piper Alpha, 17 17 rely on the fairness. They could see it. you know, review with Lord Cullen and the 18 18 It's in a policy. It's in a manual. It's findings that came out of that, it's a 19 19 somewhere where they can see it. This is how learning culture. 20 20 this situation is going to be treated. 21 21 MS. FAGAN: 22 MS. TURNER: 22 Q. So the cultures that you've listed here, a just culture, a reporting culture is a culture 23 A. Yes, yes, absolutely, and it's interesting 23 that it's not just the decision on what takes I assume that encourages reporting. 24 24 place, but it's also what rectification and 25 25 MS. TURNER: Page 138 Page 140 action follows through, and so, these systems A. Um-hm. 1 1 2 and the procedures that go with these 2 MS. FAGAN: 3 discipline around culture and the behaviours 3 Q. A flexible culture, an informed culture, actually will shape whether it's a systemic, learning, are these good or bad cultures? 4 4 5 whether it's a procedural issue, whether it's 5 MS. TURNER: a training issue that can be fixed to prevent A. Yes, sure. These would be seen as traits of a 6 6 7 that outcome, be that the oil on the floor or 7 positive or a good safety culture and so I something more catastrophic. 8 guess, the question is what's the flip side of 8 what's negative and you could almost reverse 9 MS. FAGAN: 9 these and say a positive trait is having an Q. What about some of the other cultures? I 10 10 11 mean, they may be similar but you've listed 11 open reporting culture, a negative or a bad is five. I believe. So what's the difference? having a closed reporting culture where people 12 12 don't put up their hand, don't submit incident 13 MS. TURNER: 13 reports for whatever reason, be that they feel 14 A. Yeah, sure. I'll pick one like in terms of 14 the learning culture and it's interesting, I 15 15 that nothing will happen if they do or that think we all like to see ourselves as those maybe they'll be penalized. 16 16 17 that like to learn and, you know, you learn 17 MS. FAGAN: something new every day, but in terms of some Q. How can the positive traits improve safety? 18 18 19 of the traits and characteristics around a 19 And I mean, I know this may all be obvious, positive safety culture, it is one where but from a practical perspective, how does 20 20 having all this in place improve your safety there's lessons that are learned. Again, this 21 21 22 isn't something that you just commit to from a 22 situation? philosophy. You need to actually put it in 23 23 MS. TURNER:

24

25

A. Yeah, sure. One of the biggest things in

safety is it's very difficult to manage what

24

25

place. So how does that take place. So this

is where your communication regime comes in,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 141 you don't know about and one of the biggest ways that this work improves safety is by getting more information and a greater level of understanding of actually what's going on so that the right level of action can take place.

Now match that with risk management, there's various risks that are acceptable and not acceptable, but in order to reduce risk, different people at different levels can do different things that are effective. The regulator can put a rule in place to actually fix something. An operator can put resources in place, such as training, equipment, education, knowledge. A worker can have a certain approach that can be put in place in terms of, you know, reporting and putting up their hand and using the systems provided by the operator.

So in terms of how this can best improve safety, one of the biggest aspects is getting the information out. The second part is when that's out, having a process of how the action can take place, and what you'll find is how do you build these type of positive cultures. If

Page 142

you're not currently getting the information, number one, you've got to focus on that. Once you've got the information, if you don't do anything about it, people lose confidence very quickly. Well, I mentioned that and nobody did anything, you know. Well, I put up my hand and they don't do anything. You know, so you can lose confidence if that second aspect is an action.

So you actually need to be ready that if you're going to put an effort into opening up your reporting culture that you're ready with the skills, people and processes to do something with it, and then finally having a balanced and fair approach so that you're not penalizing those that are giving you the good information.

18 MS. FAGAN: 19 Q. Okay, thank you. On this particular report, I 20 don't have any other questions. So I'd just 21 ask if you have any concluding remarks or 22 comments? You've now been here for ten months 23 and I know you have not done an analysis of 24 our culture in the industry here, and I'm not 25 asking you for that, but do you have any

Page 143 concluding remarks in light of the three 2 reports and what this Inquiry can take away? 3 MS. TURNER:

A. Sure. In terms of the concluding remarks around the safety and organizational culture piece, there is a lot of theory in that, but I believe that the theory provides a good framework and structure to organize a lot of the other thoughts and concepts that we've discussed in the two papers. So I guess to just encase all three reports, there is a fairly strong interrelationship between all three topics that we've undertaken.

> The first was the worker survey and really getting a snapshot of where the current practices, views, perception, reality is at a given point in time and so that's been a really great resource and piece of information that can be used. The regulatory snapshot just gives us a good overview of what else is happening around the world and some of those key themes and patterns that are emerging can be used, and then finally, that safety culture report actually just provides us a structural framework to actually tie everything together

Page 144 and look at how the dots can be connected here

for the industry.

So from my perspective, it's certainly been a pleasure undertaking this assignment. Love to spend more time and getting into a lot more depth, particularly in the regulatory benchmarking in aviation practices, but I hope the presentation has been useful in explaining a lot of reports and many, many pages and I trust it's valuable to the Inquiry and to yourself, Commissioner.

## 12 MS. FAGAN:

Q. Thank you, Ms. Turner. They're the questions that I have. It has been very -- well, it's one thing to read the report, but it's another thing to have the author of the report come in and at least explaining the context, explaining the processes and some of the assumptions or limitations. I think it was helpful for me and others as to how to place these reports from a safety perspective. So it is 20 to 1. There's 20 minutes left, and I leave it to you, Commissioner, to decide how you want to use the time. I'm done. Thank you.

Page 145	Page 147
1 COMMISSIONER:	1 COMMISSIONER:
2 Q. Thank you. On the list now, well, the Inquiry	2 Q. All right then, thank you. Counsel for
3 counsel has led off and there is no counsel	3 Memorial University of Newfoundland?
4 for the party being examined, of course.	4 HURLEY, Q.C.:
5 Counsel for Transport Canada, is counsel for	5 Q. No questions.
6 Transport Canada present?	6 COMMISSIONER:
7 MS. FAGAN:	7 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hurley. Counsel for the
8 Q. Not present.	8 Government of Newfoundland and Labrador?
9 COMMISSIONER:	9 MR. PRITCHARD:
10 Q. No, not present, all right. CAPP?	10 Q. We have a few questions.
11 MR. SCHULTZ:	11 COMMISSIONER:
12 Q. No questions, thank you.	12 Q. No questions.
13 COMMISSIONER:	13 MR. PRITCHARD:
14 Q. No questions, thank you. The three oil	14 Q. We have a few questions.
operators, HMDC?	15 COMMISSIONER:
16 MS. STRICKLAND:	16 Q. Oh, you have a few questions. It's about 20
17 Q. We're going to reserve our right for cross	minutes to 1. Would you like to start now?
until all other crosses are completed.	18 If you haven't many questions, you may be able
19 COMMISSIONER:	to finish. What's your preference?
20 Q. That may not be possible because C-NLOPB has	20 MR. PRITCHARD:
asked me if they could go last, because the	21 Q. Well, I don't have a lot of questions, but I
regulator is very much a so they will go	22 wouldn't mind reviewing some of the material
23 last.	this morning during lunch and then what I
24 MS. STRICKLAND:	have, I'll be brief.
25 Q. We'd be content to go second last.	25 COMMISSIONER:
Page 146	Page 148
1 COMMISSIONER:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it?
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions?	Ç
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD:
COMMISSIONER:     Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions?     MS. STRICKLAND:     Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes.
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER:
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators?	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one.
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right?
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then.
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD:
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you.
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK)
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER:
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment.	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then.
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER: 20 Q. Okay, thank you. Helly Hansen?	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD 20 MR. PRITCHARD:
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER: 20 Q. Okay, thank you. Helly Hansen? 21 MR. SPENCER:	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD 20 MR. PRITCHARD: 21 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. My name is Rolf
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER: 20 Q. Okay, thank you. Helly Hansen? 21 MR. SPENCER: 22 Q. Commissioner, we have no questions at this	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD 20 MR. PRITCHARD: 21 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. My name is Rolf 22 Pritchard and I represent the Province of
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER: 20 Q. Okay, thank you. Helly Hansen? 21 MR. SPENCER: 22 Q. Commissioner, we have no questions at this 23 time, although again, if something were to	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD 20 MR. PRITCHARD: 21 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. My name is Rolf 22 Pritchard and I represent the Province of 23 Newfoundland and Labrador and the questions
1 COMMISSIONER: 2 Q. So at any rate, you do want to ask questions? 3 MS. STRICKLAND: 4 Q. We may, depending on any issues that come up 5 out of the cross-examination by other parties. 6 COMMISSIONER: 7 Q. I see. So you're not sure. Does that apply 8 to all three oil operators? 9 MR. PRITCHETT: 10 Q. It does. 11 MACDONALD, Q.C.: 12 Q. It does, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER: 14 Q. Yes, all right then. Counsel for Cougar, Mr. 15 Stamp? A new face, welcome. 16 STAMP, Q.C.: 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. We don't have any 18 questions at the moment. 19 COMMISSIONER: 20 Q. Okay, thank you. Helly Hansen? 21 MR. SPENCER: 22 Q. Commissioner, we have no questions at this	1 Q. You wouldn't mind lunch hour to reflect on it? 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 4 Q. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER: 6 Q. All right then. Well, what I will suggest, in 7 the interest of getting on with thing 8 speedily, it's nearly quarter to one. 9 Supposing we make the hour lunch quarter to 10 two and so that we won't lose any time out of 11 a fairly tight schedule. Is that all right? 12 So if we could come back at quarter to two and 13 you could begin then. 14 MR. PRITCHARD: 15 Q. Thank you. 16 (LUNCH BREAK) 17 COMMISSIONER: 18 Q. Okay. Whenever you're ready then. 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD 20 MR. PRITCHARD: 21 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. My name is Rolf 22 Pritchard and I represent the Province of

June 28, 2010 Multi-Page TM Offsh	ore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
Page 149	Page 151
	risdictions. One of the other
	out into the mix in selecting
3 A. Um-hm. 3 was the governm	nent structure, as well as the -
	er or not it was a first or a
5 Q. And just before I ask my questions, I guess I 5 third world cour	ntry in that classification and
6 should just indicate that I'm aware of the 6 also the sharing	of onshore and offshore as
7 limitations, if you will, that were expressed 7 well. There's a	lot of variables in that and
8 earlier today, which is that that's not your 8 there's certainly	different scope of structure
9 area of expertise. 9 with the various	regulators in those smaller
10 MS. TURNER: 10 jurisdictions.	
11 A. Yes. 11 MR. PRITCHARD:	
	examples that were used, and
	ine examples, in most of those
	nere are national regulators,
	gional regulators as we see in
into it, it wasn't intended to be that type of Nova Scotia or I	Newfoundland.
report. I appreciate that. So if I ask 17 MS. TURNER:	
questions that require too much detail or so 18 A. Yes.	
forth, I'll understand if that's the response. 19 MR. PRITCHARD:	
·	e other countries, perhaps that
	red, have more of the regional
22 MR. PRITCHARD: 22 regulator model	?
Q. One of the areas that you touched on at the 23 MS. TURNER:	
	n't answer that in a lot of
	er, when you look at the
Page 150	Page 152
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ally is driven by the size
	the fields and so, you know,
· ·	have very small fields. Others
	are untapped. Maybe their
	n't commenced. The biggest
	g the different jurisdictions
	to draw the exact similarities
	ture, Commonwealth countries,
	was more to really try and
	of the good practices that might
	othing that everything can be
	is really key in this area.
Q. But I was wondering, in terms of other regimes around the world, I'd be curious to know, are 14 Q. Okay. I had a fe	aw questions about some of the
	ew questions about some of the es. The first one I have
	ted Kingdom and you were good
	de the information that there's
	00 helicopters involved in that
	ne piece I was curious is we
	environment here, as you
	obviously they have a certain
	ere. The helicopters that are
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	be curious to know with some
	ow they compare in terms of
the operating environment here. There are 25 the duration of t	•

June 28, 2010	Multi-Page <sup>TM</sup>	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 153	Page 155
1 MS. TURNER:	1	But I wonder, just sort of using an example
2 A. Yes.	2	that we could relate to here, certain specific
3 MR. PRITCHARD:	3	things like survival suit standards or what is
4 Q. Would it be a longer flight or a shorter	er 4	necessary, what kind of training standards are
5 flight or comparable? I don't know if th	<u> </u>	necessary or like the HUEBA that we heard
6 something you can assist us with in term	ns of 6	about. In the UK example, for instance, which
7 the different -	7	of those bodies would be responsible for
8 MS. TURNER:	8	setting those standards? Would it be one of
9 A. Yeah, sure. We didn't necessarily spec	eify 9	those two or would it be another organization?
that in the report, but you will note for ea	ach 10 MS. T	URNER:
country there's actually maps and layou	ts of 11 A.	Yeah, sure. I might leave that question to
the operating environment or the area	of 12	some of our experts following because I think
jurisdiction, so that may be something to	look 13	I'm probably the odd man out when it comes to
at. In terms of the helicopter operations	5, 14	the experts, in terms of suits, training,
the duration, even the sequencing of flight	ght 15	survival, the equipment. I think there's
and how that happens, whether or not yo	·	other people that are more qualified to get
go direct to the installation and back o		into that level of detail, but certainly from
whether or not there's a route and a		a prescription versus regular the
structure, we didn't do a comparison on		performance based review, and I know that's an
20 type of thing, however that certainly cou		area of interest to a lot of people and it
21 mapped out in that more in-depth work	that I 21	really is an emerging area, an example from a
22 referred to earlier.	22	principle based approach is a performance or
23 MR. PRITCHARD:	23	an outcome based regulation would say you are
Q. Just one other question about the UK exa	- 1	to conduct or have an appropriate regime so
and I'm looking on page 13, and only be	ecause 25	that the skills of the workers are fit for
	Page 154	Page 156
paragraph 3.4, which is where you focus	sed in 1	purpose and would enable, you know,
2 on the helicopter operations oversight an	d you 2	appropriate escape and evacuation, et cetera,
3 had explained earlier on about the HSC, v	which 3	as opposed to the regulation saying you must
4 I gather was a had responsibility fo	r 4	do HUET training. So you can see how the
5 safety legislation across a broad range	of 5	performance based outcome actually describes
6 activities, not just helicopter or the	6	the competency or objective that you're trying
7 offshore. Is that correct?	7	to meet, which are to have skilled workers
8 MS. TURNER:	8	that can perform the function effectively to
9 A. Yes.	9	get out of an aircraft in the case of an
10 MR. PRITCHARD:	10	emergency, as opposed to the prescription
11 Q. Okay. And here you explain there's		around exactly what way, shape and form that
interaction with the civil aviation authority	·	that could be achieved.
which I guess is a structure we see repea		RITCHARD:
14 quite often.	14 Q.	Now with the other regimes that you looked at,
15 MS. TURNER:	15	Australia or Norway or Nova Scotia, or indeed
16 A. Yes.	16	Norway if I ware to ask a similar question
17 MR. PRITCHARD:	10	Norway, if I were to ask a similar question,
	17	you know, sort of drilling down into those
18 Q. And I appreciate your remarks about tryi	ng to 17	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice
get away from a prescriptive approach	17 ng to 18 for a 19	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other
get away from a prescriptive approach a lot of these jurisdictions, so if my question	17 ng to 18 for a 19 on 20	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other presenters?
get away from a prescriptive approach a lot of these jurisdictions, so if my questic sounds as though I'm going after -	17 ng to 18 for a 19 on 20 21 MS. TO	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other presenters?  URNER:
get away from a prescriptive approach in lot of these jurisdictions, so if my questic sounds as though I'm going after - 22 MS. TURNER:	17 ng to 18 for a 19 20 21 MS. TO 22 A.	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other presenters?  URNER:  Yeah, absolutely, and you see that the scope
get away from a prescriptive approach of lot of these jurisdictions, so if my questic sounds as though I'm going after - 22 MS. TURNER:  A. No, that's okay.	17 18 19 20 21 MS. TO 22 A. 23	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other presenters?  URNER:  Yeah, absolutely, and you see that the scope of our work didn't extend into the specifics
get away from a prescriptive approach in lot of these jurisdictions, so if my questic sounds as though I'm going after - 22 MS. TURNER:	17 18 19 20 21 MS. TU 22 A. 23 24	you know, sort of drilling down into those specific kinds of details, would your advice again be to deal with some of the other presenters?  URNER:  Yeah, absolutely, and you see that the scope

Page 157 Page 159 in terms of standards, approach, scope of of regulation is? Is that what it's saying? 1 2 regulation, as opposed to the data. 2 MS. TURNER: A. It's quite interesting. When you're talking 3 MR. PRITCHARD: 3 Q. The American example is a fascinating one, about the HSAC, the topics that are discussed 4 just the sheer virtue of the size. or in my exposure to those meetings, much more 5 5 6 MS. TURNER: helicopter centric. They're focused on safety 6 A. Yes. practices, technology, equipment of the 7 aircraft, as opposed to the people and the 8 MR. PRITCHARD: 8 workers and the personal protective equipment Q. I had no idea of the numbers until I read your 9 10 report. It was quite staggering. You make 10 and suits, et cetera. So that forum that's reference to 4,000 helidecks and 200 companies being referred to in the States is looking at 11 11 and the fleet sizes can range from 1 to 200 aircraft standards, interaction with the FAA, 12 12 13 aircraft. I'm wondering if you can assist us 13 capability, technology, pilot training, and so with a general number. Like for example, in it's much more aviation centric. 14 14 the Gulf of Mexico, how many aircraft are we 15 15 MR. PRITCHARD: 16 talking about? Q. Okay. I have a question about Australia now. 17 MS. TURNER: 17 MS. TURNER: A. Here we go. 18 A. Yeah. We weren't actually able to ascertain an exact figure, but as it mentions here in 19 19 MR. PRITCHARD: terms of the helicopter operators, they really O. You indicate there's 166 offshore facilities. 20 20 are very, very diverse and I don't know of too 21 21 MS. TURNER: 22 many other jurisdictions around the world 22 A. Um-hm. where that is the case, where you have the 23 23 MR. PRITCHARD: smaller operators, and when I say smaller Q. And I'm just curious, just trying to get a 24 sense of the volume of flying. We're talking operators, it's not just in terms of aircraft 25 25 Page 158 Page 160 numbers, but also aircraft size and about a thousand aircraft or a hundred, any 1 1 2 capability. We're all familiar here with the 2 sense? 3 S-92. It's actually quite a large helicopter. 3 MS. TURNER: Some of these smaller operators actually have 4 A. No, I'd have to really look at the details, 4 quite small aircraft and don't necessarily 5 5 but my estimates based on my knowledge of the have the scope of capability, in terms of industry, maybe around 30 aircraft. So in 6 6 terms of Australia, you've got three or four 7 technology, aircraft, duration, equipment, et 7 main operating areas. You've got down in the 8 cetera. 8 southeast corner and so, Esso is the primary MR. PRITCHARD: 9 operator. They have a fleet of six to eight Q. Just one other question about the American 10 10 11 example, and at the risk of this perhaps being 11 aircraft. And then in the western and a question I should ask one of the other northern area, there's a number of other 12 12 presenters, on page 26, in the second 13 13 operators, CHC being one, Jayro Helicopters, paragraph under 4.4, you indicate -- you talk and Bristows, and so there's Karatha and then 14 14 about the Helicopter Safety Advisory 15 up in Darwin, two of the local ports. So I 15 Conference guidelines and you indicate that wouldn't expect that it would be any more than 16 16 the guidelines are not binding, and then in 30 aircraft. The actual size of the company's 17 17 the next paragraph, under the FAA, you also aircraft are quite small. Unlike the US and 18 18 19 indicate at the end of that, there's no 19 maybe the UK where one company may have 50 specific program or regulatory work under way aircraft or 100 aircraft, we're really talking 20 20 with respect to offshore helicopter travel. about quite small operations that are 21 21 comparable to what you have here in St. 22 You're not suggesting that, with respect to 22 those items that I asked about earlier, that John's. 23 23 it's unregulated. It just, I guess, doesn't 24 24 MR. PRITCHARD: 25 indicate what the specific source of that type 25 Q. I take it the areas that are serviced are

Julic 20, 2010 With	onshore Hencopter Sarety Inquiry
Page 161	Page 163
those areas that are referred to as basins on	1 HARRIS, Q.C.:
the diagram? Is that right?	2 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. Good afternoon. My
3 MS. TURNER:	a name is Jack Harris. I'm a Member of
4 A. That's correct, on page 29.	4 Parliament, Parliament of Canada, for this
5 MR. PRITCHARD:	5 riding and I just have a couple of questions.
6 Q. Just with respect to the question I've asked	6 First of all, it may sound silly, but help me
7 about, you know, who would be responsible for	7 understand your design of your questionnaire
8 those specific types of things like suits.	8 because I'm looking at, let's say, Question
9 MS. TURNER:	9 No. 8, which talks about "how safe do you feel
10 A. Yes.	travelling in helicopters?" and it goes from
11 MR. PRITCHARD:	not safe to very safe with people just being
12 Q. In the Australian context, I don't know if	asked to answer on a scale of one to five, do
perhaps it's one you're more familiar with.	you feel safe. I wonder what three means.
14 MS. TURNER:	Does it mean you don't feel safe, you do feel
15 A. Yeah. I'm actually not that familiar with	safe or you're not you don't have an
suits, so I might just push that to the side.	opinion, and does that get counted in one side
You've got three or four experts that actually	or the other or is it ignored? So could you
work in this field, sit on standards	18 enlighten us about that?
committees, et cetera, and so that's really	19 MS. TURNER:
20 outside my area of expertise.	20 A. Yes, sure. So just in terms of the question,
21 MR. PRITCHARD:	21 if I can confirm, it is question number on the
22 Q. The last country that you referenced in the	22 -
report is South Africa.	23 HARRIS, Q.C.:
24 MS. TURNER:	24 Q. Question 8 on page 19.
125 A. Yes.	25 MS. TURNER:
25 A. Yes.	25 MS. TURNER:
Page 162	Page 164
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct. 2 It was really interesting. We had a decision
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD: 2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet. 3 MS. TURNER: 4 A. Yes. 5 MR. PRITCHARD: 6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any 7 idea of the number of sites, the number of 8 aircraft involved? 9 MS. TURNER: 10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our  12 assumption was that it was a similar size,	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, when you start looking at their oilfields, et	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South 11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, 13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et cetera, so our assumption was it would be	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our  12 assumption was that it was a similar size,  13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et  14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be  15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't  necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, when you start looking at their oilfields, et cetera, so our assumption was it would be quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well.	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't  necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  case.
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South 11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, 13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et 14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be 15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well. 17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't  necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  case.  HARRIS, Q.C.:
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any  7 idea of the number of sites, the number of  8 aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our  12 assumption was that it was a similar size,  13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et  14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be  15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal  16 structure and things there as well.  17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get  18 that information and certainly within the time	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't  necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  case.  HARRIS, Q.C.:  Well, one if I may interrupt for one
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, when you start looking at their oilfields, et cetera, so our assumption was it would be quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well.  17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get that information and certainly within the time frame, it wasn't possible.	Page 164  A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  It was really interesting. We had a decision  to make with asking this question of whether  or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  we actually debated this quite long and hard  internally within our team as to whether that  would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  or not safe. The scale of one to five was  selected because you've got the extremes, you  do have a middle and then you've got either  side. So just looking at the results there up  on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  respondents actually sat in the middle and  your comment as to whether or not they didn't  necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  case.  HARRIS, Q.C.:  Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  second?
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size,  13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et cetera, so our assumption was it would be quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well.  17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get that information and certainly within the time frame, it wasn't possible.  20 MR. PRITCHARD:	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was  9 selected because you've got the extremes, you  10 do have a middle and then you've got either  11 side. So just looking at the results there up  12 on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  13 respondents actually sat in the middle and  14 your comment as to whether or not they didn't  15 necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  16 case.  17 HARRIS, Q.C.:  18 Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  19 second?  20 MS. TURNER:
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD: 2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet. 3 MS. TURNER: 4 A. Yes. 5 MR. PRITCHARD: 6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved? 9 MS. TURNER: 10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South 11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, 13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et 14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be 15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well. 17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get 18 that information and certainly within the time 19 frame, it wasn't possible. 20 MR. PRITCHARD: 21 Q. All right. Those are my questions. Thank you	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was  9 selected because you've got the extremes, you  10 do have a middle and then you've got either  11 side. So just looking at the results there up  12 on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  13 respondents actually sat in the middle and  14 your comment as to whether or not they didn't  15 necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  16 case.  17 HARRIS, Q.C.:  18 Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  19 second?  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Um-hm.
Page 162  1 MR PRITCHARD: 2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet. 3 MS. TURNER: 4 A. Yes. 5 MR PRITCHARD: 6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved? 9 MS. TURNER: 10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South 11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, 13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et 14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be 15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal 16 structure and things there as well. 17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get 18 that information and certainly within the time 19 frame, it wasn't possible. 20 MR PRITCHARD: 21 Q. All right. Those are my questions. Thank you 22 very much.	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was  9 selected because you've got the extremes, you  10 do have a middle and then you've got either  11 side. So just looking at the results there up  12 on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  13 respondents actually sat in the middle and  14 your comment as to whether or not they didn't  15 necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  16 case.  17 HARRIS, Q.C.:  18 Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  19 second?  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Um-hm.  22 HARRIS, Q.C.:
Page 162  1 MR. PRITCHARD:  Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet.  3 MS. TURNER:  4 A. Yes.  5 MR. PRITCHARD:  6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved?  9 MS. TURNER:  10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South  11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size,  13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et  14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be  15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal structure and things there as well.  17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get that information and certainly within the time frame, it wasn't possible.  20 MR. PRITCHARD:  21 Q. All right. Those are my questions. Thank you very much.  23 COMMISSIONER:	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was  9 selected because you've got the extremes, you  10 do have a middle and then you've got either  11 side. So just looking at the results there up  12 on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  13 respondents actually sat in the middle and  14 your comment as to whether or not they didn't  15 necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  16 case.  17 HARRIS, Q.C.:  18 Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  19 second?  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Um-hm.  22 HARRIS, Q.C.:  23 Q. It's not very unsafe and very safe, for
Page 162  1 MR PRITCHARD: 2 Q. And I appreciate that that's just a snippet. 3 MS. TURNER: 4 A. Yes. 5 MR PRITCHARD: 6 Q. Are you able to assist us at all just to any idea of the number of sites, the number of aircraft involved? 9 MS. TURNER: 10 A. No. We were very, very keen to put South 11 Africa in this research, the reason being our assumption was that it was a similar size, 13 when you start looking at their oilfields, et 14 cetera, so our assumption was it would be 15 quite comparable in nature and similar legal 16 structure and things there as well. 17 Unfortunately we made multiple attempts to get 18 that information and certainly within the time 19 frame, it wasn't possible. 20 MR PRITCHARD: 21 Q. All right. Those are my questions. Thank you 22 very much.	Page 164  1 A. Okay, page 19, Question 8. That's correct.  2 It was really interesting. We had a decision  3 to make with asking this question of whether  4 or not we purely wanted to ask a yes or no and  5 we actually debated this quite long and hard  6 internally within our team as to whether that  7 would give us anything of use to say I'm safe  8 or not safe. The scale of one to five was  9 selected because you've got the extremes, you  10 do have a middle and then you've got either  11 side. So just looking at the results there up  12 on the screen, you'll see that 344 survey  13 respondents actually sat in the middle and  14 your comment as to whether or not they didn't  15 necessarily feel safe or unsafe may be the  16 case.  17 HARRIS, Q.C.:  18 Q. Well, one if I may interrupt for one  19 second?  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Um-hm.  22 HARRIS, Q.C.:

Ju	me 28, 2010 Multi	-Pa	age TM	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 165			Page 167
1		1		between poor and excellent, but I'm not so
2		2		sure when you have an absolute, whether it
3		3		does the same thing, but that's I'm just
4		4		trying to understand that for my own use.
l	MS. TURNER:	5		Well, thank you. On the I'd like to turn
6	. T7	6		to the issue which I think probably dominates
1	HARRIS, Q.C.:	7		your presentation, which I found very
8		8		interesting, and I'm interested in the notion
9		9		of a performance or goal based or outcome
10		10		based regimes versus the regulatory ones, and
11		11		I was I put it to you that I don't think
12		12		you're suggesting that it's an either/or
13		13		proposition. It seems to me that when you
14		14		described Norway, for example, you talked
15		15		about as Norway matures, but Norway seems to
l	MS. TURNER:	16		me at least, to have probably a desirable mix
17		17		of both.
18				URNER:
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19		Yes.
20	•			IS, Q.C.:
21		21		But if you look at Norway, and if I may refer
22	·	22		you to a couple of paragraphs here, you know,
23		23		sometimes when you hear this performance
24		24		based, it almost sounds like self regulation.
25				URNER:
	Page 166			Page 168
1		1	Δ	Yeah.
2	C			IS, Q.C.:
ı	HARRIS, Q.C.:	3		Which I don't think you're proposing, but
4	101	4		let's look at what Norway says in its or
5		5		what you say about Norway, for example, on
6		6		page 41, and describing Norway's that's
7		7		Exhibit 210, page 41, at the bottom there, the
8		8		framework regulations stipulate the Norwegian
ı	MS. TURNER:	9		equivalent of the phrase "as low as reasonably
10	**	10		practicable" and it says "far more danger to
ı	HARRIS, Q.C.:	11		harm shall be prevented or limited in
12		12		accordance with the legislation related to
ı	MS. TURNER:	13		health, the environment and safety, including
14		14		internal requirements and acceptance criteria.
ı	HARRIS, Q.C.:	15		Over and above this level, the risk shall be
16		16		further reduced to the extent possible." So
ı	MS. TURNER:	17		this notion of reducing harm is an add on to
18		18		the existing regulation, which I think are
19		19		somewhat prescriptive in Norway. If I may, on
20	-	20		page 43, when you're talking about the
21		21		operations of the PSA, which is the Petroleum
2	* *	22		Sofatry Authority and tally about giving

22

23

25

24 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes.

Safety Authority, and talk about giving

consent to their plans.

could be legitimate.

they are neutral on the issue, both of those

Q. By way of comment, it seems to work there,

22

23

25

24 HARRIS, Q.C.:

June 28, 2010	Multi-Pa	age TM	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 169		Page 171
1 HARRIS, Q.C.:	1		describe them, but it also has a significant
2 Q. So the second paragraph there, about ha	alfway 2		enforcement regime that it can use if it has
3 through, "an official consent is also requ	aired 3		to?
4 as important milestones of operation of	r to 4	MS. TU	JRNER:
5 continue. The consent application incl	udes 5	A.	Yes.
6 the current safety management system,	" which 6	HARRI	(S, Q.C.:
7 is the kind of thing you're talking about	. 7	Q.	And do you think that's a good thing or can
8 MS. TURNER:	8		you go it alone with the safety management
9 A. Um-hm.	9		cases?
10 HARRIS, Q.C.:	10	MS. TU	JRNER:
11 Q. "As well as a number of binding comn	nitments 11	A.	Yes, sure. With in my knowledge, all
specific to that facility. There are minir	num 12		regulators around the world have a continuum
standards which the operator must meet	and any 13		of enforcement and certainly that stepped
14 commitments made beyond this m	inimum 14		approach outlines that continuum. At the very
15 constitute a legal requirement for th	ie 15		severe end of penalty are fines, loss of
operation." So even the safety manag	ement 16		license, penalties, legal charges, et cetera.
17 systems have become legally binding u	pon the 17		What you're actually seeing is a bit of a
operator once they're agreed to. And a	gain, 18		shift to really define that continuum and move
if I may, you talked about the importan	ce of		towards more that process based self fixing.
the industry in Norway, 35-34 percent of	of its 20		I'd like to pick up on a couple of things
gross national income. On page 44, yo	u talk 21		you've talked about.
22 about the step approach. You mentione	ed that 22		I'll come back to your comment about self
23 specifically.	23		regulation, because I think it's really
24 MS. TURNER:	24		important to understand where that fits in the
25 A. Um-hm.	25		scheme of things, in particular in relation to
	Page 170		Page 172
1 HARRIS, O.C.:	1	a	safety management system, but first of all.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1 HARRIS, Q.C.:
```

Q. In that same paragraph, it says "given the 2 nature of the petroleum industry and its 3 stature in Norway, the potential for public 4 5 embarrass is a sufficient deterrent for companies to engage with the regulator. 6 7 Dialogue is a key part of the supervisory role 8 and is influential in causing changes" and I 9 would have to agree with that. Obviously the kind of dialogue, ask them to improve their 10 11 safety culture, develop your own plans and you mentioned the fact that -12

13 MS. TURNER:

A. Yes. 14

15 HARRIS, Q.C.: 16 Q. - in Norway now, the companies themselves are 17 putting forth their own schemes as well. But 18 I will say, as the last para -- the last 19 sentence of that paragraph says "fines and charges, as well as the removal of consent, 20 21 are considered the last steps, are only 22 necessary in serious cases." So it seems to 23 me, from reading that, that not only does 24 Norway encourage the safety plans or safety 25 cases, various names that have been used to

a safety management system, but first of all, this performance based regulation is firstly a philosophical shift in the regulatory approach. I think we'd all be in agreement that a compliance based -- basically, the flip side of a performance based or goal oriented or outcome based, those three words are all used interchangeably, is a compliance or prescriptive based approach. Any regulator in any industry, part of their role is to provide or ensure compliance. Now whether you ensure or assure, I guess, comes down to the degree of certainty in which you're going to do that.

So first of all, this shift to a performance based regulatory regime is a philosophical shift. Secondly, and in translating that into practice, the biggest change actually comes in terms of how the inspections are undertaken by the regulators' inspectors and whether or not it's compliance based checklist auditing where the inspector goes in with their list and only checks that or whether it's process based auditing, and this is quite important where the inspector goes in to evaluate a process behind how a

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 173 company does something, as opposed to just the 1 rule or the actual outcome of that compliance 2 based aspect itself. 3 So when we're talking about shifting, 4 5

you're right in Norway it has been this shift over time. They do still have, in most cases, that full continuum. I guess the thing comes down to where is the emphasis? Is it more on the process based side? Is it more on compliance or is it fairly balanced and use both?

In terms of safety management systems, there is a recognition, particularly -- and I'll speak from aviation regulators and one could translate that across to the petroleum industry, just from a principle based approach, that not every scenario, case, hazard or risk in the aviation industry can be, number one, identified by the regulator and number two, have a rule in place to mitigate, and I use that word quite deliberately, to mitigate or remove that risk. And so the move in the aviation field has been to adopt this performance or outcome base that relies on the operator, the helicopter company

Page 175

- Q. I think we could all agree that having 2 industry be very conscious of the fact that,
- you know, a process based assessment is 3
- valuable, but there's still an implementation 4
- side of all of this. 5
- 6 MS. TURNER:
- A. Yes.
- 8 HARRIS, Q.C.:
- Q. And someone still has to make a decision, you
  - know, and get the case, for example, as the
- Commissioner did recently in this 11
- recommendation that a 15-minute or 20-minute 12
- response time is required, as opposed to a 45-13
- minute response time or that, you know, gas 14
- tanks are -- will be or will not be in the 15
- 16 same space as passenger, all of these things
- have to be decided. 17
- 18 MS. TURNER:
- A. Yes.
- 20 HARRIS, Q.C.:
- Q. And I notice you use the word "only", that an 21
- inspector would not only be looking at a 22
- checklist. 23
- 24 MS. TURNER:
- A. Yeah.

Page 174

1 HARRIS, Q.C.:

- Q. You're not saying, I don't think, but it
- sounds like it -3
- 4 MS. TURNER:
- A. One system doesn't replace -
- 6 HARRIS, Q.C.:
- Q. you're shifting. You're not actually
- 8 removing -
- 9 MS. TURNER:
- A. Replacing, correct.
- 11 HARRIS, Q.C.:
- Q. the regulation. You're suggesting that 12
- there ought to be that safety culture, the 13
- safety management system are very valuable, 14
- but I mean, even Australia where, again, 15
  - there's quite a lot of interest in the case,
- 16
- the safety case, I see on page 32 of the same 17
- Exhibit 210, I believe, the -- I forget what 18
- 19 that means now, but "the petroleum safety
- authority addresses its core regulatory 20 21
  - responsibilities through monitoring and
- enforcement strategies that include the 22
- planned inspections, themed audits," which you 23
- talked about -24
- 25 MS. TURNER:

in this case or the aviation provider,

- airport, airline, et cetera, to have a process
- by which they manage that issue, so that they 3
- will pick up their hazards, their risks, the 4 5 severity and put appropriate reduction
- measures in place. 6

7

There is a recognition in the aviation regulatory world that after years and years and years of having a workforce of inspectors who are prescriptive or compliance based, that are recruited on their experience and industry knowledge, to shift that to a work force that has process based thinking or systems based thinking is actually a huge shift and I've had conversations in the last three months with the FAA in the US, Transport Canada in the aviation, marine, rail and security areas, the Civil Aviation Authority in New Zealand and the aviation regulator in Australia. All the same conversation about how do you shift your work force capability from compliance and

based evaluation. It's actually a different 23 way of auditing and evaluating. 24

prescriptive based approach into this process

25 HARRIS, Q.C.:

Page 176

June 28, 2010	Multi-P	'age Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 177	Page 179
1 A. Um-hm.	1	industry, is to set rules and regulations and
2 HARRIS, Q.C.:	2	to provide some level of confidence that there
3 Q "assessments and acceptance of safety	cases, 3	is compliance with those regulations.
4 safety management plans for diving		Now in the aviation industry, most
5 pipelines, investigation of incident of		
6 complaints and enforcement activities		
7 include measures for prosecutions	and 7	are minimum. They're not the highest standard
8 ultimately withdrawal of safety ca	se 8	and they're not necessarily best practice. So
9 approvals."	9	from a society's tolerance perspective, they
10 MS. TURNER:	10	have been prescriptive in what the criteria is
11 A. That's right.	11	for some of the specifics, but certainly there
12 HARRIS, Q.C.:	12	is a push to encourage the aviation industry
13 Q. So some of these things are kind of	big 13	to go beyond compliance and not strive to meet
hammers, like they'll take away your lic	cense, 14	the regulatory minimums or the regulations.
15 you can't produce oil or you can't fly y	our 15	5 HARRIS, Q.C.:
16 helicopters.	16	Q. You mentioned Transport Canada. Recently,
17 MS. TURNER:	17	7 Transport Canada moved to what was called by
18 A. Yes.	18	its critic, self management of its safety and
19 HARRIS, Q.C.:	19	maintenance programs and in the face of
20 Q. But that's, you know, not very often goi	ng to 20	criticism backed off of that and said no, no,
be used unless someone is totally negli	gent 21	we will continue to monitor that ourselves.
and reckless with respect to how they		2 MS. TURNER:
handling their business, but enforcement	nt for 23	3 A. Yeah.
violations of regulations or violations	of 24	4 HARRIS, Q.C.:
safety procedures seems to me to be still	ll an 25	Q. We're not satisfied to leave that to the
	Page 178	Page 180
1 important part of keeping behaviours operat	ing 1	airline industry. So this debate is still
2 in conformity with safety requirements. Wo	uld 2	going on. This only happened in the last six
3 you agree with that?	3	months.
4 MS. TURNER:	4	4 MS. TURNER:
5 A. Yes, I'd agree with your comments around a	a few 5	5 A. Yes.
6 things. Firstly is the balanced approach,	6	6 HARRIS, Q.C.:
7 that it's not either/or. So you don't replace	7	Q. I notice in your paper as well, on page 25,
8 compliance with regulations with this proce	ss 8	talking about the American experience, that
9 based thinking. It is a continuum and a shif	t 9	the basis of MMS regulatory enforcement is
of emphasis and I think that's predominant	ly 10	through the self inspection program.
because the scope of risk that any regulator	11	1 MS. TURNER:
in any industry has is quite broad. So the	12	2 A. Um-hm.
question comes down to is it the role of the	13	3 HARRIS, Q.C.:
regulator to identify the risks and hazard and	d 14	Q. And they have a list of 27 criteria that you
then put regulations in place to control the	15	1
risk or is it the role of the regulator to set	16	
17 the standard and audit against the standard	17	
and how does that take place.	18	
19 Your reference to enforcement, you're	19	doing pretty good.
20 right. There is a, I guess, a severe end of		) MS. TURNER:
21 that continuum which is where the penalty,	the 21	A. Fine, thanks, absolutely.
22 enforcement, the legal penalties come into	22	2 HARRIS, Q.C.:
play. A regulator really has a number of	23	
24 roles. One is to and I'm speaking from a	24	<u>.</u>
25 position of expertise in the aviation	25	while I'm supporting your notion of the fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 183

that there needs to be a culture of safety, 1 2 and by the way, I mean, my experience in acting for unions in the offshore oil industry 3 is every oil company will tell you -- they're 4 all here and they'll tell you when they get 5 6 up, that safety is number one priority, and we 7 understand that, but you still have to have what are the nuts and bolts, what's the 8 content of that, and you know, you can't 10 replace a regulation by someone saying we have a culture of safety and we look after that 11 ourselves. So I'm glad you're not saying we 12 throw out the enforcement and the regulation, 13 but that we add that this is an add on, that 14 15 the safety culture, the safety management 16 system, the safety cases is in fact forcing the industry to be proactive and come up with 17 the ideas that they themselves say we don't 18 want to be regulated because we have better 19 ideas than being stuck with the regulations. 20 21

Would you also agree that, as you say, some regulators will say that the standards are unlimited, they should move up and keep up with the latest technology and the latest advances as well? That it shouldn't be just a

Page 182

floor that stays as a floor. That that floor 1 2 actually moves with the times and are updated in accordance with the latest technology? 3 4 MS. TURNER:

5 A. Yeah, it's very fair to say that any regulator, unless the industry that they're 6 regulating is stagnant and doesn't change, 7 would be aiming to update regulations. Now 8 9 the cycle for how that is done and the time lag, certainly in the aviation industry is 10 11 quite large. For instance, changes in 12 technology and the introduction of helicopter terrain avoidance warning systems, the 13 technology is readily available. It is a 14 15 fantastic risk reduction measure to help prevent controlled flight into terrain, but 16 it's not necessarily mandated in the 17 regulations. 18 19

Now one would see over time as the technology is more readily available, the accepted practise, there will be a drive from the regulator to make, I would assume, that what's seen as now beyond compliance, probably the baseline over time, and so there really is that shift.

Page 181

In my presentation, I emphasize this issue of safety assurance, and I can't stress this enough, and I'm not sure, you know, whether everybody's latched onto how safety assurance differs from just normal audit or inspection. Assurance is about providing confidence and there is a range or again a continuum of tools and processes and techniques as to how you can provide confidence that things are safe. For example, a self-inspection program sits on that continuum, but what is that designed to do. Is it designed to give assurance internally to the company that those list of 27 things are covered, or is it designed to give confidence to the regulator that they're compliant with regulations?

So with an assurance regime or an assurance program, you actually map the functional areas of the organization. You work out what level of assurance is required, and a simple rule is there's assurance level 1, 2, and 3. A level 1 is where you just give a statement of assurance, your word; ask me and I'll tell you that I'm good. The second

Page 184 one is having that statement of assurance with some evidence that can be checked by whoever is checking, and assurance level 3 is saying, thanks very much, but I'm going to check this myself, and so -- whether or not that's a third party 100 percent compliance-based audit.

So with this move to have a structured approach around safety assurance, there is a recognition that it's not just one tool or one thing that is going to provide protection or provide 100 percent confidence. There's going to be this continuum, and certainly where this self-administration, self-regulation, or safety management systems fit, they all have a role in there somewhere, but it's important to get the balance.

18 HARRIS, Q.C.:

Q. How does a regulator assess a safety management system or a safety case? They obviously have to do it against some set of criteria.

23 MS. TURNER:

24 A. Yes.

25 HARRIS, Q.C.:

Page 181 - Page 184

Multi-Page TM Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry Page 185 Page 187 Q. And some of the issues that the Commissioner answer that question. You asked the question, 1 1 how do you evaluate a safety management 2 is dealing with, and whether we have forward 2 operating radar system. You also asked what's the criteria 3 3 4 MS. TURNER: for that evaluation in relation to the detail, 4 and one of the things I wanted to thread in, I 5 A. Yes. 5 mentioned this in my synopsis around paper #2 6 HARRIS, O.C.: 6 Q. And a search and rescue helicopter, these are with that comparison of the regulatory 7 regimes, that there is a move to risk-based 8 all -- all these come at a cost, and it's a 8 approach. The types of things that you're 9 cost that obviously is going to be borne not 9 10 by the regulator, or the taxpayer, but by the 10 raising really are the reason why risk industry itself. management is being introduced into the mix. 11 11 Why; because somebody needs to identify, 12 MS. TURNER: 12 measure, and make a determination of what A. Yes. 13 13 level of risk is acceptable, and whether 14 HARRIS, Q.C.: 14 that's the regulator in a case where that Q. And so what criteria does the regulator use as 15 15 16 to whether to approve or not approve a safety prescriptive approach will be taken and you 16 management system? Isn't it better to have a would mandate a rule or regulation for a piece 17 17 set of, okay, these are - this technology is of equipment, or whether it's the operator, 18 18 available, we want you to use it, and not that and when I say "operator", I'm not referring 19 19 we don't care about the cost, but we know that to an oil operator as such, I'm referring to 20 20 it's important enough to have, so we want to an aviation operator, it's the same 21 21 terminology used in two different industries, 22 have it. 22 whether the operator identifies the risk, 23 23 MS. TURNER: quantifies, and then determines what level of A. Uh-hm. 24 risk they're willing to accept. 25 HARRIS, Q.C.: 25 Page 186 Page 188 Q. So does it not put the regulator at a bit of a So the risk management piece needs to be 1 1 2 disadvantage having to compare apples and 2 thrown into the mix with this conversation and oranges, or make evaluations based on whatever with the debate. In terms of how you evaluate 3 3 argument is being given at another particular a safety management system, there is criteria. 4 4 5 time? At the end of the day, do they still 5 I'll give you a great example. In terms of not have to have a series of criteria by which measuring a safety management system, a 6 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 to evaluate the safety case? 8 MS. TURNER:

A. Um.

10 HARRIS, O.C.:

11 Q. I mean, maybe obviously there could be add-ons that the industry comes up with on their own 12 that the regulator might not have thought of, 13 as you say. They can't think of every 14 15 situation.

16 MS. TURNER:

17 A. Yes.

18 HARRIS, Q.C.:

19 Q. But it seems to me there has to be still a very robust set of regulations that ensures 20 that the - not just the basic safety regime, 21 but whatever is reasonably available is put 22 into place. 23 24 MS. TURNER:

A. There's a couple of - couple of components to

prescriptive approach, when you look at the elements of a safety management system, one is around safety communication. Another element is around safety accountabilities, etc.

The old way of having a safety program, and when I say "old", prior to the introduction of safety management system, there was a notion of prescription that every aviation organization had to have a safety manager and a safety committee, and so there was rules in place or guidance in place to basically say you don't have a great safety program unless you have a safety committee. A safety management system would define that you need to work out who has what levels of accountability and responsibility. If the way that you choose to do that is through a safety committee, then that's what evidence you provide to show that you're actually meeting

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 189 the elements of the safety management system. 1 The trick is when you have a workforce that 2 has a culture of compliance based, they're 3 looking to the list to say that you must have 4 a safety committee, you must have a safety 5 6 manual, you must have a policy statement on 7 the wall signed by the CEO. Now they're all good pieces of evidence to demonstrate that 8 you might have a safety management system, but 9 10 you can get a bit confused if somebody has the mindset from that compliance checklist based 11 approach. When they're asked to go out and 12 13 evaluate as opposed to check or just audit whether or not the accountabilities that this 14 15 company has defined are appropriate, whether 16 or not they're going to get the right level of attention with the safety matters, and so 17 there really is that shift. 18 A good example of this is with Transport 19 20

Canada's introduction of the safety management system some time ago, and this would be going back to your debate, the jury is still out and there's still a lot of dialogue, in an attempt to - and this is my personal opinion in looking at SMS and how to implement. It's

quite a difficult process because the aviation industry, the demographics and the culture of

the people that you want to be pilots, engineers, and the technical people, actually

5 are quite compliance-based on their approach.

They start, taxi, take off, they fly, they 6

7 land, they come back. When you give options 8

of it's up to you as to how to do it, it goes

9 against the grain as to the environment and 10

the behaviours that are traditionally set up. So when SMS was introduced by civil aviation

in Canada, their work was really recognized as

some of the leading work around the world, and you talk with any regulator and certainly

Transport Canada's material really does stack

up and is excellent work.

When you translate that into practise, Transport Canada provided very comprehensive guidance material to the industry. Each element of the SMS, it listed criteria, listed examples of evidence. There was a general move in the aviation industry for them to take that guidance material and have the mentality that this is the regulator's prescription, I have to have 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3 because of

Page 191

the cultural aspects. So this is why culture 1 2 is so important when you implement these

different systems. It needs to be taken into 3

account or things can, I guess, lose the 4

balance or sometimes the intent of how it was 5

designed to deliver on the outcome that we 6 7

talked about before.

8 HARRIS, O.C.:

Q. I submit that it didn't work in that 10 particular case, and I guess what I worry about is that these things take time.

12 MS. TURNER:

11

A. Yeah.

14 HARRIS, Q.C.:

Q. You know, this talk about culture and safety 15 culture, what happens in the meantime while 16 people are developing this new culture or this 17 new idea; someone has got to be minding the 18 shop and making sure that safety regulations 19 or safety interests are being followed. 20

21 MS. TURNER:

22 A. Uh-hm.

23 HARRIS, O.C.:

Page 190

Q. I take it you wouldn't be happy with a regime that just suggested we have the safety 25

Page 192 management system and we have no regulations 1 2 and no enforcement and this stuff, operating

under guidelines, you wouldn't be happy with 3 4

that, would you?

5 MS. TURNER:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Absolutely not, and I don't think there's been any assertion that a safety management system would play that role because a safety management system, one of the key differences between a safety program and a safety management system is the risk management component, and I think a lot of people forget that. A very simple way to describe a safety management system is you have the reactive component, which is about incident and accident investigation and data and what you do with that, you have the proactive component which is all about identifying, assessing, and managing risks, things that could happen, and then you encase it within a framework of accountability, so the reactive and proactive information moves to the right level of the organization, gets the attention, gets the appropriate assessment, gets the appropriate action and resourcing for that, so a lot of

Page 193 Page 195 A. Uh-hm. people forget the proactive risk management 1 2 piece and the role that that plays. 2 MS. O'BRIEN: Now an interesting thing to note, if you 3 Q. And I wanted to find out were the flight crew 3 look at the ISO standards committee for risk included as participants in the survey? We're 4 4 management, the International Standards talking about Cougar employees now, pilots and 5 5 Organization, they've published last year an co-pilots. 6 6 international standard on risk management. It 7 MS. TURNER: 7 8 is not a compliance based standard. You can't 8 A. Sure. The intent of the survey was predominantly for the passengers as it was a 9 get certification against ISO 31000, unlike 9 10 ISO 19000 quality systems and environmental 10 passenger survey, and so the distribution was management systems and things where you can really designed for the people checking in. 11 11 get certification and there's a little bit However, you can see on page 15, Question 3, 12 12 more prescription. The risk management we did have two pilots or aircrew that 13 13 standards is a process and the Chairman of actually filled in a survey, and I take it 14 14 that standards committee, Kevin Knight, will that they were either in transit or out at the 15 15 16 tell you it's not a compliance based standard. heliport and opted to do the survey. I'm very 16 So again, I guess, it gives you a feel for the much of the opinion if you were to run the 17 17 philosophy. It really is a passive maturity. same survey of the Cougar staff, you would get 18 18 It certainly isn't switch off one regime, turn different results because of their safety 19 19 on the other. regime, their safety system, and the practises 20 20 So the way that Norway has kind of there within the organization. 21 21 22 shifted their approach over, you know, quite a 22 MS. O'BRIEN: lengthy period going back into the 80s, is 23 23 Q. So that part answers my question, but leads to quite appropriate and even the move into the some more, because when you say that the 24 24 2011 regulations are yet another shift along survey was in part designed to -- your basis 25 25 Page 194 Page 196 was to look at the list of issues that the 1 that continuum. So it really is a journey, 1 Commissioner developed. 2 and not one or the other. 2 3 HARRIS, Q.C.: 3 MS. TURNER: A. Uh-hm. Q. Thank you. That's been quite helpful. 5 MS. O'BRIEN: 5 MS. TURNER: Q. And sort of designed the survey around those A. Thanks. 6 6 7 COMMISSIONER: 7 issues. 8 MS. TURNER: Q. Thank you, Mr. Harris. Mr. Earle? 8 A. Yes. 9 EARLE, Q.C.: 10 MS. O'BRIEN: Q. No questions today, Mr. Commissioner. 10 11 O. So there's a number of those issues that 11 COMMISSIONER: really address flight crew issues. 12 12 Q. Thank you. Counsel for the families, Mr. 13 MS. TURNER: 13 Martin. A. Yes. 14 MR. MARTIN: 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 15 Q. I have no questions, Commissioner. Q. So why was the decision made not to more 16 COMMISSIONER: 16 specifically target Cougar employees in the 17 Q. Thank you. Counsel for the pilot's estates, 17 survey? 18 18 Ms. O'Brien. 19 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. KIMBERLEY TURNER - EXAMINATION BY MS. KATE O'BRIEN: A. Our brief was to really target the offshore 20 MS. O'BRIEN: 20 oil workers and that workforce that hadn't 21 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. If you'll just 21 22 necessarily - well, it's a very big group and give me a moment here to get organized. The 22 hadn't necessarily provided evidence outside 23 first questions I have have to do with the 23 the handful of representatives. I agree with 24 24 survey that was done. 25 your, I guess, implied intent that there would 25 MS. TURNER:

Page 197  1 be great value in surveying the pilots and the 2 operational staff and the administrative staff 2 operational staff and the administrative staff 3 at Cougar, and I wouldn't be surprised if 4 Cougar actually undertakes regular safety 5 culture surveys because that is a normal part 5 of a safety management regime that we've been 6 discussing. 8 MS o'BREN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did 10 get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that 11 responded here, do you know many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 o'ffshore? 17 MS. TIRNFRE: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation to 22 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation to 24 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 18 MS. TURNFRE: 18 A. Ves. 19 C. A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 17 information about what's going on in the other regimes between these two regulatory 25 MS. O'BREN: 18 MS. O'BREN: 19 C. A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having 2 gegrate stefy regime in 24 think like other industries. Prevently to 12 think like other industries. Prevently to 12 think like other industries refer to 25 think like other industries. Prevently to 12 think like other industries. Prevently to 12 think like other industries. Prevently to 12 think like other industries refer to 25 think like other industries. The 25 think like other industries after the 26 to 26 think like other industries the and there really is a need and it's a bead in the avitation industry, whey both have their own regimes and there really is an acted and it is a leafled in run presentation, but the oil industry and the avitation industry, they both have their own regimes and there really is a cually include a slide in my presentation, but it's a form integrated after the vest with the bodies comin	June 28, 2010	Multi-Page	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
2 operational staff and the administrative staff 3 at Cougar, and I wouldn't be surprised if 4 Cougar actually undertakes regular safety 5 culture surveys because that is a normal part 6 of a safety management regime that we've been 7 discussing. 8 MS o'RBEIN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did 10 get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that 11 responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that 13 is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 word in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 17 MS. TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS. O'RRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 k MS. TURNER: 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Wes. 30 M. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 31 that you saw. 4 MS. TURNER: 4 MS. TURNER: 4 MS. TURNER: 5 MS. O'BRIEN:		Page 197	Page 199
2 operational staff and the administrative staff 3 at Cougar, and I wouldn't be surprised if 4 Cougar actually undertakes regular safety 5 culture surveys because that is a normal part 6 of a safety management regime that we've been 7 discussing. 8 MS.O'RBIEN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did 10 get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that 11 responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that 13 is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 17 MS.TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS.O'BRIEN: 3 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 change a safety management spytem, whether 28 M. S.TURNER: 3 A. Yes. 4 MS.TURNER: 4 MS.TURNER: 4 MS.TURNER: 5 M. O'BRIEN: 5 A. Yes. 5 MS.O'BRIEN: 6 O. So can you give us a little bit more 10 information about what's going on in the other 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators, 13 MS.TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS.O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS.TURNER: 10 A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 11 then, so the aviation regulators bike 12 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 13 MS.TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS.O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS.TURNER: 20 A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't the oil operators, the Cougans of 15 that one of the good principles of having a 25 g	be great value in surveying the pilots ar	nd the	where you've got two very big industries, the
both have their own regimes and there really culture surveys because that is a normal part of a safety management regime that we've been discussing.  MNS_OPBRIEN:  O (Nay. In terms of the response that we did in get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that responded here, do you have any idea what it responded here, do you have any idea what is pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to work in the Newfoundland and Labrador offshore?  MNS_TURNER:  NS_TURNER:  A (Can't answer that question, but two people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced representation.  Page 198  A (San't answer that question) I wanted to ask a bit about has to do with when you did your review here this morning of the regulatory regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to kind of go through some conclusions, trends that you saw.  Page 198  A MS_TURNER:  A MS_TURNER:  MS_TURNER:  MS_TURNER:  MS_TURNER:  MS_TURNER:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  A Yes.  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  MS_OBBEEN:  A Yes.  MS_OBBEEN:  MB_OBBEEN:  M	2 operational staff and the administrative	staff 2	
4 Cougar actually undertakes regular safety 5 culture surveys because that is a normal part 6 of a safety management regime that we've been 7 discussing. 8 MS.O'RBIEN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did 10 get from airrerw, we have two airrew that 11 responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Cougar's airrew workforce that 13 is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 17 MS.TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS.O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS.TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 5 A. Yes. 10 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between the ramps of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the information about what's going on in the other 17 miss TURNER: 18 MS.TURNER: 19 A. Yes. 19 MS.TURNER: 20 A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 19 that one of the good principles of having a 20 great safety regime is communication, and you 21 certainly cart a testablish a safety regime in 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly cart the data was a first management tystem that we'be communication a	1		
5 culture surveys because that is a normal part 6 of a safety management regime that we've been 7 discussing.  8 MS.O'BRIEN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did 10 get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that 11 responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Counger's aircrew workforce that 13 is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 16 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 17 MS.TILRNB: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS.O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS.TURNER: 4 MS.O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 15 MS.TURNER: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS.TURNER: 10 A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 11 the one of the good principles of having a 12 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	4 Cougar actually undertakes regular sa	afety 4	
of a safety management regime that we've been discussing.  8 MS.O'BRIEN: 9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did ger from aircrew, we have two aircrew that 11 responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that 13 is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 17 MS.TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 10 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS.O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All fight. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 25 has we interaction between the \$x\$ A. Yes. 4 MS.TURNER: 4 Page 198		-	* ·
discussing.  8 MS.O'BRIEN:  9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that responded here, do you have any idea what it responded here, do you know any idea what it percentage of Congar's aircrew workforce that is? In other words, do you know how many play pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to work in the Newfoundland and Labrador of fishore?  15 MS. TURNER:  16 A. I can't answer that question, but two people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced representation.  21 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask a bit about has to do with when you did your review here this morning of the regulatory review here this morning of the regulatory.  15 A. Yes.  6 MS.O'BRIEN:  16 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in them, so the aviation regulators like interaction between the farming a was the interaction between the interaction between the information about what's going on in the other regimes at all ithe bodies coming or regulatory bodies?  19 MS. TURNER:  10 A. Yesh, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in a solution of your key stakeholders, and I cartally just happens by the stakeholders and you advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders and you advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders and I cartally just happens by the stakeholders and I cartally just happens by the stakeholders and I cartally just happens	·	-	
9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that we did get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that responded here, do you have any idea what percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that is? In other words, do you know how many pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to work in the Newfoundland and Labrador of offshore?   17 MS. TURNER.   16 or 18 hove people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced percentation.   17 or 18 ms. TURNER.   18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced percentation.   19 or 18 ms. TURNER.   19 or 18 ms. Turner wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced a bit about has to do with when you did your perview here this morning of the regulatory pregimes at all different levels.   18 Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulatory state in the mass the interaction between the aviation of companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the way the interaction between the aviation of companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators.   18 NS. TURNER:   19 NS. O'BRIEN:   19 NS. O'BRIEN:   19 NS. O'BRIEN:   19 NS. TURNER:   19 NS. TURNER	1		identification, resolution, accountabilities,
10 get from aircrew, we have two aircrew that responded here, do you have any idea what 12 percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador offshore?	8 MS. O'BRIEN:	8	etc, in that. It's a shame - I was going to
responded here, do you have any idea what percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that is jeff on other words, do you know how many pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to off offshore? If the work in the Newfoundland and Labrador of offshore? If MS. TURNER: If MS. TURNER: If the world is a sound and balanced prepresentation. If world is a bit about has to do with when you did your review here this morning of the regulatory Page 198 If regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to the kind of go through some conclusions, trends that you saw. If the world - sorry, and the interaction between the aviation programmers, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the mem, so the aviation regulators hike it mornation about what's going on in the other information about what's going on in the offer information about what's going on in the offer information about what's going on in the offer information about what's going on in the other information about what's goi	9 Q. Okay. In terms of the response that w	e did 9	actually include a slide in my presentation,
12 percentage of Cougar's aircrew workforce that is? In other words, do you know how many 14 pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador 16 offshore? 16 offshore? 16 offshore? 17 MS. TURNER: 17 integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an or not that's a forum, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an or not that's a forum, whether or not that's a forum, whether or not that's an forum, whether or not that's an forum, whether or not that's an forum, whether that's an or not that's an forum, whether that's an or not that's an forum, whether or not it's a liaison body, there really needs to be some thought put into how you connect these two different levels. Such as a that that his won that a sound and balanced aptroach to the wix down into, you know, now, pilots talking with worker unions, you know,	get from aircrew, we have two aircrew	that 10	but it's not necessarily written up in the
is? In other words, do you know how many pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Cougar to 15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador offshore? 16 offshore? 17 MS. TURNER: 17 or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's an fortum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's an fortum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's an fortum, who the reply not not that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not that's an disafety worker will interest the w	11 responded here, do you have any idea	what 11	material, where when you have these two
standards, cultures, practises, etc, you need something to actually connect them. Whether or not this's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety management system, whether or not it's a liaison body, there really needs to be some thought put into how you connect these two different regimes at all different levels.  Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very page 200 much at that high level regulatory regime all the way down into, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapphing that to try and the interaction between the aviation regulators, the dought put into how you connect these two different regimes at all different levels.  Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator and the oil regulator regulator regulator and the oil regulator with workers, pilots unions talking	percentage of Cougar's aircrew workfo	rce that   12	separate industries that come under their own
15 work in the Newfoundland and Labrador offshore? 16 offshore? 17 MS. TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I integrated safety managers system, whether or not that's a forum, whether that's an integrated safety managers system, whether or not it's a liaison body, there really needs to be some thought put into how you connect these two different regimes at all different levels.  Now in the report. I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very  Page 198 1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 17 Turner 18 MS. O'BRIEN: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people in the world - sory and the regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yes. 11 SMS. O'BRIEN: 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 10 information about what's going on in the other 10 information about what's going on in the other 11 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yes. 11 The next question of your key stakeholders and I worker regimes by the stakeholders and I worker regime by the stakeholders and I was a listle bit and I worker and interaction power and the oil operators. I have a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the	is? In other words, do you know how	many 13	regulatory regimes, they have their own safety
16 offshore? 17 MS. TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS. O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yes. 11 think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation 2 the way down into, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because aviation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self-empowered agreement with the bodies coming thing, or regulatory agreement through some MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some thought put into how you connect these two different regimes at all different levels. Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries atally had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulatory sequilator, which is very  Page 198  1	pilots, co-pilots, are employed by Coug	gar to 14	standards, cultures, practises, etc, you need
17 MS. TURNER: 18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people 19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I 20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS. O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 Page 198 1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 28 d. Yes. 29 MS. O'BRIEN: 20 All right. The next question I wanted to ask 21 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 28 that you saw. 29 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yes. 20 All right. The next question I wanted to ask 21 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regilator and the oil regulator, which is very 27 Page 200 28 much at that high level regulatory regime all 29 the way down into, you know, pilots talking with worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because a viation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the whole thing because a viation safety you can't have a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self-empowered agreement with the bodies coming to some definition, be that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	15 work in the Newfoundland and La	brador 15	something to actually connect them. Whether
18 A. I can't answer that question, but two people certainly isn't a good cross-section. So I wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 21 levels.  22 M. O'BRIEN: 22 Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very regime all the worker unions, you know, and that representation about what's going on in the other regimes the two fit has no of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very regime all the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers, pilots unions talking with worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because aviation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self-empowered agreement with the bodies coming thing, or regulatory, to try and, you great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you cartainly can't establish a safety program in actually just happens by the stakeholders	offshore?	16	or not that's a forum, whether that's an
to be some thought put into how you connect these two different regimes at all different televels.  Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very regulator and the oil regulator regressentation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because aviation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  Now in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation get understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very regulator and the oil regulatory regime all the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because aviation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self-empowered agreement with the bodies coming to depth that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you a divide and what 're seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders and I sevels.	17 MS. TURNER:	17	integrated safety management system, whether
20 wouldn't rely on that as a sound and balanced 21 representation. 22 MS. O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory  Page 198 1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw.  4 MS. TURNER: 4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 ms. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 10 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 the wold different regimes at all different levels. 25 Now in the report, I think two out of the 26 six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator, which is very  Page 200  much at that high level regulatory regime all the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and there's great merit in mapping that to try and there's great merit in mapping that to try and worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are reit in mapping that to try and there's great are	18 A. I can't answer that question, but two pe	eople 18	or not it's a liaison body, there really needs
21 representation. 22 MS.O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS. TURNER: 4 MS. O'BRIEN: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS.O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 15 (Q. So can you give us a little bit more 16 (Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 (MS.TURNER: 18 MS.TURNER: 19 MS.TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	19 certainly isn't a good cross-section. S	o I 19	to be some thought put into how you connect
22 MS. O'BRIEN: 23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory  Page 198  1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 solve the safety of your salve the sakeholders 25 mow in the report, I think two out of the six countries actually had a formal memorandum of understanding between the aviation regulator and the oil regulator, which is very  Page 200 much at that high level regulatory regime all the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers pilots unions, you know, and that 16 the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with workers, pilots unions, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with worker u	wouldn't rely on that as a sound and ba	lanced 20	these two different regimes at all different
23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to ask 24 a bit about has to do with when you did your 25 review here this morning of the regulatory 26 Page 198  1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 27 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw.  4 MS. TURNER: 4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is 24 isolation of your key stakeholders and I	21 representation.	21	levels.
a bit about has to do with when you did your review here this morning of the regulatory  Page 198  1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw.  4 MS. TURNER: 4 workers, pilots unions talking with workers, pilots unions talking unions talking the way down into, you know, and that the verg lead to tensors.  5 A. Yes.  10 This valuation as a pilot unions talking u	22 MS. O'BRIEN:	22	Now in the report, I think two out of the
Page 198  1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to kind of go through some conclusions, trends that you saw.  4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in was the interaction between the aviation the world - sorry, and the interaction between the aviation regulators like them, so can you give us a little bit more information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 10 Q. So can you give us a little bit more information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 10 that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is isolation of your key stakeholders are gulatory and the oil regulatory, which is very and much at that high level regulatory, which is very  Page 200  much at that high level regulatory regime all the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers, pilots unions talking with workers, pilots unions, talking with wor	23 Q. All right. The next question I wanted to	o ask 23	six countries actually had a formal memorandum
Page 198  1 regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to 2 kind of go through some conclusions, trends 3 that you saw. 4 Ms. TURNER: 4 worker unions, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the whole thing because aviation safety, you can't have a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for tho	a bit about has to do with when you did	l your 24	of understanding between the aviation
regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to kind of go through some conclusions, trends that you saw.  MS. TURNER:  MS. O'BRIEN:  Mas the interaction between the aviation companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the them, so the aviation regulators like Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  MS. TURNER:  MS. TURNE	25 review here this morning of the regula	atory 25	regulator and the oil regulator, which is very
regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked you to kind of go through some conclusions, trends that you saw.  MS. TURNER:  MS. O'BRIEN:  Mas the interaction between the aviation companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the many and the		Page 198	Page 200
the way down into, you know, pilots talking with workers, pilots unions talking with worker unions, you know, and that representation at every level. So again there's great merit in mapping that to try and get a complete and balanced approach to the was the interaction between the aviation companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the menitor of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the pilot them, so the aviation regulators like them, so the aviation regulators. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self-thing, or regulatory agreement through some together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some thing, or regulatory agreement through some together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some thing, or regulatory agreement through some that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you agreat safety regime is communication, and you actually just happens by the stakeholders	regimes, at the end Ms. Fagan asked v	•	9
that you saw.  4 MS. TURNER:  5 A. Yes.  6 MS. O'BRIEN:  7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in  8 was the interaction between the aviation  9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of  10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between  11 them, so the aviation regulators like  12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  13 with workers, pilots unions talking with  4 worker unions, you know, and that  7 representation at every level. So again  8 whole thing because aviation safety, you can't  9 whole thing because aviation safety, you can't  10 can't have a safety program for those in the  11 back and a safety program for those in the  12 front. They actually need to talk and they  13 ms. TURNER:  14 A. Yes.  15 med to connect. Unless you get either self-  16 empowered agreement with the bodies coming  17 together and just doing it because it's a good  18 thing, or regulatory agreement through some  19 ms. TURNER:  10 MS. TURNER:  11 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this  18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  19 MS. TURNER:  10 There needs to be some definition, be  11 that one of the good principles of having a  22 great safety regime is communication, and you  23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in  24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I			
4 MS. TURNER: 5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 WS. O'BRIEN: 16 Worker unions, you know, and that 17 representation at every level. So again 18 whole thing because aviation safety, you can't 19 have the safety of half a helicopter, you 10 can't have a safety program for those in the 11 back and a safety program for those in the 12 front. They actually need to talk and they 13 med to connect. Unless you get either self- 14 empowered agreement with the bodies coming 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 worker unions, you know, and that 25 representation at every level. So again 26 there's great merit in mapping that to try and 27 get a complete and balanced approach to the 28 whole thing because aviation safety, you can't 29 have the safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 20 can't have a safety program for those in the 20 back and a safety program for those in the 21 back and a safety program for those in the 29 back and a safety program for those in the 20 back and a safety program for those in the 21 back and a safety program for those in the 21 back and a safety program for those in the 22 back and a safety program for those in t			
5 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 representation at every level. So again 17 there is great merit in mapping that to try and 18 whole thing because aviation safety, you can't 19 have the safety of half a helicopter, you 10 can't have a safety program for those in the 11 back and a safety program for those in the 12 front. They actually need to talk and they 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 19 MS. TURNER: 10 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	1	4	
6 MS. O'BRIEN: 7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested in 8 was the interaction between the aviation 9 companies, the air operators, the Cougars of 10 the world - sorry, and the interaction between 11 them, so the aviation regulators like 12 Transport Canada, with the oil operators. 13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	5 A. Yes.	5	·
was the interaction between the aviation companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the morld - sorry, and the interaction between the them, so the aviation regulators like Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  MS. TURNER:  MS. O'BRIEN:  MS. O'BRIEN:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  MS. TURNER:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  MS. TURNER:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	6 MS. O'BRIEN:	6	there's great merit in mapping that to try and
was the interaction between the aviation companies, the air operators, the Cougars of the world - sorry, and the interaction between the morld - sorry, and the interaction between the them, so the aviation regulators like Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  MS. TURNER:  MS. O'BRIEN:  MS. O'BRIEN:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  MS. TURNER:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  MS. TURNER:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	7 Q. And the one I was in particular interested	ed in 7	
the world - sorry, and the interaction between them, so the aviation regulators like Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  MS. TURNER: A. Yes.  O'BRIEN:  Q. So can you give us a little bit more information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  MS. TURNER:  A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you can't have a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self- empowered agreement with the bodies coming together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	-		
the world - sorry, and the interaction between them, so the aviation regulators like Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  MS. TURNER: A. Yes.  O'BRIEN:  Q. So can you give us a little bit more information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  MS. TURNER:  A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you can't have a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the back and a safety program for those in the front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self- empowered agreement with the bodies coming together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	9 companies, the air operators, the Couga	ars of 9	have the safety of half a helicopter, you
Transport Canada, with the oil operators.  Iz front. They actually need to talk and they need to connect. Unless you get either self- empowered agreement with the bodies coming together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some from information about what's going on in the other information about what's going on in the other regimes between those two regulatory bodies? MS. TURNER:  MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in solation of your key stakeholders, and I	the world - sorry, and the interaction be	tween 10	can't have a safety program for those in the
13 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: 19 There needs to be some definition, be 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  13 need to connect. Unless you get either self- empowered agreement with the bodies coming together and just doing it because it's a good thing, or regulatory agreement through some 16 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety. 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 22 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I	them, so the aviation regulators lik	e 11	back and a safety program for those in the
14 A. Yes. 15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  14 empowered agreement with the bodies coming 15 together and just doing it because it's a good 16 thing, or regulatory agreement through some 17 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this 18 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to 19 have an integrated approach to safety. 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 22 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it 24 actually just happens by the stakeholders	12 Transport Canada, with the oil operator	s. 12	front. They actually need to talk and they
15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  15 together and just doing it because it's a good 16 thing, or regulatory agreement through some 17 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this 18 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to 19 have an integrated approach to safety. 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 22 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it 24 actually just happens by the stakeholders	13 MS. TURNER:	13	need to connect. Unless you get either self-
15 MS. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  15 together and just doing it because it's a good 16 thing, or regulatory agreement through some 17 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this 18 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to 19 have an integrated approach to safety. 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 22 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it 24 actually just happens by the stakeholders	14 A. Yes.	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 Q. So can you give us a little bit more 17 information about what's going on in the other 18 regimes between those two regulatory bodies? 19 MS. TURNER: 20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  16 thing, or regulatory agreement through some 17 MOU to say we're going to mandate that this 28 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to 29 have an integrated approach to safety. 20 There needs to be some definition, be 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 22 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it 24 actually just happens by the stakeholders	15 MS. O'BRIEN:	15	
regimes between those two regulatory bodies?  18 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement 21 that one of the good principles of having a 22 great safety regime is communication, and you 23 certainly can't establish a safety regime in 24 isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  25 combination of aviation and petroleum needs to have an integrated approach to safety.  26 There needs to be some definition, be 27 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you 28 know, encourage that type of connectivity. My 29 advice and what I've seen well is where it 20 actually just happens by the stakeholders	16 Q. So can you give us a little bit mor	e   16	thing, or regulatory agreement through some
have an integrated approach to safety.  A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  have an integrated approach to safety.  There needs to be some definition, be that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	information about what's going on in th	e other   17	MOU to say we're going to mandate that this
A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agreement that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  There needs to be some definition, be that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	regimes between those two regulatory b	oodies?   18	combination of aviation and petroleum needs to
that one of the good principles of having a great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in isolation of your key stakeholders, and I 21 that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	19 MS. TURNER:	19	have an integrated approach to safety.
great safety regime is communication, and you certainly can't establish a safety regime in isolation of your key stakeholders, and I  know, encourage that type of connectivity. My advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	20 A. Yeah, sure. I think we'd all be in agree	ment 20	There needs to be some definition, be
certainly can't establish a safety regime in 23 advice and what I've seen well is where it actually just happens by the stakeholders	that one of the good principles of havi	ng a 21	that voluntary or regulatory, to try and, you
isolation of your key stakeholders, and I 24 actually just happens by the stakeholders	great safety regime is communication, a	and you 22	know, encourage that type of connectivity. My
	23 certainly can't establish a safety regime	e in 23	advice and what I've seen well is where it
25 think like other industries I've referred to 25 because it's a good thing. Going back to the			* * * * *
	25 think like other industries I've referred	to 25	because it's a good thing. Going back to the

Jun	ne 28, 2010	<b>Multi-Page</b> <sup>TM</sup>		Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
		Page 201		Page 203
1	discussion that we were just having with	-	MS. TURNEI	-
2	Harris about prescriptive versus perform		A. Yes.	
3	based, the outcome that we want is the sa		MS. O'BRIEN	v:
4	of the whole helicopter and integrate	•		ou know what's going on in Nova Scotia in
5	cultures and practises, and, you know, al		-	cular with that?
6	that side of things, that's your outcome the		MS. TURNEI	
7	you want. How you achieve that; sure, y			don't have a great visibility of the
8	mandate it in regulation, but chances are			of aviation expertise within those 35
9	you did that, there'd be a compliance ba			or contracted, but I do know that in the
10	mentality of we have to do this. So you do			try sectors that I've worked in that
11	necessarily get the value out of - the ful			t primarily aviation, so other industry,
12	value out of things first up.	12		's very interesting in our company's
13 N	MS. O'BRIEN:	13		ry, we've been going for nearly 14 years,
14	Q. Okay. So you're saying in other jurisdic	tions 14		40 percent of our work is outside the
15	you're seeing a formal MOU between th			on industry. That's generally come from
16	operator regulator - the oil regulation, ar			industries that engage with aviation,
17	the aviation regulations. What did you se			ren't necessarily aviators, that want
18	Nova Scotia?	18		e or some level of connectivity, and
19 N	MS. TURNER:	19		generally needs to be some trigger to
20	A. We didn't necessarily uncover anything			vate that inquiry to a specialty degree in
21	research that demonstrated that. That's			Sometimes it's just through self-
22	to say that it's not in place, and it would	be 22		ry, sometimes it's through the risk - the
23	worth finding out.	23	_	prise risk management approach. The case
24 N	MS. O'BRIEN:	24		egral Energy that I wrote up as a case
25	Q. Okay. So do you have any idea what's g	going on 25	study	or we compiled in our report, it was
		Page 202		Page 204
1	in Newfoundland and Labrador between C-P	_	intere	esting when they recognized when they
2	and Transport Canada in terms of how those	two 2		t have the aviation expertise, it was
3	bodies are communicating?	3		lly through their enterprise risk
4 N	MS. TURNER:	4		sment or their corporate risk profile the
5	A. Yeah, not any formal knowledge of activities	5,	aviati	on risk associated with their
6	but, you know, I do meet regularly with the	6	contr	acting with #4 on their corporate risk
7	aviation community and a couple of weeks a	go I 7	list.	We've seen accidents, you know, with
8	met with the DG, the Director General of Civil	il 8	minir	ng executives travelling out, contracting
9	Aviation, and it wasn't a topic of discussion	9	aviati	on assets, and aircraft crashing and
10	in terms of a defined activity, yet there is a	10	takin	g out the whole Board of Directors, and a
11	very strong philosophy from the current	11	case j	ust happened in Africa a couple of weeks
12	leadership within Transport Canada to get	12	-	and so those type of aviation risks are
13	levels of connectivity, both in this sector	13	not u	inusual to be on the corporate risk
14	and in others, and there's a great level of	14	_	e of these other industry sectors. So
15	interest as to how that can take place.	15		's many, many trigger points as to where
16 N	MS. O'BRIEN:	16	this c	on take place but I think at the and of
1	Q. Okay. It's certainly something, from my	10		an take place, but I think at the end of ay it doesn't matter how you get it, but

19

20

17 Q. Okay. It's certainly something, from my 18 perspective, having watched - you know, during 19 this Inquiry, heard all the evidence unfold, 20 something that strikes me as maybe missing for 21 us. You talked a bit about how some of the 22 oil regulators are even engaging their own 23 aviation experts, whether they have it in-24 house or whether they're contracting out with 25 consultants.

21 MS. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Okay. So one of the things that I think this 23 Commission has been dealing with in terms of 24 when they have been defining the issues list 25 and what, in particular, the Commissioner

if you have a responsibility for oversight of

specialty advice, knowledge, or expertise.

the specialty area, you do need some level of

June 20, 2010	<u> </u>	onshore menespeer surety inquiry
Page 205		Page 207
feels he is empowered to do here, one of the	1	you just write it into your rules and expect
2 issues that's coming up is this is obviously	2	for it to happen, I think there's a degree of
an Inquiry that's been called by the C-NLOPB	3	stakeholder understanding that needs to take
4 and where what - once you get into areas that	4	place. There's a degree of relationships that
5 are under the auspices of Transport Canada,	5	need to be created if they don't already
6 which this is an Inquiry about helicopter	6	exist, and there's definitely a need for
7 safety -	7	communication and interaction, and you can see
8 MS. TURNER:	8	that in the types of working groups or
9 A. Yes.	9	committees or forums that some of these other
10 MS. O'BRIEN:	10	jurisdictions have actually set up, so that
11 Q. And, of course, helicopters are under the	11	they have an environment in which to bring
jurisdiction of Transport Canada. You know,	12	these issues to the table and get that
how far this regulator can go when you're	13	resolution. So to answer your question, it
going to butt up against Transport Canada, and	14	really is based on that relationship,
one of the issues that has been discussed is	15	stakeholder understanding and interaction.
whether the C-NLOPB would have the ability to	16	Whether you prescribe that and write it into
put in requirements, whether it be, you know,	17	your rules and have that actually physically
sort of checklist based requirements or a more	18	implemented is an area for consideration, and
prescriptive approach that really go above and	19	there's nothing stopping any customer of an
beyond requirements that are already there by	20	aviation service setting standards that are
21 Transport Canada. So to bring that to a more	21	beyond compliance. I think where you would
specific example, say, for flight crew	22	actually butt heads is if the customer had a
23 survival suits or safety equipment -	23	lower expectation and weren't willing to pay
24 MS. TURNER:	24	for the air operating certificate holder to
25 A. Uh-hm.	25	meet their obligations and whether it was cost
Page 206		Page 208

Page 206

Page 208

## 1 MS. O'BRIEN:

Q. So can you tell us when you've looked at these 2 3 other regulatory regimes, other parts of the world where they're clearly doing a little bit 4 5 more communication, I would say, than we are here in Canada, certainly in this jurisdiction 6 7 in Canada between the aviation regulator and 8 the oil regulator, are you seeing that kind of 9 approach where they're actually - you know, the oil regulators are actually going in there 10 11 and putting in additional requirements over 12 what the aviation regulator is?

## 13 MS. TURNER:

25

14 A. Yeah, sure. There's two parts to my answer. 15 The answer is "yes", we are seeing that, and not just in the jurisdiction studied here, 16 17 it's a move in many industries. If you look 18 at the mining industry here in Canada and the 19 standards for aviation that are set, they do exceed Transport Canada's requirements. 20 21 They're the customer. They contract aviation 22 service, they can set standards and decide to 23 contract or not contract, you know, based on 24 those.

effective. Now that's a different discussion. 1

2 MS. O'BRIEN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And that's really not what I'm talking about here. Okay. All right, thank you very much for those comments. I'll just finish by saying, you know, one of the - there seemed to me at times a disconnect here between who we consider the - who are the offshore workers in this province, and the focus really seems to be on the people who are working for the oil companies and the people who are providing direct services to the oil companies, very distinct from the people who are working with Cougar.

15 MS. TURNER:

A. Um.

17 MS. O'BRIEN:

Q. And that distinction, again I think we see that in how this survey was developed, that it really didn't get to finding out the views of the aircrew who are working day in and day out in the offshore here. I don't know if you have any further comment on that point. I'll certainly hear it if you do. 25 MS. TURNER:

In terms of how you achieve that, whether

Jui	ie 28, 2010 M	ulti-P	age	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 2	209		Page 211
1	A. Yeah, and that is a fair comment, and as I	1	l	Crosbie, C-NLOPB.
2	mentioned before, I think that body of work	2	2 MS. F	IMBERLEY TURNER - EXAMINATION BY MS. AMY CROSBIE:
3	would be very, very quick and simple to do. I			CROSBIE:
4	mean, this survey itself took 10 to 15	4	l Q	. Good afternoon, Ms. Turner. I'm Amy Crosbie,
5	minutes, and as you implied, it's not a large	5		and I represent the Newfoundland and Labrador
6	workforce out there at the heliport, and	6	5	- Canada Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore
7	certainly that survey is there and available	7	7	petroleum Board, and I only have a few
8	and I'd welcome the opportunity to include the	8	3	questions, a lot of the stuff has been
9	group of Cougar employees into that rota or	9	)	canvassed. You had mentioned there were
10	aspect. It might actually show some	10	)	several themes in your comparison of the
11	interesting results to draw the comparisons.	11		regulatory regimes, and I think you identified
12	MS. O'BRIEN:	12	2	three of them as the separation of safety from
13	Q. Thank you very much. Those are all my	13	3	other aspects of the regulator, the shift to
14	questions, Commissioner.	14	ļ	performance-based regulation, and risk-based
15	COMMISSIONER:	15	5	approach.
16	Q. Before you go, Ms. O'Brien, the point you are	16	б MS. Т	URNER:
17	raising about the pilots and the people who	17	7 A	. Uh-hm.
18	work for Cougar is a very interesting one.	18	MS. C	CROSBIE:
19	The focus really of the Inquiry so far has	19	) Q	. Were they the three that -
20	really been on other areas, there is no	20		URNER:
21	question about that, particularly, of course,	21	A	. There were a couple of others, but they were
22	on the people whom you mentioned who work for	22		certainly the three that I covered. Would you
23	the oil companies offshore, and the survey and	23	3	like me to recap on some of the others?
24	the terms of reference of the survey were			PROSBIE:
25	really designed to get the opinions of those	25	5 Q	. If there were others, yes.
	Page 2	210		Page 212
1	who travel offshore; in other words,		MS.	TURNER:
2	passengers. You make the point, and it's a	2		Sure. You're correct with those first three.
3	good point, about the employees of Cougar,	3		The fourth one which was brought up by Ms.
4	whether they be pilots or whomsoever, and	4		O'Brien was the interaction with the aviation
5	having heard that point and some of the points	5		community and with the range of stakeholders.
6	made by Mr. Harris, I will certainly undertake	6		The fifth one was safety assurance and some of
7	to have a good look at the terms of reference	7		the innovative practises for that continuum
8	and the issues because we're not talking about	8		from audit inspection all the way through to
9	a huge group, and it would be quite easy for	9		the enforcement regime to achieve improved
10	us if I feel after taking advice that it's	10	)	safety culture, and the last one was adopting
11	proper to do so, it would be fairly simple, I	11		a consultative approach, which really does go
12	think, to get the views of Cougar employees.	12		hand in hand with the interaction with the
13	So I'll just leave that with you, and I will	13		aviation community, but adopting a
14	go into that.	14		consultative approach is actually a
	MS. O'BRIEN:	15		philosophical way in which you would undertake
16	Q. Thank you very much, Commissioner.	16		your work. So they were the key themes that
17	COMMISSIONER:	17		came out, and then there was just a couple of
18	Q. Now, Ms. Strickland, having heard the	18		highlights of specific practise.
19	evidence, have you any questions at this			CROSBIE:
20	stage?	20		. And I just have a couple of questions that
1	MS. STRICKLAND:	21		covers off on those themes.
22	Q. No, nothing arising.			TURNER:
1	COMMISSIONER:	23		. Sure.
24	Q. Thank you, and the same would apply to other			CROSBIE:
25	members of the - all right, thank you, Ms.	25		. With the consultative approach, which kind of
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				

June 28, 2010	Multi-Page	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 213	Page 215
goes with the interaction with the aviat	tion 1 MS	. TURNER:
community, you had talked about in the	United 2	A. Yeah, and I think there is - one key
3 States the helicopter group that you have	e some 3	difference is you don't necessarily have a
4 involvement in.	4	number of helicopter operators, you have one,
5 MS. TURNER:	5	and so where there is a number of operators
6 A. Uh-hm.	6	all servicing the same industry, obviously
7 MS. CROSBIE:	7	there's a need for those stakeholders groups
8 Q. I was just wondering if the oil operators	s in 8	to talk. Where you've got one helicopter
9 the United States were part of that group		operator, it's almost the reverse. You've got
was that really just aviation?	10	one helicopter operator and multiple oil
11 MS. TURNER:	11	companies, as opposed to maybe one or two oil
12 A. Yeah, the oil operators are represented	in 12	companies with multiple helicopter providers.
that group, but I must say it's heavily - 1		So it really just is the inverse, and is
stacked, because it's actually a volunt		worthy of looking at what's practical, what's
committee, and there's other people that	•	realistic, and one of the greatest benefits of
on and have formal roles to administer		that interaction is just to get the sharing of
committee. It really does have a very la	arge 17	practise, knowledge, and standards, and it's
aviation operator presence, but it does h	-	amazing that peer review where people really
that interaction with the other players.	19	do benchmark themself and say, oh, they're
20 MS. CROSBIE:	20	doing that over there; oh, we haven't quite
21 Q. And I think when you were talking a		got onto that yet, we'll have a look at that,
Australia, and I may have gotten this w		and everyone has got their own emerging
but I think you said they are just emerg	_	practise as to what they focus on and what
24 and they have yet to put together that so		they're good at. And so to have some type of
25 forum?	25	forum here in this industry, whether or not
	Page 214	Page 216
1 MS. TURNER:	1	it's with yourselves or whether it's with, you
2 A. Yeah. I think it's based on a number	of 2	know, other like-minded organizations around
3 things. Number one is the size. It's a sn		the world, the aim is really just to get out
4 industry at the moment. Number two		there and share practice so that you know
5 size of the country. The oil fields are		what's going on.
6 spread on, you know, east, west, north		. CROSBIE:
7 south, and the helicopter community		Q. And so it would be very similar to, you know,
8 Australia is actually - although it's about		my client and their interaction with other
9 or 4 percent of the global helicopters, it		regulators.
actually quite a small part of the aviation		. TURNER:
industry that don't get together that	t 11 A	A. Absolutely.
regularly. When they do get together,	it's 12 MS	. CROSBIE:
not necessarily in sub-industry sectors l		Q. A very close relationship with some of these
the air medical or the law enforcement of		regulators that you have canvassed.
offshore, as you'll see in the States, pure	ely 15 MS	. TURNER:
based on the size. It's the whole helicop	-	A. Definitely.
community get together and talk about t		. CROSBIE:
and then there's sub kind of discussion	•	Q. So they can draw on their knowledge, as
take place, but there's certainly not that		opposed to have their own format?
same level of forum or structure in Aust		. TURNER:
21 that I'm aware of.		A. Definitely and the question is whether or not
22 MS. CROSBIE:	22	those relationships and how you draw on that
23 Q. And if you were going to compare it to		expertise is informal or formal. You know,
Novefoundland invisidation we would		one professional in their ich that's

25

any professional in their job that's

interested in doing more than just going to

significantly smaller here?

Newfoundland jurisdiction, we would be

24

-	111111		age offshore Hencopter Surety Inquiry
	Page 217		Page 219
1	work and getting paid will connect those	1	either a lack of clarity to the general mass
2		2	as to who does what or whether or not there's
3	that's, I guess, the informal buddy network.	3	things where there is this handover point to
4		4	
5		5	
6	dialogue and discussion, needs to be sorted	6	MS. CROSBIE:
1 7		7	
8	MS. CROSBIE:	8	
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12	-	12	
1	MS. TURNER:	13	
14	••	14	
1	MS. CROSBIE:		MS. TURNER:
16		16	
17			MS. CROSBIE:
18		18	
19		19	•
1	MS. TURNER:	20	
21			MS. TURNER:
22		22	
23			MS. CROSBIE:
24		24	
25		25	•
23	*	23	
١.	Page 218		Page 220
1	MS. CROSBIE:	1	
2	$\varepsilon$ ,	2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3	,	3	, 8
4	······································		MS. TURNER:
1	MS. TURNER:	5	
6	1 1		5 MS. CROSBIE:
7	$\mathcal{E}'$	7	1.8
8	•	8	
9	j j		MS. TURNER:
10		10	
11	•		MS. CROSBIE:
12		12	
13	•	13	•
14		14	
15	3	15	2
16			MS. TURNER:
17	•	17	
18	<u>c</u>		S MS. CROSBIE:
19	· ·	19	• •
20	<b>5</b> 1	20	·
21	1	21	
22			MS. TURNER:
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	
24			MS. CROSBIE:
25	really important, I think, where there are	25	Q. And you would agree that they have shifted to

Page 221 Page 223 a performance based regulatory regime in these 1 1 MS. CROSBIE: 2 new draft regulations? Q. Yeah, and absolutely, that's the type of themed approach, the supporting material that 3 MS. TURNER: 3 A. Yeah, that's a message that came out in the goes with that or how that is checked under 4 your assurance regime is probably where the 5 research that there was an intent to shift. 5 Obviously here running regulations is a long detail comes into play and whether those 6 6 process and how you then implement that is procedures match the intent of the regulation 7 7 8 another thing, but certainly that intent was 8 is where alignment needs to take place. consistent with where other jurisdictions have 9 9 MS. CROSBIE: 10 gone or are going. Q. And that would be in, what we've been 10 referring to as safety case, which in our 11 MS. CROSBIE: 11 jurisdiction has got a safety plan -Q. And I think as you pointed out, Norway, 12 12 they've done it over several years. 13 MS. TURNER: 13 14 MS. TURNER: 14 A. Yes, that's right. A. Yeah. 15 15 MS. CROSBIE: 16 MS. CROSBIE: 16 Q. Or the same thing, you would likely see that Q. It's a process as opposed to mark one off and then flow through the safety plan. 17 17 turn the other way? 18 18 MS. TURNER: 19 MS. TURNER: A. Yeah. A. Yeah, absolutely and I think one of the things 20 MS. CROSBIE: 20 that's evident in all of the research, with 21 21 Q. Okay. 22 all of the jurisdiction, is there's an intent 22 MS. TURNER: to go that way. I think there's a vast 23 23 A. There's a lot of--just on that point, there's difference in the level of understanding of a lot of education that needs to take place 24 24 what performance based regulation is and for those that write regulation, those that 25 25 Page 222 Page 224 there's a lot of work that has to be done to have the responsibility for conduction the 1 1 2 then translate the philosophy and the concept inspections, those that have the 2 3 firstly into regulations and secondly into responsibility for developing the procedures 3 practice where your organizational structure that are given to the inspectors and I think 4 4 follows suit, the workforce capability that is a key area that is often overlooked, 5 5 planning and the skillsets, you're employing who writes the methodology that's given to the 6 6 7 people that actually have that evaluation 7 inspectors. Often there's a disconnect with process based approach as opposed to industry 8 the writers of the regulation and the 8 philosophical view from the top and the 9 only base knowledge, so there's a lot that 9 goes with it and as you say, it's not a practical tools of how that works, and in 10 10 11 simple, switch one, pick another. It's not an 11 those regimes that haven't quite connected, alternate or it's a grade of a continuum. the philosophy and the practice, generally 12 12 when you look at the cause and factors as to 13 MS. CROSBIE: 13 Q. And in this first bit here you were talking why, you can pinpoint that, that the tools are 14 14 about support craft and you drawing attention, 15 compliance based, but the regs are this 15 I think to it because that's where helicopters process based approach. 16 16 17 would be referenced, it's considered support 17 MS. CROSBIE: craft. And I just wanted to confirm with you Q. When you did your review of the Nova Scotia 18 18 19 that this is an example of a more goal 19 Board, I just wanted to clarify one thing at oriented approach in that the regulations page 46. 7.1 is the overview. 20 20 state that the operator shall ensure that it's 21 MS. TURNER: 21 22 a safe operation of a support craft, a sort of A. Yes. a very board statement and so it's up to the 23 23 MS. CROSBIE: 24 operator to add that little check list as to 24 O. You've indicated in 7.1 that the Nova Scotia

25

Board is responsible for ensuring the offshore

how they're going to ensure it's safe.

Page 22	5 Page 227
operations are conducted safely and in a	1 A. Yes.
2 manner which promotes the environment and the	2 MS. CROSBIE:
Board also has the responsibility for managing	3 Q. And I'm just wondering if by saying the word
4 offshore resources, issuing licenses for	4 "promoting" you actually meant it in the sense
5 offshore exploration and development and	5 of promoting?
6 collecting and distributing resource data.	6 MS. TURNER:
7 And that's just your overview of what the Nova	7 A. That would be fair.
8 Scotia Board does.	8 MS. CROSBIE:
9 MS. TURNER:	9 Q. Okay, so it is that you did actually mean
10 A. Yeah, based on the research and publicly	managing the offshore.
available information.	11 MS. TURNER:
12 MS. CROSBIE:	12 A. Yes, that would be fair and as you mentioned,
13 Q. Right, and we all know that the Nova Scotia	13 you know, you're closer to this regulator than
Board and the Newfoundland Board are -	we are and certainly that would be a fair
15 MS. TURNER:	15 assumption.
16 A. Fairly close.	16 MS. CROSBIE:
17 MS. CROSBIE:	17 O. And the last little bit I want to touch on is
18 Q. Fairly close. I just wanted to ask you about, 19 there's just one sentence in Section 7.5 that	1 3 5
1	because that obviously, in some regimes they have shifted towards that.
,	
21 MS. TURNER: 22 A. Sure.	21 MS. TURNER:
	22 A. Yes.
23 MS. CROSBIE:	23 MS. CROSBIE:
Q. In the first paragraph, the last line, you're	24 Q. And you have made some comments with respect
los tallaina about	25 to the Neve Coatie Doord on that
25 talking about -	25 to the Nova Scotia Board on that.
Page 22	6 Page 228
Page 22	Page 228 1 MS. TURNER:
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. This is on page 52?	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm.
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE:
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. This is on page 52? 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. Page 52, yes.	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section.
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER:
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes.
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE:
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide  9 some separation of the potentially conflicting	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The 10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The 10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has 11 benefited significantly from the separation of
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The 10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has 11 benefited significantly from the separation of 12 safety and licensing bodies." My only
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The 10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has 11 benefited significantly from the separation of 12 safety and licensing bodies." My only 13 question there would be did you do any sort of
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER: 2 A. Uh-hm. 3 MS. CROSBIE: 4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section, 5 your conclusion section. 6 MS. TURNER: 7 A. Yes. 8 MS. CROSBIE: 9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The 10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has 11 benefited significantly from the separation of 12 safety and licensing bodies." My only 13 question there would be did you do any sort of 14 review of thatof their regime prior to the
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're talking about here -	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide some separation of the potentially conflicting priorities of ensuring the safety and health of the offshore workers, while simultaneously promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of the word "promoting". That implies that the Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:  20 Q. Is promoting offshore development, as opposed	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.  20 MS. TURNER:
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide  9 some separation of the potentially conflicting  10 priorities of ensuring the safety and health  11 of the offshore workers, while simultaneously  12 promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of  14 the word "promoting". That implies that the  15 Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're  16 talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:  20 Q. Is promoting offshore development, as opposed  21 to in your overview, you, I think, accurately	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Yeah. One of the key benefits or changes and
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide  9 some separation of the potentially conflicting  10 priorities of ensuring the safety and health  11 of the offshore workers, while simultaneously  12 promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of  14 the word "promoting". That implies that the  15 Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're  16 talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:  20 Q. Is promoting offshore development, as opposed  21 to in your overview, you, I think, accurately  22 say they manage the offshore resource and	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Yeah. One of the key benefits or changes and  22 it's really a key change that we picked up in
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide  9 some separation of the potentially conflicting  10 priorities of ensuring the safety and health  11 of the offshore workers, while simultaneously  12 promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of  14 the word "promoting". That implies that the  15 Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're  16 talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:  20 Q. Is promoting offshore development, as opposed  21 to in your overview, you, I think, accurately  22 say they manage the offshore resource and  23 there is a difference between managing and	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Yeah. One of the key benefits or changes and  22 it's really a key change that we picked up in  23 our research which I believe is a benefit, is
Page 22  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. This is on page 52?  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. Page 52, yes.  5 MS. TURNER:  6 A. Yes.  7 MS. CROSBIE:  8 Q. The sentence reads, "It would also provide  9 some separation of the potentially conflicting  10 priorities of ensuring the safety and health  11 of the offshore workers, while simultaneously  12 promoting continued offshore development."  13 And I'm just a bit concerned about your use of  14 the word "promoting". That implies that the  15 Nova Scotia Board, because that's who we're  16 talking about here -  17 MS. TURNER:  18 A. Uh-hm.  19 MS. CROSBIE:  20 Q. Is promoting offshore development, as opposed  21 to in your overview, you, I think, accurately  22 say they manage the offshore resource and	Page 228  1 MS. TURNER:  2 A. Uh-hm.  3 MS. CROSBIE:  4 Q. On Page 55 and this is your overview section,  5 your conclusion section.  6 MS. TURNER:  7 A. Yes.  8 MS. CROSBIE:  9 Q. The second paragraph you indicate, "The  10 Norwegian Petiolate Safety Authority has  11 benefited significantly from the separation of  12 safety and licensing bodies." My only  13 question there would be did you do any sort of  14 review of thatof their regime prior to the  15 separation? Because you do make the comment  16 that they benefited from the separation, but  17 the paper doesn't give us any indication of  18 what that benefit might have been, if there  19 was one.  20 MS. TURNER:  21 A. Yeah. One of the key benefits or changes and  22 it's really a key change that we picked up in

June 2	28, 2010 Muli	1-Page Offshore Helicopter Safety Inqui
	Page 229	Page 2
1	opposed to it being restricted and that really	this point, it is difficult to draw any
2	has matched the shift in the bolstering up of	2 particular valuable conclusions and so the
3	the safety agency and it might be a discussion	level of depth that we were able to get to
4	worthy of talking through with those that have	4 with a table-top review certainly wasn't
5	visited Norway.	5 commensurate with the level of depth we were
6 MS.	CROSBIE:	6 able to achieve in the UK, the US or
1	2. And in some of that, I think you had also	7 Australia.
8	indicated in Australia by simply adding the	8 MS. CROSBIE:
9	word safety to that organization, it gave it	9 Q. And the reform that you're talking about is
10	more transparency, so in some respect is that	the new prescriptive regulations.
11	sort of a perception as an added assurance, I	11 MS. TURNER:
12	guess, to -	12 A. Yes.
	TURNER:	13 MS. CROSBIE:
1	A. Yeah, one of the other areas that you can look	14 Q. But as well the occupational health and safety
15	at and I won't go into it in my response, but	15 -
16	we did include the organizational charts on	16 MS. TURNER:
17	purpose for each of these organizations and so	17 A. Correct.
18	you can draw your, I guess, conclusions from	18 MS. CROSBIE:
19	the safety setup and infrastructure and size	19 Q. Okay.
20	and even the titles and the scope and who	20 MS. TURNER:
21	reports to who, there's a lot that goes into	21 A. And the whole regime.
22	that safety infrastructure. It's not just the	22 MS. CROSBIE:
23	numbers of people, it's the regulatory regime	23 Q. Am I fair in saying that it's not necessarily
24	which we have talked about at length, it's the	the structure needs to be reformed, it's just
25	approach to that regime, but it's also the	that right now some of the working papers are
	Page 230	
,	•	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	structure of where people sit, where they report, how that takes place and also all the	being reformed and so you're not sure how it's all going to land.
$\frac{2}{2}$	way through to the scope of their surveillance	3 MS. TURNER:
3	or their assurance or audit regime that takes	
4	_	
5	place. And if you look at the organizational chart of Australia, I think you will find it's	regulations that haven't quite been, you know, approved or they're still in the consultative
6	quite heavily weighted in those roles, where	
7	some of the others may not necessarily have	phase, I think it's too far to jump to exactly how they're going to be implemented and as you
8 9	such an emphasis.	
1	CROSBIE:	9 imply whether or not that would warrant a 10 change in the organizational structure or
1	2. In the next paragraph you actually say	11 size, et cetera.
12	specifically with respect to the Nova Scotia	12 MS. CROSBIE:
	Board what is evident is the need to introduce	
13	a clearer separation of the safety and	Q. Because I think the top of the next page, 56, you indicate what has been demonstrated in
14	licensing roles of the Board. From my point	
15		several examples is the benefit of having a
16	it is not so evident, my point of view it's not so evident and so I'm just wondering why	separate body or division that is responsible for promoting and enforcing safety.
17	* * *	
18	youwhat made you make the statement that it	18 MS. TURNER: 19 A. Yes.
19	is evident that you need a clearer	
20 21 MS	distinction.	20 MS. CROSBIE:
1	TURNER:  Veel, sure and I think it probably goes hand	Q. Certainly from my client's standpoint we
1	A. Yeah, sure, and I think it probably goes hand	believe we have a separate safety division and
23	in hand with the sentence just prior to the	so can I take it from that particular sentence

25

that it does not actually have to be a

separate agency, a separate entity, you would

one that you've read out, is the regulatory regime in Nova Scotia is under reform, so at

24

Ju	me 28, 2010 Mulu	I-L	age Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 233		Page 235
1	just want to see a good organizational chart	1	with respect to that, so -
2	that shows you that it is a separate division.	2	MS. TURNER:
3	MS. TURNER:	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Yeah, it comes through to some of those traits	4	MS. CROSBIE:
5	that I was talking about before is whether or	5	Q. Those are all of the questions I have, thank
6	not the structure is appropriate to give that	6	you.
7	emphasis on safety, whether the composition of	7	COMMISSIONER:
8	the staffing model actually puts the emphasis,	8	Q. One thing that occurs to me, Ms. Turner, that
9	you know, in the area that matches the	9	we've not mentioned New Zealand and if I
10	regulatory approach. The other thing is the	10	remember correctly, New Zealand has five
11	reporting of where does the safety function	11	installations which would be something
12	report, does it have access right at the top	12	comparable to the size of our offshore. Are
13	level or is there a competing, because of the	13	you able to tell us what the New Zealand
14	level within the organization or the access to	14	structure is or if you can't, and I can
15	reporting, that maybe sometimes the safety	15	understand that, you can find out for us and I
16	information can get lost and as I mentioned	16	would share with -
17	right up front when Ms. Fagan outlined the	17	MS. TURNER:
18	limitations is we didn't do an equivalent	18	A. Yes, sure. Commissioner, we didn't include
19	table-top review on the C-NLOPB and certainly	19	that in the scope of research purely just
20	this type of work might be valuable and this	20	because of time and there's probably a couple
21	is the one paper that I would have loved to	21	of others, as Mr. Pritchard mentioned that may
22	have gone into more depth because you can just	22	be worthy of looking at and I'd be happy to
23	imagine how valuable the comparative charts	23	explore that further.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	COMMISSIONER:
25	number of regimes, who does what, the safety	25	Q. All right, yes, I certainly would like to
	Page 234		Page 236
1	structures, even just getting into this	1	hear, New Zealand is so comparable in size it
2	1	2	might be interesting to know. So if you
3	1	3	wouldn't mind doing that and letting -
4	be created, whether it's in the same	4	MS. TURNER:
5	organizational chart or a separate agency, I	5	A. Sure.
6	, 1	6	COMMISSIONER:
7	, and the second	7	<b>3</b>
8	1	8	<b>3</b>
9	•	9	3 8
10	,	10	1 1
11			MS. FAGAN:
12	•	12	
13		13	, 1
14		14	•
15		15	
16		16	*
ı	MS. CROSBIE:	17	
18		18	**
19	· ·	19	
20	, ,	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	•		COMMISSIONER:
ı	MS. TURNER:	22	
23		23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	MS. CROSBIE:	24	fifteen minutes say to get Msso that Dr.

..... could become ready. Well Ms. Turner,

Q. Certainly my client has already given evidence

				Olishore Hencopter Surety Inquiry
1 1	Page 237			Page 239
1	thank you very much.	1	1	they used to, so I'm going to ask you to speak
2	MS. TURNER:	2		up and speak to me and then hopefully
3	A. Thank you, Commissioner.	3		everybody in the room will hear. The subject
4	COMMISSIONER:	4		matter, Commissioner, that I am going to ask
5	Q. Not only for this report but all of the work	5		for Dr. Coleshaw to give us opinion of it is
6	you've done and the guidance on aviation	6		on, is defined by she and I, I guess, as being
7	matters or the last year, it's appreciated.	7		personal protective equipment and human
	MS. TURNER:	8		factors relating to helicopter escape and
9	A. Thank you.	9		evacuation. And so, Dr. Coleshaw, I would ask
1	COMMISSIONER:	10		you now perhaps to take us through some of the
11	Q. Thank you, we'll take fifteen minutes.	11		aspects of your education, your work
12	(RECESS)			experience, your writings, your training and
		12		so on that would have relevance to that
	COMMISSIONER:	13		
14	Q. Okay, Mr. Roil.	14		general subject and why we should consider you
	ROIL, Q.C.:	15		as a person qualified to give us opinion
16	Q. Thank you, Commissioner. I don't need to	16		evidence.
17	introduce to you Dr. Coleshaw, but to those of			DLESHAW:
18	you in the room and to those that are watching	18		Thank you. Well my background, I started off
19	by one of the media, I would have great	19		as a thermal physiologist, so my first degree
20	pleasure to introduce Dr. Susan R.K. Coleshaw	20		was in physiology and I then went on to do a
21	who is from Aboyne, Aberdeenshire, Scotland.	21		Ph.D in University of London, looking at some
22	I have to say to you that it was interesting	22		of the causes and consequences of hypothermia
23	that when we travelled with you in the North	23		in water and that was related to problems with
24	Sea, every where we went people said you	24		North Sea divers at that time. I spent ten
25	should talk to Dr. Coleshaw and so we did. So	25		years in academic research, both University of
	Page 238			Page 240
	Č			1 486 2 10
1	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with	1		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen
1 2	•	1 2		
	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with			London and laterally, University of Aberdeen
2	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we	2	;	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature
3	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have	2 3	:	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including
2 3 4	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which	2 3 4	; ;	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which
2 3 4 5	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for	2 3 4 5		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency
2 3 4 5 6 7	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214	2 3 4 5 6		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working
2 3 4 5 6	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr.	2 3 4 5 6 7		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ROIL, (	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there.  Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.  EXHIBITS P00212, P00213 AND P00214 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ROIL, (Q.	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there. Q.C.:  What sort of time period are we speaking of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.  EXHIBITS P00212, P00213 AND P00214 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE REGISTRAR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ROIL, Q.	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there. Q.C.:  What sort of time period are we speaking of now, what year or years?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.  EXHIBITS P00212, P00213 AND P00214 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE REGISTRAR:  Q. The exhibits are entered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROIL, (Q. DR. CC	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there. Q.C.:  What sort of time period are we speaking of now, what year or years?  DLESHAW:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.  EXHIBITS P00212, P00213 AND P00214 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE REGISTRAR:  Q. The exhibits are entered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ROIL, Q. DR. CCC	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there. Q.C.:  What sort of time period are we speaking of now, what year or years?  DLESHAW:  '91 to 2000 I spent at RGIT. In 2000, I left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dr. Coleshaw we're delighted to have you with us today. For the purposes of the record, we have three exhibits which we would ask to have put into evidence, Exhibit P00212 which is Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae and P00213 which is the report that was commissioned by or for us by Dr. Coleshaw and finally Exhibit P00214 which is a PowerPoint presentation that Dr. Coleshaw prepared in order to bring the report to us today and so in my questions for her, I will not be referring to the report itself, others may wish to do so, as was done with Kimberley Turner's, but I will take her briefly through her C.V. and also through the PowerPoint presentation. First of all I would ask that you would affirm Dr. Coleshaw please.  DR. SUSAN ROSEMARY KATHERINE COLESHAW (AFFIRMED) COMMISSIONER:  Q. And the exhibits will be entered.  EXHIBITS P00212, P00213 AND P00214 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE REGISTRAR:  Q. The exhibits are entered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROIL, (Q. DR. CC	London and laterally, University of Aberdeen and that was primarily human temperature regulation and aspects of that, including hypothermia. I moved from there to a position at the RGIT Survival Centre in Aberdeen which was primarily offshore training emergency spokestraining centre, but I was working within a separate research and equipment testing division at RGIT. By all that, I was undertaking research projects and that included aspects such as helicopter underwater escape, emergency response procedures, liferaft reliability, topics of that nature, and we also had an equipment test laboratory primarily carrying out approvals on life jackets and immersion suits, and some other association equipment and the laboratory was accreditated during the time that I was there. Q.C.:  What sort of time period are we speaking of now, what year or years?  DLESHAW:

Page 241 Page 243 been working as an independent and I think being looked at today. I think probably one 1 1 2 probably the best way to describe my work is 2 of the other significant projects was some to look at some of contracts I've undertaken work I did a few years back for--well 3 3 during that time and who the work has been instigated by Oil and Gas UK, was actually 4 4 for, which is quite wide ranging, say working funded by BISO, the training organization. 5 5 6 for offshore operators and doing quite a lot 6 ROIL, O.C.: 7 of work, looking at equipment compatibility or O. Oil and Gas UK is the association of oil 7 operators in that region? 8 health and safety -8 9 DR. COLESHAW: 9 ROIL, O.C.: 10 Q. What kinds of equipment and what compatibility 10 A. Operators, but actually including a wider group now, the old ICAO was just operators and issues, for example? 11 11 Oil and Gas UK has a slightly wider group and 12 DR. COLESHAW: 12 A. Well that is again primarily life jackets and 13 so it includes some of the contractors, I immersion suits, but our health and safety think, as well within that group, I think I'm 14 14 executive brought out guidance in 2002 looking 15 correct in saying that. 15 16 to ensure that the equipment used offshore, 16 ROIL, Q.C.: particularly for abandonment, but also Q. By contractors, that would be like the rig 17 17 operators and perhaps some of the covering helicopter transport side, that life 18 18 jackets or with immersion suits were 19 19 DR. COLESHAW: compatible with each other, but also A. Yeah. That work, well OPITO would only put 20 20 compatible with the other emergency equipment out a proposal at that time to include exits 21 21 that might be used in association with, say 22 22 into the helicopter underwater escape during an evacuation, if you have to use a 23 23 training. dissent system, an escape shoot, liferafts, 24 ROIL, Q.C.: 24 Q. Now OPITO is an expression that hasn't been the life boats, that that life jacket suit 25 Page 242 Page 244 combination didn't actually interfere with the used an awful lot here, it was quite awhile 1 1 2 performances of these other systems. And ago, but that's a standards organization. 3 those assessments have involved the workforce, 3 DR. COLESHAW: it was quite a move to involve the workforce A. That's a standards organization, yes. 4 4 5 in decision making in these two areas, so when 5 ROIL, Q.C.: we done trials on the equipment, that's Q. Just to put it in context for people who are 6 6 7 primarily been with workforce members as the 7 hearing us for the first time, so they test subjects. Again on the equipment side, I 8 8 understand. 9 done a set amount of work for some of the 9 DR. COLESHAW: 10 equipment manufacturer's companies A. So that they have responsibility for the 10 11 manufacturing helicopter suits, either in 11 training standards in the UK, so I think all 12 terms of measuring human performance in their the courses in the UK are OPITO certified and 12 development phase or helping them with, 13 13 that's now a worldwide organization, so through the approval process and sort of they've got the course being run in countries 14 14 consultancy relating to the process of 15 around the world. So they're looking at 15 approval in different jurisdictions. Also introducing exits and they've put out 16 16 quite a bit of work with the Civil Aviation proposals and there's been concerns raised by 17 17 Authority, at the time I became an independent the offshore industry in some of the medical 18 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

departments about whether any health

additional stress caused by adding this extra

layer into the helicopter underwater escape

training. And I should have said one of the

first jobs I did when I went to RGIT was look

at levels of stress in trainees undergoing the

implications relating to, would there be

we were part way through the project looking

completed that work as an independent and then

followed on with a couple of projects, looking

at helicopter emergency breathing systems and

one of those is ongoing right now. And so

that's very cogent to the questions that I'm

at capsizing, inversion of helicopters, I

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Muiu-1	ugt	Offshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 245		Page 247
1 emergency response training and so that	was 1	l	designed or applied in a way so that the human
one reason why I was asked to basically i	relook 2	2	being can use it with some degree of
at that issue and comment back as to who	ether 3	3	integrity.
4 there were any health implications in term	ns of 4	DR.	COLESHAW:
5 them adding that into the training.	5	5 A.	That it's easy to use, that it's intuitive and
6 ROIL, Q.C.:	6	5	the equipment is fit for purpose, that it's
7 Q. Now if I was to ask you or tell you that the	nat 7	7	doing the job that it's supposed to do.
8 personal protective equipment, I and per	haps 8	ROIL	., Q.C.:
9 some in the room would include that to in	iclude 9	Q.	Now if I go to you publication list which is
the helicopter evacuation suit and perhap	s the	)	extensive, I see that you have written over
life jacket, if it's a separate and the EBS,	11		the years about things like escape from
the breathing system -	12	2	capsized helicopters, immersion suit and life
13 DR. COLESHAW:	13	3	jacket developments, HUET, a training device,
14 A. That's right.	14	1	HUET simulator exit study, implementation and
15 ROIL, Q.C.:	15	5	use of the EBS, the breathing system in the
16 Q. And the light and the locator beacon, the	ose 16	5	UK, helicopter ditching research and so on. I
kind of things, is that the kind of equipme	ent 17	7	take you you spend a fair amount of time
that you are familiar with?	18	3	writing.
19 DR. COLESHAW:	19	DR. 0	COLESHAW:
20 A. Yes, anything that's particularly worn all	the 20	) A.	Quite a bit of time.
time, there's a little bit of separation		ROIL	., Q.C.:
between what is defined as personal prote	ective 22	2 Q.	Both by yourself and with others.
equipment and lifesaving equipment, so	life 23	DR. 0	COLESHAW:
jackets and suits could also be described		I А.	Recently a lot by myself, in the past, in
lifesaving equipment, but so too could	the 25	5	bigger teams.
	Page 246		Page 248
life jacket you have under the seat on a	ın 1	ROIL	., Q.C.:
2 aircraft would be lifesaving equipment, i	t's 2	2 Q.	Is there a relatively small world of people
3 not personal protective equipment because		3	that are engaged in this kind of study that
4 not worn all the time, so it's nuances of the	ne 4	1	you are involved in?
5 definition.	5	DR. 0	COLESHAW:
6 ROIL, Q.C.:	6	6 A.	I would say very much so, yes, it's a
7 Q. So what would human factors then be i		7	specialist area.
8 context of that kind of discipline or that	8		., Q.C.:
9 kind of study?	9	Q.	So it's ten or twenty people, not fifty or a
10 DR. COLESHAW:	10	)	hundred.
11 A. In particular how people perform in cert	ain 11		COLESHAW:
	12		NT -
situations, survival in cold water is a	1		No.
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so tha	t 13	ROIL	., Q.C.:
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so tha comes within human factors and it also ti	t 13 es in 14	ROIL	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes,
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so tha comes within human factors and it also ti with the work of the personal protective	t 13 es in 14 ve 15	ROIL Q.	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protection equipment, some of the ergonomic assess	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ements, 16	ROIL Q.	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ements, 16 when 17	8 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 DR. 0	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment.	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ments, 16 when 17 nan 18	8 ROIL 4 Q. 5 7 DR. 0 8 A.	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together humans with the solution of the equipment.	t 13 es in 14 we 15 ements, 16 when 17 nan 18 man 19	ROIL Q. TOR. ( ROIL ROIL ROIL	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  L, Q.C.:
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together human performance and the equipment that the	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ements, 16 when 17 nan 18 man 19 ey're 20	ROIL Q. TOR. ( ROIL ROIL ROIL	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  A, Q.C.: A subject very dear to the heart of most
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together human performance and the equipment that the using and how do those two interact and	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ments, 16 when 17 nan 18 man 19 ey're 20 that 21	ROIL Q. 65 7 DR. (68 8 A. 69 9 ROIL Q. 100	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  L, Q.C.:
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together human performance and the equipment that the using and how do those two interact and would be an ergonomic assessment and the	t 13 es in 14 we 15 ements, 16 ements, 16 man 18 man 19 ey're 20 that 21 nat all 22	ROIL Q. 5 6 7 DR. (6 8 A. 6 P. OIL Q. 6 P. (6 P. C. 6	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  Q.C.: A subject very dear to the heart of most Newfoundlanders.  COLESHAW:
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together human performance and the equipment that the using and how do those two interact and would be an ergonomic assessment and the comes within human factors.	t 13 es in 14 ve 15 ements, 16 ements, 16 man 18 man 19 ey're 20 that 21 mat all 22	3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 DR. (6 8 A. 9 ROIL 9 Q. 1 DR. (6 8 A. 9 A. 1 DR. (6 8 A.	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  Q.C.: A subject very dear to the heart of most Newfoundlanders.  COLESHAW: I would qualify that that it was looking at
situations, survival in cold water is a particular special interest of mine, so that comes within human factors and it also to with the work of the personal protective equipment, some of the ergonomic assess so that is how does the equipment work you actually put that together with human performance, so you put together human performance and the equipment that the using and how do those two interact and would be an ergonomic assessment and the	t 13 es in 14 we 15 ments, 16 man 18 man 19 ey're 20 that 21 nat all 22	3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 DR. (6 8 A. 9 ROIL 9 Q. 1 DR. (6 8 A. 9 A. 1 DR. (6 8 A.	And the subject of your Ph.D was causes, consequences and prevention of hypothermia in water.  COLESHAW: Uh-hm.  Q.C.: A subject very dear to the heart of most Newfoundlanders.  COLESHAW:

June 28, 2010 M	Aulti-Page *** Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
Page	249 Page 251
1 particular periods -	is actually item No. 13 on our list.
2 ROIL, Q.C.:	2 DR. COLESHAW:
3 Q. Okay, with those comments and with recognizing	3 A. Right.
4 that individuals have the opportunity to look	4 ROIL, Q.C.:
5 at Dr. Coleshaw's curriculum vitae, I would	5 Q. Your item No. 2 is our item No. 2. Your item
6 stop at this point and see whether anybody	No. 3 is our 12 and your item No. 4 is our No.
7 else has any questions, after which I would	7 15. And so these are the issues, I take it,
8 ask for her designation as a person to give	8 that you did some research for us and prepared
9 opinion evidence.	9 the report. Could you tell us a little bit
10 COMMISSIONER:	about how you prepared the report, was there
11 Q. Yes, thank you. Has anybody any questions for	research that needed to be done at that point
Dr. Coleshaw on her areas of expertise? No, I	in time or was it relying on the body of
think not, so I do declare that Dr. Coleshaw	experience and exposure that you already had
can be an expert in her field.	in terms of doing the report for us.
15 ROIL, Q.C.:	15 DR. COLESHAW:
16 Q. Either that or the entire North Sea is wrong.	16 A. The latter of those two, so this was very much
Thank you, Dr. Coleshaw. I just simply ask	a case of looking at the questions to be posed
you to identify Exhibit 213 is the 47 page	and discussing those issues.
report that we asked you to do for us.	19 ROIL, Q.C.:
20 DR. COLESHAW:	20 Q. These were issues that you were familiar with
21 A. Right.	21 already?
22 ROIL, Q.C.:	22 DR. COLESHAW:
23 Q. And then Exhibit 214, this is the synopsis of	23 A. Yes.
that report that you were going to use to lead	24 ROIL, Q.C.:
25 us through the description -	25 Q. Okay, issue No. 1 is worded a little longer
Page	
1 DR. COLESHAW:	but essentially you captured it on slide No. 3
2 A. Yes.	2 as being personal protective equipment needed
3 ROIL, Q.C.:	by helicopter passengers and pilots.
4 Q. Perhaps then if we could take you to the	4 DR. COLESHAW:
5 report itselfsorry, to the presentation	5 A. That's part of the first issue.
6 itself, be precise in your words, John. I	6 ROIL, Q.C.:
take it that you were given four issues for	7 Q. Right.
8 consideration, where did they come from?	8 DR. COLESHAW:
9 Where did you get the instruction?	9 A. I should say that my issues, one to four, are
10 DR. COLESHAW:	all labelled at the top left-hand corner of
11 A. They were supplied by Commissioner Wells	_
There were a list of many issues and having	12 ROIL, Q.C.:
had discussion with Commissioner Wells, it's	
very apparent there was sort of four areas	with issue No. 1, if we go further and we get
where I had some sort of specialist interest	into issue No. 2, it will pop up there. Good,
and these are the four areas that were	that's very helpful, thank you. Okay, what is
identified.	it you want to tell us about personal
18 ROIL, Q.C.:	protective equipment that is needed by both
19 Q. So you saw our general issues for	passengers and pilots who travel offshore in
20 consideration?	20 Newfoundland, first of all what familiarity
21 DR. COLESHAW:	21 did you have with the Newfoundland offshore?
22 A. That's right, yeah.	22 DR. COLESHAW:
23 ROIL, Q.C.:	23 A. Not extensive before the accident last year,
24 Q. Okay, and I think just for the guidance of	24 though I had been across to Halifax in 2006
25 those in the room and others, your item No. 1	25 when CARR hald a workshop on amarganay

when CAPP held a workshop on emergency

those in the room and others, your item No. 1

Page 253 Page 255 breathing systems, so I had some contact at shock is this reflex response. On entering 1 1 2 that time with members of the industry from 2 cold water, you tend to take a very big deep this area, but that was specifically talking breath and then have several minutes when it's 3 3 about emergency breathing systems. There had very difficult to control one's ventilation, 4 4 been a previous slight link up with Peter Noel but also if you weren't protected, you'd have 5 5 6 from your petroleum board, again to do with a very strong reflex if you entered water at 6 7 emergency breathing systems from ten years naught two degrees centigrade, so the first 7 thing is providing an immersion suit that will 8 back. 8 give you protection from that initial cold 9 ROIL, O.C.: 9 10 Q. But what did you note about the environment in 10 shock. That becomes much more critical if you terms of our water and that sort of thing? then look at the possibility of capsize and 11 11 submersion because the biggest problem with 12 DR. COLESHAW: 12 cold shock is that it makes it very difficult A. Well in terms of that, you're obviously a very 13 13 cold water environment, colder water to breath hold and if your head is under the 14 14 15 temperatures than are experienced in the North water, so the average breath hold time in cold 15 16 Sea where our minimum temperatures are 16 water is thought to be somewhere in the region probably only down to a six, seven degree of 20 seconds, in some individuals it could be 17 17 centigrade, yours are working in a colder as little as 10 seconds, so that's a very 18 18 environment, in terms of times of flights, UK short time period in which you can actually 19 19 anything up to two hours plus of flights, so hold your breath and there has been general 20 20 probably comparative to some extent in certain recognition that the time needed to escape 21 21 areas, but I think yeah, particularly in terms 22 22 from a helicopter is significantly longer than of equipment there are differences in that, so this is where there's been a growing 23 23 equipment used because of that colder water recognition of the importance of providing 24 24 equipment, in this case, emergency breathing 25 temperature. 25 Page 254

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 256

1 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. Okay, you, I take it, understand the nature of 2 the equipment that's in the North Sea and the 3 differences between one jurisdiction and the 4 other up there.

6 DR. COLESHAW:

- A. Right.
- 8 ROIL, Q.C.:
- Q. And there are some differences.

10 DR. COLESHAW:

- A. There are some differences, yeah.
- 12 ROIL, O.C.:

13 Q. Okay, well perhaps we can talk about your general principles and then we'll get into 14 specific questions as we develop along. 15

16 DR. COLESHAW:

17 A. Okay. On this first slide really all we're just trying to do is just summarize--asked the 18 19 question what I felt was the protective equipment required and just look at it from 20 21 working through the scenario if a helicopter 22 had water impact, so initially on actual water impact the first thing we would be looking at 23 is protection from cold shock and I think many 24 25 people in the room already know that cold

systems, such as EBS, and to provide protection from drowning in the event that an individual has to make an underwater escape from the helicopter. And on escaping from a helicopter, there is still quite a high risk of drowning, particularly in big seas, breaking waves, there's a severe risk of drowning, great danger of water being taken into the respiratory system, so buoyancy becomes very important to support the head above the water surface and to provide protection from breaking waves, tied in with that is the use of spray hoods and that might be either on a life jacket or in case of your suits, it's actually on the suit itself, but again to stop water washing over the face and risking drowning.

The next obvious one is protection from hypothermia, you come back to the immersion suit here and during this phase, the immersion suit is protecting you against both short term and long term effects of cold. So right from five minutes into a cold water immersion, you start to lose manual dexterity, gradually the muscles of the limbs will cool and that could

Ju	ne 28, 2010	Multi-P	ag	e <sup>TM</sup>	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	F	Page 257			Page 259
1	cause problems with people's ability to sv	_	M	IS. C	OLESHAW:
2			2	A.	And that is reflecting this colder water
3	with having buoyancy to support you if y		3		temperatures in your field of operation.
4	find that you can't swim any longer and the		R	OIL,	Q.C.:
5	ultimately protection from hypothermia				Right.
6	and the second s		5 M		OLESHAW:
7	a period of hours, rather than minutes and		,	A.	That then has implications and one of my later
8	that's moving down the timeline in terms		3		slides will look at some of those implications
9		9			because of the fact that the needs of
10	ROIL, Q.C.:	10	)		providing insulation, then we're talking about
11	Q. So the suit really has three functions, I	11			air trapped in the thermal lining of the suit,
12		ect 12	2		in the clothing that you wear underneath the
13	you from the cold shock initially upon		3		suit and that trapped air has a negative
14	immersion -	14	ļ		consequence in that it makes you much more
15	DR. COLESHAW:	15	í		buoyant, which in terms of getting out of the
16	A. Yes.	16	ó		helicopter is a negative. It's a positive
17	ROIL, Q.C.:	17	,		once you've got out and on the water surface,
18	Q. Then to give you buoyancy once you get o	out. 18	3		because there naturally, it does help and this
19	DR. COLESHAW:	19			buoyancy problem to protect you from drowning
20	A. Certainly in terms of, yeah, your suits in	20	)		but -
21	particular are quite buoyant suits, so yes,		R	OIL,	Q.C.:
22	they've got a role for buoyancy there.	22			So air trapped in the suit is a negative when
23	ROIL, Q.C.:	23	3		you're in the helicopter?
24	Q. And then ultimately protection for the long	ger 24	M	IS. C	OLESHAW:
25		25	5	A.	Yeah.
	F	Page 258			Page 260
1	DR. COLESHAW:	-	R	OIL,	Q.C.:
2	A. So thereafter it's protection from cooling of	2			But it becomes a positive once you get to the
3		3	3		surface?
4	ROIL, Q.C.:	4	l M	IS. C	OLESHAW:
5		5	5	A.	Yeah.
6		6	i R		Q.C.:
7	and you're familiar with our suit and that you	7	,	Q.	Because the air provides some insulation?
8		8	3 M		OLESHAW:
9	the North Sea.	9	)	A.	Yeah. Yes, and basically when we talk about
10	DR. COLESHAW:	10	)		insulation, a lot of it comes down to air
11	A. Uh-hm.	11			being a very good insulator. So wool is a
12	ROIL, Q.C.:	12	2		good insulator because it traps air. Neoprene
13	Q. What are the physical similarities and	13	3		has bubbles of air within its fabric, so at
14		14	ļ		the end of the day, air is the big insulator.
15	-	d the 15	R	OIL,	Q.C.:
16		16			Okay. So in the North Sea then, sorry, in the
17	Newfoundland and Labrador and the Norweg	ian 17	,	-	British side of the North Sea, how does the
18		18			thermal capacity you say it's just a liner.
	MS. COLESHAW:	19			Where does the thermal protection come from?
100	A. In tanna of Namiform dland and diverse Nami	20		ra a	OI DOLLANI

20 MS. COLESHAW:

A. In the early days in the North Sea, we just

had a coverall type of immersion suit which

relied on covering your body surface with

seals and keeping you dry and the actual

insulative value of that suit was dependent on

21

22

23

24

25

A. In terms of Newfoundland and the UK, North

Sea, probably the biggest difference is in the

amount of insulation provided in the suit. So

your suit has a higher level of insulation.

20

21

22

23

25

24 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. Yes.

Jun	e 28, 2010 Mul	ti-Pa	age	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 26	l		Page 263
1	the clothing worn under the suit. It became	1		switched over to a design of suit where
2	apparent that was perhaps not providing	2		there's a neck seal and a zip across the front
3	sufficient insulation in the event of a	3		of the suit that's to be zipped down. That's
4	helicopter ditching, and we then did then	4		done up before the start of flight and then
5	start our manufacturers added thermal	5		our lifejacket, which you wear as a separate
6	liners that would be worn underneath the suit	6		item, is then donned on top of the helicopter
7	to control the level of insulation because one	7		suit.
8	of the biggest problems of relying on what	8	ROIL,	Q.C.:
9	people wear under the suit is you don't have -	9	Q.	Okay. Now the Norwegian suit is more like the
10	- or it's difficult to have any control over	10		Newfoundland suit in that it zips up to the
11	what is worn. So by adding a liner, that	11		face?
12	ensured a certain level of insulation. So we	12	MS. C	COLESHAW:
13	came a bit closer to the Canadian suit and at	13	A.	It zips up to the face, that's right.
14	that time, I think probably the biggest	14	ROIL,	Q.C.:
15	difference now is that the lining garments	15	Q.	So I take it that there's no unanimity in the
16	tend to be slightly shorter sleeved and again,	16		North Sea world as to what the best suit is or
17	the legs only come down to about the knee	17		are the British entitled to claim the best
18	level. They don't cover the lower leg. So it	18		suit?
19	doesn't give quite as much insulation, but the	19	MS. C	COLESHAW:
20	positive from our point of view is that and	20	A.	I think we've come from a different starting
21	it also means they're not quite as buoyant	21		point because we don't have quite such cold
22	when you're doing the helicopter underwater	22		water temperatures. We're able to pull back a
23	escape.	23		little bit on the amount of insulation
24 R	ROIL, Q.C.:	24		provided and part of the reasoning of that,
25	Q. Okay. Now on the Norwegian side, I take it	25		and not providing yet more insulation to give
	Page 262	2		Page 264
1	that their suit is rather more like ours than	1		them better protection in the cold water, one
2	like yours? Is that correct?	2		of the issues there is the problem of thermal
3 N	MS. COLESHAW:	3		comfort and thermal stress in a helicopter

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Very much more like yours, yes. 5 ROIL, O.C.: O. And what are the similarities or differences? 6 Is there anything substantial? The UK suit, I 7 8 gather, seals at the neck? 9 MS. COLESHAW:

A. Yes. Again, that's something that's changed. 10 11 20 years ago, we had suits that zipped either up under the chin or to the side of the face, 12 13 and there was a helicopter accident near the Cormorant Alpha platform in 1992 where there 14 15 were only six out of 16, I think it was, on board that survived the incident and there 16 17 were cases where the suits hadn't been properly zipped up and when the emergency 18 19 happened at least one of the non-survivors was found with a significant amount of water in 20 the suit and it became apparent, having 21

interviewed others, that quite a few, even if

they were done up, they weren't done up

properly and quite a few of the survivors had

water in their suits. So at that time, we

cabin and there are particular problems, spring, April-May time, when the sea temperatures are still at their coldest, but we start getting quite warm weather, so air temperatures are increasing, you could get some very hot days. Those times there's a tendency that people will wear less clothing under the suit and also higher cabin temperatures, and then it's balancing. We're now in a regime where you got a sealed suit, warm sealed, that while I think the individuals have to accept a certain amount of discomfort because the equip is provided to give them protection in the event of cold water immersion, but there's a level above which you don't want to push people. We do have days when there are people complaining that they're sweating and are wet when they get to the platform because they're hot. Now if you apply that across to the suits used in Norway and Canada, you got the higher

insulation and I think you wear your suits

22

23

24

Multi-Page TM Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry Page 265 Page 267 unzipped except for the period coming up to 1 MS. COLESHAW: 1 2 landing, and that's partly to relieve this A. Right. thermal stress problem in the helicopter 3 ROIL, Q.C.: 3 Q. We're thinking more in terms of the 4 cabin. 4 manufacture of the suit, the fact it keeps the 5 ROIL, Q.C.: 5 Q. And does the Norwegian side of the North Sea, water out, the fact that there's a foamy 6 6 do they get into colder waters and go farther substance there that that's providing, but in 7 7 north than the British side? 8 8 fact, air in itself is an additional factor 9 MS. COLESHAW: that provides insulation. A. Yes. 10 MS. COLESHAW: 10 11 ROIL, Q.C.: A. Yeah, yeah. There are going to be gaps 11 between the layers. I mean there's enough 12 Q. Okay. So if we were looking for a factual 12 talk about wearing layered clothing to provide 13 comparator, Norway factually looks more like 13 us in terms of the environment than the UK? better insulation, so it's just another 14 14 example of that, that you've got layered and 15 MS. COLESHAW: 15 A. I would say so, yes. 16 insulation under the suit in terms of 16 clothing, a gap between the clothing and the 17 ROIL, Q.C.: 17 suit and then the actual insulation of the Q. Okay. That little aside with those suits was 18 18 not really part of your presentation, but I lining of the suit itself. 19 19 think it's important to understand. 20 20 ROIL, Q.C.: Q. And the conflict caused by the trapped air 21 MS. COLESHAW: 21 22 A. Covered off at least one of the slides in 22 creating buoyancy versus the trapped air that you want is a conflict that we can't resolve 23 23 doing that. easily? 24 ROIL, Q.C.: 24 Q. That's right. Okay. Now you can -- I can 25 MS. COLESHAW: 25 Page 268 Page 266 take you back then to your slide three or A. Not easily. I mean, there may be changes in 1 1 2 four, as you choose, to go forward. 2 the future and then I think that then ties into the next point on my slide, which is 3 MS. COLESHAW: 3 looking at the fit of the suit, and again, A. I think the only thing we didn't mention on 4 4 5 slide three was just the last item, equipment, 5 that was an issue that the Commissioner was which I'm not going to talk very much about, particularly interested in because if you have 6 6 7 but the location, being aided by personal 7 a loose fitting suit, then again there's going locator beacons, PLBs, but I'll come back to to be a lot more air in the suit as it's worn 8 8 9 that in a minute. normally than a very close fitting suit, and 9

So I think slide four probably covers 10 11

most of these issues in that discussion. So the first one was being cold shock and

protection for that being provided by the fact

that the suit covers the majority of the body

surface and obviously the one area that isn't

completely covered is the face, the head if

you haven't got your hood on. We've covered 17

the issue of insulation being provided by 18 19 trapped air. We've talked about the conflict

with buoyancy making escape more difficult. 20

21 ROIL, Q.C.:

12

13

14

15

16

Q. Yeah, I think the trapped air is perhaps -- it 22 may not be news to us, but I think we have not 23

looked at trapped air as being a factor to 24 25 provide protection.

that's possibly another slight difference 10

11 between the suits used here and Norway and the suits used in the UK where the UK suits tend 12

13 to be slightly closer fitting. That partly depends on the number of sizes offered. So if

14 you're offering four or five sizes, there's 15

going to be a difference between a 16 17 manufacturer that offers 15 or 20 sizes. So

when you go along to the heliport and look for 18

the appropriate size suit, if that's based 19 primarily on height, you're a very tall 20

person, but slim, you may end up with a large 21 or an extra large suit because of your height,

22 but the build is not particularly appropriate 23

for your body build, and that is again a sort 24 25 of situation where you could get a lot of

Page 265 - Page 268

June 28, 2010 Mul	n-Page "	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
Page 269		Page 271
trapped air and therefore problems with the	1 A.	Norwegian suit is similar to the Canadian one.
2 buoyancy during the helicopter underwater	2	I think the boots are slightly smaller in
3 escape.	3	size.
4 ROIL, Q.C.:	4 ROIL	, Q.C.:
5 Q. It appeared to us in the evidence that we took		And what about the situation with gloves? We
6 in in the earlier phase, that the whole issue	6	haven't mentioned gloves.
of fit was not one that anybody focused on	7 MS. C	COLESHAW:
8 very heavily until very close to the end of	8 A.	Gloves, again, I think on all the suits are
9 this piece. Has the issue of fit been a big	9	primarily separate so they're donned later.
issue in terms of the research that you've	10	They're not worn all the time.
done? Has there been a focus on the fact that	11 ROIL	, Q.C.:
fit is important or is that something that	12 Q.	Right.
everybody has only discovered relatively	13 MS. C	COLESHAW:
recently?	14 A.	There is an issue in terms of are they easy to
15 MS. COLESHAW:	15	don when somebody is in cold water. Talked
16 A. I think there was awareness of the problem in	16	about manual dexterity being lost very
terms of buoyancy and the fit. I suppose in	17	quickly, so there are potentially differences
the UK, we tend to have more suit sizes	18	in terms of the type of glove provided, as to
available, so it's possibly less of an issue,	19	how easy it is to get on if your hands are
but certainly manufacturers in the design of	20	cold.
21 the suits do try and provide means of	21 ROIL	, Q.C.:
preventing that trapped air from being a	22 Q.	Have you been able to view and listen to
problem and so there are certain helicopter	23	Robert Decker's testimony here and the
suits that have got elasticated legs, so it's	24	evidence he gave about the day in question and
another difference with UK suits that they	25	his difficulty with his hands?
Page 270		
1 426 270	)	Page 272
		Page 272 COLESHAW:
1 have socks, rather than the boots that are	1 MS. C	COLESHAW:
1 have socks, rather than the boots that are	1 MS. C	COLESHAW: Yes, I did.
1 have socks, rather than the boots that are 2 present on the Canadian suits. 3 ROIL, Q.C.:	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL	COLESHAW: Yes, I did.
<ul> <li>have socks, rather than the boots that are</li> <li>present on the Canadian suits.</li> <li>ROIL, Q.C.:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two</li> </ul>	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q.	Yes, I did. , Q.C.:
<ul> <li>have socks, rather than the boots that are</li> <li>present on the Canadian suits.</li> <li>ROIL, Q.C.:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two</li> </ul>	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q.	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the
<ul> <li>have socks, rather than the boots that are</li> <li>present on the Canadian suits.</li> <li>ROIL, Q.C.:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two</li> <li>things certainly, which was boots and gloves.</li> <li>So you've seen the Canadian suit with the</li> </ul>	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5	Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of
<ul> <li>have socks, rather than the boots that are</li> <li>present on the Canadian suits.</li> <li>ROIL, Q.C.:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two</li> <li>things certainly, which was boots and gloves.</li> <li>So you've seen the Canadian suit with the</li> </ul>	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern?
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C	Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern?
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A.	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A.	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  10 A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  ROIL, Q.C.:	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  And do you -	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of.
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of.
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath then when	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. Q.C.:
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q.	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. , Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear -	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q. 19	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to you is what equipment and clothing is
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear -  MS. COLESHAW:	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q. 19 20	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. , Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to you is what equipment and clothing is necessary. We've addressed passengers. We
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves. So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear -  MS. COLESHAW:	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q. 19 20 21	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. , Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to you is what equipment and clothing is necessary. We've addressed passengers. We haven't or we've talked around passengers.
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves.  So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW:  A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q. And do you -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath.  ROIL, Q.C.:  Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear -  MS. COLESHAW:  A. You take your footwear off and that will be put on on top of the sock of the suit.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q. 19 20 21 22 23	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. , Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. , Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to you is what equipment and clothing is necessary. We've addressed passengers. We haven't or we've talked around passengers. We haven't really addressed pilots. Are there
have socks, rather than the boots that are present on the Canadian suits.  ROIL, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So I was going to lead you to two things certainly, which was boots and gloves. So you've seen the Canadian suit with the rather large green boot at the bottom. Is that similar to what's affixed in the UK?  MS. COLESHAW: A. In the UK, it's more like a sock on the bottom of the suit, rather than a boot.  ROIL, Q.C.: Q. And do you - MS. COLESHAW: A. So the user will wear a shoe underneath. ROIL, Q.C.: Q and what do you wear underneath then when you're putting on the UK suit? Do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear your own footwear afterwards or do you wear MS. COLESHAW: A. You take your footwear off and that will be put on on top of the sock of the suit.	1 MS. C 2 A. 3 ROIL 4 Q. 5 6 7 MS. C 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ROIL 18 Q. 19 20 21 22 23 24 MS. C	COLESHAW: Yes, I did. Q.C.: Okay. Is that something that was new to the world or was that the the loss of dexterity, was that a known concern? COLESHAW: That is a known the old UK CAA Spec 19 standard for immersion suits did actually have a test where the test subjects were required to be immersed in cold water for, I can't remember, something like ten minutes and then have to don the gloves of the suit and they had to be able to do that with cold hands, and so that is a problem that there has been some awareness of. Q.C.: Okay. Part of the question that was put to you is what equipment and clothing is necessary. We've addressed passengers. We haven't or we've talked around passengers. We haven't really addressed pilots. Are there different concerns for pilots?

June 28, 2010	Multi-Pa	age Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 273	Page 275
1 exactly the same, so you'd hope that the	1	European standards as I list on this slide.
2 equipment that they used would have exactly	, 2	EASA is the European Aviation Safety Agency
3 the same performance, and that's not	3	and they've recently taken over responsibility
4 necessarily the case. I think quite often	4	for airworthiness in Europe. So they have two
5 their suits are different to the passenger	5	
6 suits. Certainly in the UK, they wear a	6	crew and passenger immersion suit. So that is
7 slightly different style of lifejacket and	7	quite similar to the Canadian suit whereby you
8 emergency breathing systems, not all of our	8	have an immersion suit with additional
9 pilots are currently flying with or only a few	9	buoyancy that is an integral part of the
are flying with any emergency breathing	10	immersion suit. In the UK, we have a separate
system, and so there are differences. The	11	helicopter immersion suit and lifejacket and
colour of the suits, and that was an issue	12	so the second of these two European standards,
that was brought up in an accident in the UK a	13	the ETSO-2C503 is the one that the UK
couple of years ago where the passenger suits	14	helicopter suits, I think in the future, will
are yellow, can be quite easily picked up by	15	be approved to. So that's got the closest
the search and rescue, and the pilots were	16	similarity with the old spec 19.
wearing navy immersion suits and I don't thir	ık 17	ROIL, Q.C.:
that issue has been addressed yet, in terms of	18	Q. So I take it that even though there are two
should that be changed.	19	very different looking suits on either side of
20 ROIL, Q.C.:	20	the North Sea, both of them are built to a
21 Q. Now we also asked you to speak something all	bout 21	standard that is more or less the same
what standards are available. What standards	22	definition?
are you aware of? Is there a world universal	23	MS. COLESHAW:
standard or are standards different all over	24	A. Yes. I mean, certainly in the most of the
25 the place?	25	passenger suits, and probably pilot suits,
	Page 274	Page 276
1 MS. COLESHAW:	1	being used in the UK at the present time are
2 A. There are quite a few different standards a	and 2	still suits that have been approved to the old
3 attempts to harmonize it, it would be use:	ful 3	spec 19. There is now one UK manufactured
4 to move on to my slide that has some of	the 4	helicopter suit to this new ETSO standard and
5 standards listed.	5	I think there is one suit in Norway, a Helly
6 ROIL, Q.C.:	6	Hansen suit, that is manufactured to the ETSO-
7 Q. Yes, exactly, perhaps we'll move to nur	mber 7	2C502 standard. So there are now two ETSO
8 five.	8	approved suits. The Norwegian one, I think,
9 MS. COLESHAW:	9	is already in use. The UK manufactured
10 A. Which is the next slide, so to the left of	<b>I</b>	European approved suit is currently subject to
this slide, I say there are many different	<b>I</b>	trials, so that's not widely used as yet.
standards. These are three that are possib	•	It's just in its early implementation phase.
most appropriate, so the top one is the		ROIL, Q.C.:
Canadian. I certainly don't have to remi		Q. So if an existing suit is in use, then it can
15 you about the CGSB.	15	continue in use even though a new standard
16 ROIL, Q.C.:	16	comes in?
17 Q. Canadian General Standards Board.		MS. COLESHAW:
18 MS. COLESHAW:	18	A. That's right. There are what's called
19 A. General Standards Board, thank you.	19	grandfathering rights and I think the
100 POH OG	20	manufacturers have a duty of care to compare
20 ROIL, Q.C.:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 Q. Yes.	21	what differences there are between the
21 Q. Yes. 22 MS. COLESHAW:	21 22	what differences there are between the standard to which their existing suit has been
21 Q. Yes. 22 MS. COLESHAW: 23 A. So that's their 1999 standard. One I don	21 22 23	what differences there are between the standard to which their existing suit has been approved and the new standard and obviously
21 Q. Yes. 22 MS. COLESHAW:	21 22 23 24 24	what differences there are between the standard to which their existing suit has been

Page 279

Page 280

Ju	ne 28, 2010 Multi	-Pa	age TM	
	Page 277			
1	biggest difference for the UK sector is the	1		required
2	thermal requirement is actually increased and	2	ROIL,	Q.C.:
3	they're now required to provide protection for	3	Q.	Okay, an
4	four hours in water naught two degrees	4	MS. C	OLESHAW
5	centigrade for the European approved suits.	5	A.	Higher a
6	Now we've not previously when I say we, the	6		originall
7	UK manufacturers have not designed suits for	7		required
8	that cold of water temperature. So they're	8		room at
9	having to now look at possibly upping the	9		standard
10	insulation slightly to make sure that they	10		person in
11	meet this slightly higher standard for	11		definitio
12	insulation.	12	ROIL,	Q.C.:
13	ROIL, Q.C.:	13	Q.	So it doe
14	Q. So the standards, I take it, define	14		definitio
115	performance requirements and you heard all of	15	MS C	OLESHAW

performance requirements and you heard all of 16 the evidence earlier about performance. They are more set to design or to dictate 17 18 performance standards rather than what the 19 suit looks like, in terms of physical characteristics? 20

#### 21 MS. COLESHAW:

22 A. Most of it. Part of the standards relate to 23 design, but the majority is focused on performance and levels of protection offered. 24

25 ROIL, Q.C.:

1

2

3

4

5

Page 278

Q. Okay. So you've indicated here the current 1

2

they'd rather wear a UK suit or they'd rather wear a Norwegian suit and I take it that what

this is telling us is that there is more 3 thermal protection in the Canadian suit? 4

term that we've heard before, so you might

performance requirements, levels of thermal

protection and then it's expressed in terms of

Clo or something called Clo. That's not a

want to tell us what sort of -- using more 6 common understanding, what sort of definition 7

8 that is giving to us.

# 9 MS. COLESHAW:

A. Right. Clo is a unit of insulation. It's not 10 11 a standard international unit, as might be preferred by the scientific community, but 12 13 it's one that's a little bit more easy to 14 understand than the international unit. One Clo is equivalent to naught .155 degrees 15 Centigrade meters squared per watt, which you 16 17 can imagine is a very difficult unit to -

18 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. That's fairly simple to understand, I'm sure, 19 but it's way over my head. 20

# 21 MS. COLESHAW:

A. So one Clo is -- and you can see there, I put 22 two values. The .75 Clo is the amount of 23 24 insulation required by the Canadian standard. .5 is the equivalent amount of insulation 25

d in the European standards.

nd .75 is a higher amount of -

amount of insulation, yes. One clo was ly defined as the amount of insulation d to keep an individual, sitting in a basically a normal room temperature d humidity, low air flow, to keep that in comfort, and that was the original on of a -

es come out of a simple to understand on?

15 MS. COLESHAW:

A. Very much so, and there is now a conversion to 16 the correct units, but I think a Clo, a Clo 17 18 value is slightly easy to understand, yeah. 19 There's another slight difference in that when we're talking about immersion suits, we're 20 also talking about insulation measured in 21 22 water, so you'll sometimes see it referred to as a immersed Clo. 23 24 ROIL, O.C.:

25 Q. So we've heard Canadian workers say that

5 MS. COLESHAW: A. In the Canadian, yes, that's correct. 6

7 ROIL, Q.C.: o. Yes.

9 MS. COLESHAW:

A. And the Norwegian suit is much closer to that 10 11 figure, so they exceed the thermal protection required in the European standard. So I think 12 13 the Norwegian suit would be much closer to the .75 Clo level of insulation. 14

15 ROIL, O.C.:

Q. Leakage, we heard a lot about water getting 16 17 into suits. What can you tell us about your understanding of the ability and the 18 desirability of -- the ability of water to get 19 in and the desirability to keep water out? 20

21 MS. COLESHAW:

22

23

24

25

A. As I say, that is number one is you want performance requirement would be to try and keep the individual dry. As soon as you introduce water into the suit, you're starting

June 28, 2010 Mul	lti-Page <sup>TI</sup>	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
Page 28		Page 283
to destroy the insulation offered and this is	1	The 156 neutons is the amount of buoyancy or
because of this fact that insulation is	2	is a minimum level of buoyancy that's allowed
provided by air. Water displaces the air and	3	in the Canadian standard for the additional
water is a much greater has much greater	4	buoyancy device that you have that provides
5 conductivity than air, and so you're going to	5	support to the head, so it's equivalent to a
6 cool much more quickly if water is introduced	6	lifejacket. This is the positive buoyancy
7 into the suit.	7	that's there to protect you from drowning. So
8 ROIL, Q.C.:	8	that figure I should say, again, a lot of
9 Q. But is it acknowledged that a suit can be made	9	aviation, that lifejackets have got
10 completely watertight or is it acknowledged	10	approximately 150 neutons of buoyancy within
that there will always be a certain amount of	11	them. That compares to the figures I've put
water that will get into a suit that is fit to	12	below which are maximum values of buoyancy,
a human body?	13	and these are limits set because of concerns
14 MS. COLESHAW:	14	about people being able to actually escape
15 A. Not always, but it's very difficult to get a	15	from the helicopter when underwater. 139
suit that will fit everybody and seal	16	sorry, 175 neutons is the amount of buoyancy
correctly on everybody to remain absolutely	17	allowed in the Canadian standard. You see
watertight. Hence there is a certain amount	18	that's somewhat higher than the maximum limit
of allowable leakage in most of the immersion	19	in the European standards and the old spec 19,
suit standards. The 200 gram figure I've put	20	which was 150 neutons. There's been quite a
21 here with a question mark is the figure that's	21	bit of work in this area about where that
allowable in the European standard, and this	22	level should be. 150 neutons is probably the
level of leakage is thought to not have a	23	preferred maximum figure and I think even work
significant effect on body cooling.	24	that's been done in a lot of the work has
25 ROIL, Q.C.:	25	been done in Canada by Chris Brooks and his
Page 28	2	Page 284
1 Q. How much is 200 grams to those of us that work	1	teams. I think a slightly higher figure was
2 in cups and those kinds of things?	2	included in the Canadian standard because of
3 MS. COLESHAW:	3	the recognition that if you wanted to get the
4 A. Probably a smallish cup. I was given a small	4	level of thermal protection that you needed in
5 1 1/1 f ( 1/1 1 1/1 1/1.	_ ا	

bottle of water on the plane coming over and 5 that was 250 mils. 6

7 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. Okay.

9 MS. COLESHAW:

A. So it's not a huge amount, but that would 10 11 create sort of quite a big damp patch if you introduced 200 mils, dripped it down 12 13 somebody's clothing, you'd end up with quite a 14 big wet patch on their clothing and probably not running down to the feet and filling up 15 the boots. 16

17 ROIL, Q.C.:

18 Q. And what then can you tell us about the 19 buoyancy and again, there's an N here which I understand to be something called neutons, but 20 21 perhaps you can explain to us what are the 22 concerns with respect to not enough buoyancy or too much buoyancy? 23 24 MS. COLESHAW: A. Right. Several figures I've included here. 25

your suits to protect you from water

temperatures as low as zero, it was then very 6

7 difficult to balance these two figures of

level of insulation required and the

9 consequent buoyancy of the suit.

10 ROIL, Q.C.:

5

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 Q. So I take it that the tugging that goes on between buoyancy and thermal is a very 12 13 troubling issue for the industry that you work with? 14

15 MS. COLESHAW:

A. I think it's a very difficult one and it's a very difficult one for the standards board. You're having to put priority. Now if you can get fabrics that provide better insulation with less air in the suit, you know, better fit will improve the situation, then there could be improvements. There isn't an easy answer. I think the only other thing, I think it's almost a risk assessment required. Again, can you look at the level of thermal

June 2	28, 2010 Mul	ti-Page™	Offshore Helicopter Safety Inquiry
	Page 285		Page 287
1	protection required? The suits you have are	1	There's again a slight difference in terms of
2	designed to provide protection for six hours.	2	requirements and standards. In the UK, the
3	Is there any way that you can improve recovery	3	life jacket is required to turn with the user
4	times that means perhaps you don't have to	4	and actively - there is quite a strong
5	provide quite as much insulation? The answer	5	argument been made in other jurisdictions that
6	to that may well be no, but that yeah, I	6	is that necessary because if you're able to
7	think it's a risk assessment in terms of where	7	escape from the helicopter, you'll be
8	do the priorities lie and therefore where do	8	conscious, and, therefore, able to turn
9	we shift the balance between insulation and	9	yourself, and so the Canadian standard and
10	buoyancy.	10	indeed the Norwegian have less standards that
11 ROI	L, Q.C.:	11	the new ETSOs just require that the user can
12 Ç	2. So if we can assure recovery in a faster	12	turn themselves onto their back, but that the
13	period of time, we can bring down the thermal	13	buoyancy has to keep the person once they're
14	factor and that will automatically then bring	14	on their back in a very stable position. So
15	down the buoyancy problem?	15	that's to ensure that a wave will not flip a
16 MS.	COLESHAW:	16	person over, and particularly somebody that's
17 A	. Possibly.	17	getting very tired or somebody that has lost
18 ROI	L, Q.C.:	18	consciousness, that they're not then going to
19 Ç	Possibly.	19	be turned face down into the water.
20 MS.	COLESHAW:	20 ROIL	., Q.C.:
21 A	Yes.	21 Q.	Is there any work ongoing that you're aware of
22 ROI	L, Q.C.:	22	that relates to the orientation of the person
23 Ç	. I understand. Okay, perhaps we'll move on to	23	in the water? In other words, is it better to
24	Slide #6 where you're talking more	24	have your head to the waves or feet to the
25	specifically about buoyancy equipment.	25	waves? Is that something that has been
	Page 286	5	Page 288
1 MS.	COLESHAW:	1	thought about or talked about, to your
2 A	Again this is really just an overview in terms	2	knowledge?
3	of answering the question of what equipment is	3 MS. 0	COLESHAW:
4	needed. So in terms of buoyancy, basically	4 A.	Certainly Professor Tipton reports on this,
5	you got two options in the Canadian and	5	and Frank Golden have talked about this in
6	Norwegian suits. It's reliance based on the	6	their book on sea survival, and it's much
7	buoyancy of the suit itself, and this integral	7	better to be able to face the waves. You can
8	inflatable buoyancy element, which in this	8	then see the oncoming water, if it's going to
9	case is normally orally inflated. In the UK	9	be a big wave that's about to break over you,
10	markets then we tend to have a separate	10	you can take action to prevent that happening.
11	immersion suit and life jacket. In that case,	11	What tends to happen when people lose
12	the life jacket is - it's an inflatable life	12	consciousness is that they then turn with
13	jacket which is manually inflated once the	13	their back to the waves, and then there's a
14	user has actually escaped from the helicopter.	14	much higher risk of waves breaking actually
15	At the end of the day they're providing the	15	over the face of the individual.

16 ROIL, Q.C.:

17 Q. And I gather the spray hood is designed to stop that from being a problem for the wearer? 18 19 MS. COLESHAW:

20 A. That's right. Modern spray hoods are pretty 21 effective at doing that. In the past, there 22 was a lot of resistance to use of spray hoods 23 because they tended to fall on the face and 24 steam up. There was a lot of resistance of 25 people to use them. They've been pushing,

important performance requirement.

The last factor I've got there is in

same performance requirement, which is to

support the head, and also at the bottom we

talk about the spray hood being necessary and

to protect the mouth and nose from wave

slashing and waves actually breaking over the

face of the user. So in terms of protection

from drowning, obviously that's a very

terms of the turning capability of the user.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		i-i age	Offshore Hencopier Safety Inquiry
	Page 289		Page 291
1	pushing, and pushing over many years to try	1	think is what we should do because of this
2	and get greater use of spray hoods, and at	2	disparity that we've got at the top of the
3	least the new designs tend to hold the spray	3	slide here, then as long as we have got well
4	hood away from the face. So again it's more	4	designed EBS that can be very simple and can
5	comfortable and more user friendly, more fit	5	be deployed quickly, then it does mean that
6	for purpose, and for that reason - and	6	you're allowing that individual during this
7	probably less likely to ventilate and have to	7	underwater escape; number one, to overcome
8	have holes, which means there is some	8	disorientation particularly if you're turned
9	circulation of air underneath the spray hood.	9	upside down in a helicopter possibly in the
10	Again that'll tend to stop the steaming up.	10	dark, and people are very confused and the
1	L, Q.C.:	11	first few seconds as to which way up they are,
	Q. Okay, so we've covered the suit and the	12	they lose location of their exits if they're
13	buoyancy equipment. What other equipment do	13	not careful, so this is something that can
14	you feel is necessary for an offshore worker	14	again delay escape. The EBS, if they're able
	· ·	15	• • •
15	to wear while transiting?		to breathe, they've got a little bit more time
1	COLESHAW:	16	to just orientate themselves again and
	A. Well, next on the list is the emergency	17	relocate their exits. Actions such as
18	breathing system, so EBS, which is something	18	releasing the seat harness, again if people
19	that's been introduced in terms of the	19	have problems, they've just got that little
20	offshore industry over the last ten to fifteen	20	bit of extra time to sort out why it hasn't
21	years. We touched on this earlier that	21	released and effect a release of the harness.
22	there's been a recognition that the time	22	The ability to locate and jettison the exit or
23	needed to escape from a helicopter in a real	23	window, it may be right next to you, it may be
24	emergency has been estimated to be somewhere	24	some distance away, you have got enough time
25	between 45 and 60 seconds. We've talked about	25	in the water to actually locate that exit and
	Page 290		Page 292
1	Page 290 breath hold times in cold water that may be on	1	Page 292 be able to operate the mechanism to get out.
1 2	•	1 2	
1	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10		be able to operate the mechanism to get out.
2	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity	2	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems
2 3	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many	2 3	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first
2 3 4 5	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient	2 3 4	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over
2 3 4 5 6	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the	2 3 4 5 6	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will
2 3 4 5 6 7	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully	2 3 4 5 6 7	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their inside who wanted to just get out very quickly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape from the helicopter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their inside who wanted to just get out very quickly who would be held up by that, and so in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape from the helicopter.  So I think in terms of benefits, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their inside who wanted to just get out very quickly who would be held up by that, and so in looking at EBS, it's very much again a thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape from the helicopter.  So I think in terms of benefits, there are some very definite benefits of having this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their inside who wanted to just get out very quickly who would be held up by that, and so in looking at EBS, it's very much again a thing of balancing the safety benefits against any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape from the helicopter.  So I think in terms of benefits, there are some very definite benefits of having this piece of equipment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	breath hold times in cold water that may be on average 20 seconds, and as little as 10 seconds, and so there's obviously a disparity there that means that under breath hold, many individuals will actually not have sufficient time to escape from a helicopter, and so the EBS is a piece of equipment that hopefully will allow people sufficient time to escape from a helicopter. That does require them to be, I say here, successfully deployed to actually provide that protection. There's been a lot of concern that there's an overall safety benefit, that it does take time to deploy the EBS, and initially there were a lot of concerns that people would spend time deploying the EBS and not getting out quickly, and there's somebody with EBS sitting in a seat next to an exit was having problems, there might be somebody sitting on their inside who wanted to just get out very quickly who would be held up by that, and so in looking at EBS, it's very much again a thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROII	be able to operate the mechanism to get out.  We talked about the buoyancy problems that could be experienced. That's particularly difficult during the first probably ten seconds under water because over that period some of that trapped air will actually escape from the suit, and if you look at underwater video of people, you can actually see water escaping from the suits, but if somebody releases a harness before that water is escaped, they could be very buoyant, and if they are in a buoyant suit, they tend to with submersion float up towards the surface and then have to pull themselves down to the exit. In a capsize, they might be pushed down into their seat due to the buoyancy. So again effective use of breathing systems just gives people perhaps more time to overcome any problems they have and escape from the helicopter.  So I think in terms of benefits, there are some very definite benefits of having this piece of equipment.

Page 293 Page 295 development in terms of your experience in the 1 1 ROIL, O.C.: 2 North Sea. How long ago did people begin to Q. And I think you said you're aware that we were use emergency breathing systems and how long using the - you had some engagement in the 3 3 has it taken it to become universal, or is it process that brought the compressed air system 4 4 5 even yet universal? 5 to Canada. 6 MS. COLESHAW: 6 MS. COLESHAW: A. The differences between military and civilian, A. That's right. 7 8 the military have been using them for probably 8 ROIL, Q.C.: 20 years plus, and tend to use compressed air 9 Q. Tell us a little bit about that just in terms 10 systems. In terms of the offshore industry, I 10 of what engagement did you have in that think I'm right in saying that the UK were process? 11 11 probably the first to actually start using an 12 12 MS. COLESHAW: 13 EBS device, and that was work instigated by A. That goes back to early 2006. At that time, 13 CAPP held a workshop and they invited, I 14 Shell UK who had had several accidents and had 14 think, three of us from the UK to come over to a whole program looking at survival at sea 15 15 16 following a helicopter accident. 16 Canada and basically talk about the UK developed a rebreather called the Airpocket. experience in terms of the introduction of EBS 17 17 So that was introduced in the late 90s. That 18 18 in the UK. 19 was then developed further and what is now 19 So a lot of what we were presenting was relating to the rebreather and the hybrid being used in the UK sector is what's referred 20 20 to as a Hybrid Device. So it's a rebreather devices, and then there was a lot of 21 21 22 where a person will take a deep breath of air 22 discussion about the merits of rebreathers and basically have a counter lung. So they'll 23 23 versus compressed air systems. have a mouthpiece and they'll blow out into 24 24 ROIL, Q.C.: the counter lung. The pure rebreather, Q. I think we had direct evidence from CAPP about 25 25 Page 294 Page 296 they'll just keep reusing that single breath the process that they went through over a long 1 1 2 of air. So blowing out into the bag, back 2 period of years. It's just rather interesting 3 into the lungs. to note that you were one of the people that 3 The hybrid has an extra small cylinder of was resourced back then to bring some guidance 4 4 5 gas which fires automatically when the unit or some information from the UK sector. goes under water. That's primarily to protect 6 MS. COLESHAW: 6 7 the individual if they don't have time to take 7 A. That's right, and I later met a group who came 8 that single breath of air before their head 8 over to the UK 18 months ago, was it, I can't 9 goes under water. So it gives -- between 9 remember the actual date of that, but I think three and three and a half litres of air is it was to the UK and Norway at that time. 10 10 11 discharged into the counter lung. That's the 11 ROIL, Q.C.: device that's now being used in the UK sector. Q. Right, but I take it you were not involved in 12 12 13 ROIL, Q.C.: 13 the decision making as to what to -Q. And is that now universally or widely being 14 14 MS. COLESHAW: 15 used or is it still limited to certain A. No, not at all. companies? 16 16 ROIL, O.C.: 17 MS. COLESHAW: 17 Q. Okay. Moving to Slide #8, again I think we A. Certainly in the UK, I think that's used can go through this one fairly quickly. 18 18 19 pretty well across the board now. In the UK, 19 MS. COLESHAW: that everybody got the hybrid and rebreather A. Yeah, and this is just a listing of what are 20 20 device, and there are other companies around 21 considered to be performance criteria that 21 22 the world that are using that same device. 22 you'd be looking for in an EBS. So the obvious one is something that's very simple in Norway have a pure rebreather, so they're just 23 23

24

25

design and this is primarily because you want

something that's very quick to deploy.

24

25

relying on the individual taking a breath of

air and rebreathing it from a counter lung.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's recognition that EBS is there to 1 2 protect from drowning, and if you look at the different types of helicopter impact, then in 3 a controlled ditching, then actually there are 4 very few lives lost, but where lives tend to 5 6 be lost most from drowning are flying 7 incidents and what have been described as vertical descents with limited control as 8 opposed to the fly-ins have got the highest 10 fatality rate, but in both cases the majority of deaths where cause is known are thought to 11 be due to drowning, and it's only when you get 12 to uncontrolled impacts in order to find this 13 as non-survival crashes where impact injuries 14 exceed fatalities due to drowning. 15 16

So you're then looking at situations where perhaps there's very little time for people to prepare for the water impact, and it's, therefore, pretty vital that EBS are very quick to deploy. I think that's a very important performance criteria for EBS. The other items I've got here are easy to use in realistic conditions. A particular one is cold water. A lot of the work that's done of ease of use is done -- so the training course

Page 298

you've got in the offshore training centre

which is relatively warm water compared to Canadian sea temperatures, UK sea temperatures, so it's important that

manufacturers look at performance actually in

cold water and there you're introducing the effects of cold shock and how it affects

people's breathing from the units.

It's important how effective if somebody is inverted or if they're having to swim face down during an escape, and there aren't any snagging hazards when they're actually coming out through an exit or escape window.

The next one I've got there is compatibility with other equipment and that would apply both to the immersion suit, and the EBS might either be an integral part of that immersion suit or an extra piece of equipment that's added in. So particularly in the latter case, you don't want the performance of the EBS in any way hamper the performance of the immersion suit. The other area of particular interest is the seat harness. There's a lot of potential for four point harness to be affected by an EBS device,

Page 297

Page 299 and they're variously situated on the chest

1 down at the waist. So you've got to be sure 2

that the harness can be released and it's not 3 4

being trapped by the EBS, and vice versa that

with a harness, you can actually find the EBS. 5 So those two have got to interact correctly. 6

7 ROIL, O.C.:

8 Q. So I take it from all of this evidence that there is a necessity at some point in time to 9 make everything fit together to make sure that 10 the life jacket, if separate, works with the 11 suit; that the suit works with the EBS, and so 12 on. Is there anybody that is overall 13 responsible for that kind of integration, or 14 do these tends to be disparate pieces that fit 15 16 together sometimes and sometimes are a problem? 17

18 MS. COLESHAW:

19 A. It depends a bit on how much of the system is developed by manufacturers, and the problem 20 comes here if you have a group of 21 manufacturers that produce immersion suits -22 in the UK there would be a separate group that 23 are producing life jackets. Some do both; a 24 lot don't. A lot are separate companies. 25

Page 300

You've potentially got a different 1 2

manufacturer again who produces the emergency

breathing system. So then you can't have -3

it's very difficult to have the manufacturer 4

of the immersion suit responsible for

compatibility with every life jacket and every 6

emergency breathing system. 7

8 ROIL, O.C.:

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And I take it the seat belt manufacturer would like be another disparitor. 10

11 MS. COLESHAW:

A. Yeah. 12

13 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. Separate individual, or separate entity. 14

15 MS. COLESHAW:

A. The process is simpler if you have a manufacturer who produces an integrated system. Helly Hansen is probably one of the few that have got a suit with integral buoyancy and they also make a breathing system, in which case they're responsible for looking at compatibility. Otherwise, it tends to be more - it tends to be the end user who puts together these different combinations who will then have to ensure that the system

Page 301 Page 303 Q. Yes, later in the presentation I think we deal they've put together is compatible. Similar 1 2 requirements certainly on the aviation side, 2 with your current research on that. in the UK the Civil Aviation Authority 3 3 MS. COLESHAW: required compatibility assessments of suits A. Yeah, what is at present and what is being 4 4 and life jackets, and that was put on the developed are basic requirements that will 5 5 helicopter suit manufacturers, I think, cover the three generic types of devices we've 6 6 because there were a limited range of life 7 talked about, so compressed air systems, 7 rebreathers, and hybrid devices, just looking 8 jackets. So they actually had to test their 8 suits in combination with the life jackets at work of breathing and breathing resistance. 9 9 10 which would be worn. There isn't a straight 10 It's looking at minimum deployment times we answer to that one. At the end of the day, talked about, something needed to be very 11 11 somebody needs to take responsibility for it. quick to deploy; does that need to be one 12 12 handed deployment, so that you can keep a hand 13 ROIL, O.C.: 13 Q. And I think that's something we need to know. on the nearest exit; if one hand is injured, 14 14 We tend to think in our little part of the 15 can you still use it with the other hand, and 15 16 world that everybody else has got answers, and it's looking at this issue of the different 16 I think what you're telling us is that these orientations, and it will cover compatibility, 17 17 are problems in other parts of the world as will cover snagging during escape, cold water 18 18 well? performance, and the last one here, again 19 19 buoyancy raised its head. In this case, it's 20 MS. COLESHAW: 20 additional buoyancy and this would relate just 21 A. That's what I would say. 21 22 ROIL, O.C.: 22 to these hybrid systems. If you've got a compressed air system, there's no problem with 23 Q. And it's important for us to know that. Okay, 23 finally, I think you're going to talk about additional buoyancy, it's usually EBS. If you 24 24

Page 302

25

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 304

## 1 MS. COLESHAW:

25

A. Yeah, and this was one of the original 2 questions in issue #1 was what are the 3 standards relating to this equipment. The 4 5 answer with EBS is at the moment there isn't a published technical standard for EBS. I was 6 actually involved in a project with our Civil 7 Aviation Authority to develop what they ended 8 9 up calling an example draft technical standard that was published within a CAA report back in 10 11 2003, which at present that's the only 12 published draft standard of any sort out 13 there. 14

the technical standards for the EBS.

I'm currently working on a project for 15 them to actually complete that standard, and part of the reason for it not being completed 16 17 back in 2003 were that there were certain areas in terms of the performance of the EBS 18 19 where we felt there wasn't sufficient information available in published data to be 20 able to set clear performance criteria, 21 possible criteria for tests. It's part of the 22 23 current work that we'll come back to later in 24 my presentation. 25 ROIL, Q.C.:

buoyancy, just simply shifting air from the 1

lungs into the counter lung, but with a hybrid

look at a rebreather, there's no additional

system we are actually introducing some extra 3

buoyancy, we're adding that extra three and a 4 5

half litres of air into the system. So

there's going to be a figure in there and a 6

7 requirement that will be a maximum buoyancy

8 allowable relating to that.

# 9 ROIL, Q.C.:

Q. So while there is a standard in the European 10 11 Union for the suit itself, there is still not a standard with respect to the EBS? 12

#### 13 MS. COLESHAW:

A. That's right, and at present the case is that the EBS must not in any way impair the performance of the suit. So again when our suit was assessed with the life jacket that's now most commonly used, it's called the lap life jacket, and that has got the hybrid rebreather as an integral part of the life jacket, and during the approval of that item, the Civil Aviation Authority wanted to be demonstrated that the rebreather didn't in any way interfere with the performance of the life jacket or the suit. They didn't approve the

June 20, 2010 Wil	onshore Hencopter Safety Inquiry
Page 30	Page 307
1 EBS as such, but they wanted assurances it	gloved hand, are you going to be able to
2 wasn't interfering with the safety of the life	2 activate the unit. So there are certainly
3 jacket or the immersion suit.	3 benefits to have something that is
4 ROIL, Q.C.:	4 automatically activated by the water.
5 Q. Okay, and the final piece of personal	5 ROIL, Q.C.:
6 equipment that you're drawing our attention	6 Q. Okay. I think the next portion of your report
7 to, and again this is something that we've	deals with a separate issue, and this might
8 heard evidence on, is the personal locator	8 perhaps, Commissioner, be a place that we
9 beacon?	9 would stop for the day because I think we
10 MS. COLESHAW:	won't get very far into this. We're about
11 A. That's right, and again this is a piece of	half way through the report now, so it would
equipment that is gradually becoming an	take a similar amount of time, about an hour
accepted piece of equipment to be carried in	or so tomorrow to get through the next part of
terms of passengers. I think crew have	14 the report.
probably carried them for some years, and not	15 COMMISSIONER:
so many years for the passengers. These are	16 Q. All right then, fine, we'll adjourn then until
to aid in the location of the individual, and	17 9:30.
this is in the rescue phase following a	18 ROIL, Q.C.:
19 helicopter incident. So it's an additional	19 Q. Thank you.
aid on top of a light that's found either on	20 (UPON CONCLUDING 5 P.M.)
21 the life jacket or the suit, and you have	
reflective tape that allows people to be	
picked up, and this is an extra aid to locate	
an individual. These things transmit on	
25 different frequencies. So the ones carried by	
Page 30	Page 308
pilots commonly have a distress frequency	1 CERTIFICATE
which will alert search and rescue	2 We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that
3 organizations by satellite when an incident	3 the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of a
4 has occurred. The PLBs carried by passengers	4 hearing heard on the 28th day of June, 2010 at Tara
5 quite often only have a frequency that is used	5 Place, 31 Peet Street, Suite 213, St. John's
6 for homing. So this means that when the	6 Newfoundland and Labrador and was transcribed by us
7 Search and Rescue facilities come to look for	7 to the best of our ability by means of a sound
8 the incident and look for the individuals	8 apparatus.
9 involved, that allows them to home in on the	9 Dated at St. John's, NL this
location of the accident and pick people up.	10 28th day of June, 2010
11 ROIL, Q.C.:	11 Cindy Sooley
12 Q. Do you have any thoughts on whether or not	12 Discoveries Unlimited Inc.
these implements should be self-activating as	13 Judy Moss
opposed to activated by the wearer?	14 Discoveries Unlimited Inc.
15 MS. COLESHAW:	
16 A. Again if it's self-activating, it's one less	
thing for somebody in a distressing situation	
to have to remember to undertake, and again if	
it's manually activated, it quite often	
20 requires pressing a button or moving a lever	
and by the time they got to do that they're	
going to be in the water, their hands are	
23 already going to be starting to be cold, so	
they could well be trying to do that with a	
glove. So there are issues in terms of with a	

June 28, 2010
-#-
# <b>1</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 302:3
# <b>2</b> [1] 187:6
# <b>4</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 204:6
# <b>6</b> [1] 285:24
<b>#8</b> [1] 296:17
<b>91</b> [1] 240:23
<b>71</b> [1] 240.23
-,-
<b>.155</b> [1] 278:15
.5 [1] 278:25 .75 [3] 278:23 279:3
280:14
-0-
00207 [1] 4:12
-1-
<b>1</b> [11] 2:15,19 32:8 144:22
147:17 157:12 183:23,23 250:25 251:25 252:14
<b>1,000</b> [2] 41:20 42:2
<b>1.1.1</b> [1] 190:25
<b>1.1.2</b> [1] 190:25
<b>1.1.3</b> [1] 190:25
<b>10</b> [11] 24:4 25:9 47:2,2 59:14 97:13 111:9,9
209:4 255:18 290:2
<b>100</b> [10] 36:17 42:9,11
69:19 82:23 84:14 152:18 160:20 184:6,12
<b>1000</b> [1] 24:22
<b>11</b> [4] 2:17 25:9 48:9
72:22
<b>11:00</b> [1] 72:18
<b>11:15</b> [3] 72:19,22 73:4 <b>11:30</b> [2] 2:19 72:24
<b>12</b> [6] 37:21 43:3 46:1
59:15 132:6 251:6
<b>1200</b> [2] 41:13,17
<b>122</b> [1] 56:20 <b>13</b> [2] 153:25 251:1
<b>1300</b> [1] 37:21
<b>139</b> [1] 283:15
<b>14</b> [5] 7:9,12 13:24 14:1 203:13
<b>15</b> [9] 24:4 29:20 48:9
97:13 108:12 195:12
209:4 251:7 268:17 <b>15-minute</b> [1] 175:12
<b>150</b> [4] 42:10 283:10,20
283:22
<b>1500</b> [1] 24:22
<b>153</b> [1] 67:22 <b>154</b> [1] 42:7
154 [1] 42:/

**156** [1] 283:1

**16** [2] 48:21 262:15

164 [1] 69:9 166 [2] 100:4 159:20 17 [3] 42:13 49:3 84:10 172 [1] 69:9 175 [1] 283:16 18 [2] 13:11 296:8 1800 [2] 37:24 41:10 19 [12] 44:1 49:13,16,18 68:1 163:24 164:1 272:8 274:25 275:16 276:3 283:19 19000 [1] 193:10 1990 [1] 113:3 1992 [1] 262:14 1996 [1] 34:1 1997 [1] 7:10 1998 [1] 82:1
<b>1999</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 274:23 <b>1st</b> <sub>[2]</sub> 2:21 83:3
-2-
<b>2</b> [10] 2:15,19 11:2 16:12 70:10,21 183:23 251:5,5 252:15
<b>20</b> [14] 34:5 47:2,2 48:21 124:25 127:12 144:22,22 147:16 255:17 262:11 268:17 290:2 293:9 <b>20-minute</b> [1] 175:12 <b>200</b> [5] 157:11,12 281:20
282:1,12 2000 [7] 33:1 34:1 68:15 68:22 82:10 240:23,23
2000's [1] 108:5 2002 [1] 241:15 2003 [3] 13:4 302:11,17 2004 [2] 107:11 108:5 2005 [1] 99:6 2006 [2] 252:24 295:13 2008 [3] 83:3 90:16
106:25 <b>2009</b> [6] 17:17,21 18:2 101:6,6 113:23
<b>2010</b> [6] 1:1 17:12 19:5 35:8 308:4,10 <b>2011</b> [2] 108:17 193:25 <b>204</b> [3] 50:3 51:20 69:3 <b>207</b> [2] 4:11 5:25 <b>208</b> [2] 5:3 40:19 <b>209</b> [1] 5:4
<b>21</b> [3] 31:15 48:1 52:20 <b>210</b> [4] 5:6 168:7 176:18 220:8
<b>211</b> [2] 5:8 16:8 <b>213</b> [2] 249:18 308:5 <b>214</b> [1] 249:23 <b>22</b> [4] 32:3 54:23 59:3 62:9
23 [2] 13:8 166:4 23rd [1] 19:4

ividiti i ugo
<b>25</b> [3] 49:3 56:8 180:7
<b>250</b> [1] 282:6
<b>26</b> [3] 49:18 55:5 158:13
<b>260</b> [1] 13:25
<b>27</b> [6] 44:14 52:19 84:5 84:10 180:14 183:14
<b>28</b> [4] 1:1 54:22,22 166:5
<b>2862</b> [1] 107:3
<b>28th</b> [2] 308:4,10
<b>29</b> [3] 56:7,8 161:4
<b>2C502</b> [1] 276:7
-3-
<b>3</b> [9] 69:7 72:7 183:23 184:3 195:12 214:8 251:6
252:1,13
<b>3.4</b> [1] 154:1
<b>30</b> [11] 45:11 53:11 58:9
71:19,20,20 100:25 124:25 127:12 160:6,17
<b>30/30</b> [1] 71:18
<b>300</b> [4] 45:7 53:1,4 82:20
<b>30th</b> [1] 35:8
<b>31</b> [2] 58:21 308:5
<b>31000</b> [1] 193:9
<b>32</b> [5] 58:9 59:6 61:20,24 176:17
<b>33</b> [1] 59:6
<b>34</b> [5] 32:4,4 49:22 106:23
110:24
<b>344</b> [1] 164:12
<b>35</b> [11] 32:13,15 33:2 42:4 44:13 68:13,21,21,24
113:3 203:8
<b>35-34</b> [1] 169:20
<b>350</b> [1] 67:24
<b>36</b> [12] 30:13 32:13,23
33:2 45:11,14 47:18 68:13,24 127:12 128:1,2
<b>36-page</b> [1] 128:14
<b>37</b> [3] 44:9 47:25 70:2
<b>38</b> [2] 47:25 49:25
<b>3:15</b> [1] 236:19
<b>3:30</b> [2] 2:20 236:19
<b>3:45</b> [1] 2:20
-4-
<b>4</b> [3] 13:18 214:9 251:6
<b>4,000</b> [4] 88:20 89:11,21
157:11
<b>4.4</b> [1] 158:14
<b>40</b> [3] 12:20 56:19 203:14
<b>400</b> [1] 52:25 <b>41</b> [2] 168:6,7
<b>43</b> [1] 168:20

30/30 [1] /1:18
<b>300</b> [4] 45:7 53:1,4 82:20
<b>30th</b> [1] 35:8
<b>31</b> [2] 58:21 308:5
<b>31000</b> [1] 193:9
<b>32</b> [5] 58:9 59:6 61:20,24
176:17
<b>33</b> [1] 59:6
<b>34</b> [5] 32:4,4 49:22 106:2
110:24
<b>344</b> [1] 164:12
<b>35</b> [11] 32:13,15 33:2 42:4
44:13 68:13,21,21,24 113:3 203:8
<b>35-34</b> [1] 169:20 <b>350</b> [1] 67:24
<b>36</b> [12] 30:13 32:13,23
33:2 45:11.14 47:18
68:13,24 127:12 128:1,2
<b>36-page</b> [1] 128:14
<b>37</b> [3] 44:9 47:25 70:2
<b>38</b> [2] 47:25 49:25
<b>3:15</b> [1] 236:19
<b>3:30</b> [2] 2:20 236:19
<b>3:45</b> [1] 2:20
-4-
<b>4</b> [3] 13:18 214:9 251:6
<b>4,000</b> [4] 88:20 89:11,21
157:11
<b>4.4</b> [1] 158:14
<b>40</b> [3] 12:20 56:19 203:14
400 [1] 52:25
<b>41</b> [2] 168:6,7
<b>43</b> [1] 168:20
<b>44</b> [1] 169:21
<b>44</b> [1] 169:21 <b>45</b> [2] 175:13 289:25
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20 <b>47</b> [2] 13:14 249:18
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20 <b>47</b> [2] 13:14 249:18
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20 <b>47</b> [2] 13:14 249:18 <b>48</b> [1] 55:3
<b>46</b> [1] 224:20 <b>47</b> [2] 13:14 249:18

237:21

308:7

ability [7] 36:13 205:16

257:1 280:18,19 291:22

able [20] 10:11 60:24

0.00 1 11	#1 - acting
Offshore Helio	copter Safety Inquiry
	132:13 147:18 157:18
-5-	162:6 231:3,6 235:13 263:22 271:22 272:14
<b>5</b> [6] 2:14,20 32:8 42:13	283:14 287:6,8 288:7
69:13 307:20	291:14 292:1 302:21
<b>50</b> [2] 101:1 160:19	307:1
<b>51</b> [5] 59:3 62:9 114:13	<b>above</b> [4] 168:15 205:19
220:7,12	256:11 264:18
<b>52</b> [3] 59:3 226:2,4	Aboyne [1] 237:21
<b>54</b> [1] 49:8	absent [1] 248:25
<b>55</b> [4] 41:12 57:9 219:7 228:4	<b>absolute</b> [2] 164:24 167:2
<b>56</b> [1] 232:13	<b>absolutely</b> [17] 5:20
<b>57</b> [1] 107:2	21:18 41:4 45:4,16 81:3
<b>59</b> [2] 42:4 57:8	112:15 122:23 126:18 137:23 156:22 180:21
	192:6 216:11 221:20
-6-	223:2 281:17
<b>6,000</b> [2] 88:19 89:11	academic [1] 239:25
<b>60</b> [1] 289:25	accelerated [1] 92:1
<b>65</b> [1] 47:6	accept [2] 187:25 264:15
<b>650</b> [1] 42:17	acceptable [4] 5:17
	141:8,9 187:14 acceptance [2] 168:14
-7-	177:3
<b>7</b> [7] 31:15 44:2,23 47:10 47:12 69:17 70:19	accepted [7] 10:15 54:16 124:14 128:16 131:3
<b>7.1</b> [2] 224:20,24	182:21 305:13
<b>7.4</b> [1] 220:7	access [6] 23:1 52:18
<b>7.5</b> [1] 225:19	65:11 86:3 233:12,14
<b>73</b> [2] 62:10 107:2	accessing [1] 78:19
<b>750</b> [1] 42:6	<b>accident</b> [17] 8:8 43:13 46:3,10,21 47:5,13 83:16
<b>76</b> [3] 59:13 61:24 62:11	97:21 99:18 135:25 192:16 252:23 262:13
-8-	273:13 293:16 306:10
8 [6] 44:21 47:10,12 163:9	accidents [2] 204:7
163:24 164:1	293:14
800 [1] 42:3	accordance [2] 168:12
<b>80s</b> [1] 193:23	182:3 account [4] 25:22 29:21
<b>83</b> [1] 41:18	31:1 191:4
-9-	accountabilities [6] 55:24 101:10 130:15
9[2] 47:17,21	188:10 189:14 199:7
<b>90</b> [2] 43:3 58:18	accountability [6]
90's [1] 82:10	84:21 103:16 188:22
90s [1] 293:18	192:21 218:10 219:4
<b>96</b> [2] 42:4 61:20	accounts [1] 11:14
<b>991</b> [4] 21:19 38:8 41:11	accreditated [1] 240:18
67:22	accurate [1] 36:14
<b>9:30</b> [2] 2:17 307:17	accurately [1] 226:21
	achieve [11] 118:21,22
	118:25 119:4 120:1,6 121:12 201:7 206:25
<b>A's</b> [1] 13:19	212:9 231:6
abandonment [1]	achieved [1] 156:12
241:17	acknowledge [1] 29:15
<b>Aberdeen</b> [2] 240:1,5	acknowledged [3] 80:19
Aberdeenshire [1]	281:9,10

 $acknowledgement \ {\tiny [1]}$ 

acronym [1] 99:7

acted [1] 12:5

acting[1] 181:3

23:13

**24** [4] 55:6,9,19 59:2

**action** [7] 67:21 138:1 141:5,23 142:9 192:25 288:10 actioned [2] 43:24 133:4 **Actions** [1] 291:17 **activate** [1] 307:2 **activated** [3] 306:14,19 307:4 actively [2] 125:23 287:4 activities [23] 4:16 26:25 55:13 60:2 64:19 65:11 73:24 80:1 84:22,25 87:22 95:3,13 97:8,11 97:16 104:13 106:8 108:7 123:17 154:6 177:6 202:5 activity [13] 23:22 24:7 41:16 75:15 77:19 85:2 98:6 99:9 114:25 130:22 131:24 136:21 202:10 actual [12] 21:13 25:2,21 37:25 40:15 46:2 160:17 173:2 254:22 260:24 267:18 296:9 **ad** [1] 98:4 add [6] 4:15,18 168:17 181:14,14 222:24 **add-ons**[1] 186:11 **added** [3] 229:11 261:5 298:19 adding [5] 229:8 244:21 245:5 261:11 304:4 addition [2] 18:17 34:18 **additional** [12] 4:18,20 70:9 206:11 244:21 267:8 275:8 283:3 303:21.24 303:25 305:19 address [4] 45:10 67:21 97:17 196:12 **addressed** [8] 19:1 34:22 43:24 53:8,8 272:20,22 273:18 addresses [2] 22:23 176:20 addressing [1] 67:17 adequate [2] 55:1,5 **adjourn** [1] 307:16 **administer** [3] 9:16 28:9 213:16 administration [2] 87:14.24 administrative [2] 123:10 197:2 **adopt** [3] 97:10 136:23 173:24 **adopted** [1] 84:2 **adopting** [3] 120:23 212:10,13 **advances** [1] 181:25

**advice** [6] 105:21 156:18

200:23 203:18 204:20

advisors [2] 8:20 26:11

**advisory** [5] 85:16,22

advised [1] 14:11

advisor [2] 8:7,18

210:10

90:6 93:8 158:15 aeronautical [1] 8:18 **Aerosafe** [5] 7:4,10 8:5 35:11 73:20 **Aerosafe's** [1] 27:14 **affect** [1] 130:17 affected [1] 298:25 **affects** [2] 37:25 298:7 **affirm** [1] 238:16 **AFFIRMED** [1] 238:17 affixed [1] 270:8 **afraid** [1] 134:23 **Africa** [5] 78:13 96:16 161:23 162:11 204:11 African [1] 150:8 **afternoon** [5] 148:21,24 163:2 194:21 211:4 **afterwards** [1] 270:19 **again** [64] 2:19 47:24 50:6 55:17 56:16 57:1 57:11 69:15 77:14,18 90:15 93:15 101:9 102:8 107:4.8 108:17 111:19 111:25 112:7 113:23 116:3 127:9 138:21 146:23 156:19 166:8 169:18 176:15 183:7 193:17 200:5 208:18 218:2 241:13 242:8 253:6 256:16 261:16 262:10 268:4,7,24 271:8 282:19 283:8 284:25 286:2 287:1 289:4,10 290:22 291:14 291:16.18 292:17 296:17 300:2 303:19 304:16 305:7,11 306:16,18 **against** [7] 178:17 184:21 190:9 193:9 205:14 256:21 290:23 age [3] 22:21 31:10 42:3 **agencies** [2] 87:4 107:11 agency [12] 88:4 99:1 107:7,8,13 108:6 115:10 218:16 229:3 232:25 234:5 275:2 ago [12] 4:14 47:20 118:5 124:25 189:21 202:7 204:12 244:2 262:11 273:14 293:2 296:8 **agree** [8] 78:1 170:9 175:1 178:3,5 181:21 196:24 220:25 **agreed** [2] 35:16 169:18 **agreement** [4] 172:4 198:20 200:14,16 **ahead** [1] 116:2 **aid** [3] 305:17,20,23 **aided** [1] 266:7 **aim** [2] 118:19 216:3 **aiming** [1] 182:8 air [49] 8:9 66:22 92:24 93:22 94:8 95:1 97:19 112:6,11 198:9 207:24 214:14 259:11,13,22 260:7,10,12,13,14 264:7 266:19,22,24 267:8,21

267:22 268:8 269:1,22 279:9 281:3,3,5 284:20 289:9 292:6 293:9,22 294:2,8,10,25 295:4,23 303:7.23 304:1.5 aircraft [30] 60:5 63:21 63:23 64:14,20 69:15,16 84:14 89:8 156:9,24 157:13,15,25 158:1,5,7 159:8,12 160:1,6,11,17 160:18.20.20 162:8 204:9 233:24 246:2 **aircrew** [5] 195:13 197:10,10,12 208:21 **airline** [2] 174:2 180:1 **Airpocket** [1] 293:17

**airport** [1] 174:2 airworthiness [1] 275:4 Alaska [1] 88:15 alert [1] 306:2 **algorithm** [1] 135:25 **alignment** [1] 223:8 allow [2] 32:14 290:8 **allowable** [3] 281:19,22 304:8 **allowed** [3] 123:11 283:2 283:17 **allowing** [1] 291:6 **allows** [2] 305:22 306:9 **almost** [7] 48:1 52:25 61:14 140:9 167:24 215:9 284:24 **alone** [1] 171:8 **along** [4] 48:17 193:25 254:15 268:18 **Alpha** [5] 82:1,11 99:17 139:17 262:14 alternate [3] 47:22 48:6 222:12 always [6] 71:15,18 74:6 75:7 281:11.15 **amazing** [1] 215:18 **ambulances** [1] 97:20 **amendment** [1] 116:22 **America** [2] 7:15 77:18 **American** [3] 157:4 158:10 180:8 amount [20] 22:18 49:25 52:23 242:9 247:17 258:22 262:20 263:23 264:15 278:23.25 279:3 279:5,6 281:11,18 282:10 283:1,16 307:12 **Amy** [2] 211:2,4 **analysis** [5] 54:18 73:14 127:20 142:23 217:22 **angle** [1] 10:17 annex [1] 51:10 **annexes** [2] 69:1 71:8 answer [17] 33:15 38:19 50:3 62:11,17 103:23 151:24 163:12 187:1 197:18 206:14.15 207:13 284:23 285:5 301:11 302:5

answered [6] 47:25,25 62:2,5,24 68:2 **April** [7] 17:11 19:4 **answering** [2] 165:9 35:15 77:16 83:3 90:16 286:3 90:25 **April-May** [1] 264:5 answers [6] 35:2,3 45:12 51:4 195:23 301:16 **arbitrary** [1] 165:10 anticipating [2] 24:22 area [47] 6:14.20 8:1.1 100:25 12:17.21 16:2 23:14 24:8 **anticipation** [1] 101:11 33:4 34:15 49:15 50:24 56:3 59:18 60:11 78:10 **AOC** [1] 92:25 80:11 84:17 87:12 88:23 **apparatus** [1] 308:8 97:14 100:20 101:1 102:5 **apparent** [4] 108:9 102:16 104:23,25 119:18 250:14 261:2 262:21 122:13 127:21 149:9 **appeared** [1] 269:5 152:12 153:12 155:20,21 160:12 161:20 204:19 **appendix** [2] 29:7 51:10 207:18 224:5 233:9 248:7 **apples** [1] 186:2 253:3 266:15 283:21 application [4] 18:5 298:23 22:4 57:13 169:5 applications [2] 120:3 **applied** [2] 124:15 247:1

**apply** [7] 96:18 134:8

135:12 146:7 210:24

**appreciate** [5] 80:21

88:18 149:17 154:18

appreciated [1] 237:7

appreciation [3] 79:6

**approach** [69] 10:4,17

39:7 41:2 74:17 82:12

82:13 83:24 84:2 85:3

103:24 105:20 109:23

110:2,19 112:1,8 113:10

119:12,16 120:3,24 122:9

142:15 154:19,25 155:22

113:11.24 116:5 117:2

123:4,8 133:22 141:16

157:1 169:22 171:14

172:4,9 173:17 174:22

178:6 184:9 187:9,16

193:22 199:4 200:7,19

203:23 205:19 206:9

211:15 212:11,14,25

229:25 233:10

222:8,20 223:3 224:16

approached [1] 17:21

approaches [5] 74:24

155:24 156:2 174:5

304:21

240:15

304:25

75:23 80:9 102:20 122:2

**appropriate** [12] 118:24

189:15 192:24,24 193:24

233:6 268:19,23 274:13

**approval** [3] 242:14,16

**approvals** [2] 177:9

**approve** [3] 185:16,16

**approved** [7] 232:6

275:15 276:2,8,10,23

188:7 189:12 190:5

86:11 99:11 101:15

appointments [3] 7:25

264:23 298:16

11:1,16

162:2

83:1 88:22

areas [54] 6:3 9:14 12:8 14:6,15 17:2 19:18 21:25 22:9 25:13 34:2,10,11 36:21 43:18 50:7 52:1,2 52:16 54:8 57:1 68:13 69:24 70:2,7,17,19 71:9 78:2 79:21 80:18 84:1 87:16 88:9 91:6 118:9 125:3 129:7 149:14,23 160:8.25 161:1 174:17 183:20 205:4 209:20 229:14 242:5 249:12 250:14,16 253:22 302:18 **argument** [2] 186:4

287:5 **arise** [1] 146:24 **arising** [2] 210:22 236:9 arrangements [2] 69:8 73:23 **arrive** [2] 24:5 25:9

art [2] 119:25 125:24 articulate 11 16:22 **ascertain** [1] 157:18 **Asia** [1] 15:2 **aside** [1] 265:18 **askewed** [1] 71:23 asks [2] 47:3 58:12 **aspect** [11] 9:24 13:1 32:3 44:16 77:17 93:5 102:10 120:20 142:8 173:3 209:10

**aspects** [15] 31:16 38:22 54:6 69:6 70:12 76:15 126:12 133:19 141:21 191:1 211:13 239:11 240:3,11 248:24 **assertion** [1] 192:7

assess [1] 184:19 **assessed** [1] 304:17 **assessing** [1] 192:18 **assessment** [21] 58:8,25 59:8,11,22 60:18 61:2 62:4 63:11 74:11 84:6 119:21 127:20 130:21 131:2 175:3 192:24 204:4 246:22 284:24 285:7

assessments [14] 12:14 58:13,17,20,23 59:10,21

59:24 60:23 66:2 177:3 242:3 246:16 301:4 **assessor** [1] 11:17 assets [4] 14:21 63:15 80:12 204:9 **assigned** [1] 19:4 **assignment** [4] 17:10 81:7 98:24 144:4 assist [6] 129:7,8 149:13 153:6 157:13 162:6 **assisted** [2] 8:23 125:3 **associated** [6] 60:4,17 85:15 94:21 111:16 204:5 **association** [4] 106:4 240:17 241:22 243:7 associations [2] 86:9 121:24 **assume** [4] 85:14 139:24 182:22 219:13 **assuming** [1] 219:8 **assumption** [5] 46:16 57:23 162:12,14 227:15 **assumptions** [4] 36:6 36:11 37:16 144:19 **assurance** [28] 18:16 55:25,25 83:21,23 102:15 102:16 109:23 110:1 120:23,25 121:10 183:2 183:5,6,13,18,19,21,22 183:24 184:1,3,9 212:6 223:5 229:11 230:4 assurances [1] 305:1 **assure** [4] 83:14,20 172:12 285:12 **attempt** [1] 189:23 **attempts** [2] 162:17 attend [2] 26:24 93:11 attended [2] 15:3 27:13 **attention** [16] 36:23 38:21 45:25 47:1 56:7 58:9,22 60:8 68:8,20 76:14 98:4 189:17 192:23 222:15 305:6 **audit** [17] 95:8 102:13 102:18,20 103:5,15,18 103:19.20.24 121:13 178:17 183:5 184:7 189:13 212:8 230:4 **audited** [1] 88:4 auditing [4] 103:19 172:21,23 174:24 audits [9] 102:21,24 103:2,10 110:21 121:5 123:6,7 176:23 **August** [1] 7:15 **auspices** [1] 205:5 **Australasia** [1] 11:18 **Australia** [32] 7:11 11:21 15:4 77:23 94:22 96:7 98:10,20 99:20 100:5 101:14,21 102:9 102:17 104:10,14,19 106:4 107:22 118:3 123:2 156:15 159:16 160:7 174:19 176:15 213:22

214:8,20 229:8 230:6 231:7 **Australian** [5] 13:11,15 14:11 118:3 161:12 **author** [1] 144:16 authority [22] 84:20 85:12 92:10,11 94:23 99:25 101:8 105:1,2 109:4 118:5,8 154:12 168:22 174:18 176:20 228:10 242:18 274:24 301:3 302:8 304:22 automatically [3] 285:14 294:5 307:4 auxiliary [2] 69:10 70:14 available [18] 74:22 77:4 79:8 122:15,19 123:1 135:19,24 182:14,20 185:19 186:22 209:7 217:22 225:11 269:19 273:22 302:20 average [3] 42:25 255:15 290:2

**aviation** [157] 6:5,12,19 7:8,20 8:8 10:16 11:22 12:11 13:3,11,13,15 14:17,20,22 18:4,7 22:3 34:2,4 55:22 58:1 60:16 63:5,15 64:1,4,8 65:6 75:13,14,17 80:12,19 81:21 84:19.22 85:7.11 85:12 86:5,8 88:24 89:5 90:2 92:10,10,23 94:15 94:23 95:3,5,17 96:24 97:4 98:12,19 100:9,21 100:22 101:4,8 104:25 105:7,15,18,21,24 106:5 111:8 112:12,15 115:12 117:1 118:3,5,7 120:9 120:10,16,17 121:20 123:15,17 124:15,24 125:11.25 127:11 128:17 130:20 134:10 135:13 139:11,14 144:7 154:12 159:14 173:14,18,23 174:1,7,17,18,19 178:25 179:4,12 182:10 187:21 188:15 190:1,11,22 198:8 198:11 199:2,24 200:8 200:18 201:17 202:7.9 202:23 203:8,11,15,16 204:2,5,9,12 206:7,12 206:19.21 207:20 212:4 212:13 213:1,10,18 214:10 217:10 218:15,22 237:6 242:17 274:24 275:2 283:9 301:2,3 302:8 304:22 aviations [2] 73:23 80:1 aviators [1] 203:17 **avoidance** [1] 182:13 awards [1] 11:10 aware [11] 2:13 56:2 57:7 106:3 116:9 149:6 150:15 214:21 273:23 287:21 **awareness** [5] 32:2

54:14 65:6 269:16 272:16

away [8] 21:18 116:4

143:2 154:19 177:14 238:24 289:4 291:24 **awful** [1] 244:1 **awhile** [1] 244:1

### -B-

**backed** [1] 179:20 **background** [12] 7:1,7 7:19,21 8:8,13,19 14:8 16:25 75:17 77:3 239:18

**backward** [1] 89:3 **bad** [5] 69:13 129:5 140:4 140:11 166:12

**bag** [1] 294:2

200:7

balance [5] 131:21 184:17 191:5 284:7 285:9 balanced [15] 39:6 41:1 42:11 43:25 44:17 47:24 71:17,23 86:10,17 142:15 173:10 178:6 197:20

**balancing** [2] 264:12 290:23

bar [1] 44:8 Barron [3] 8:11 27:18 40:14

**base** [8] 13:17 31:1 36:16 93:18 125:3 131:23 173:24 222:9

**based** [63] 10:14 41:10 41:17 69:5 74:22 82:13 82:17 83:24 84:11 101:25 102:22 103:18 119:12 122:13 123:10 155:19.22 155:23 156:5 160:5 167:9 167:10,24 171:19 172:2 172:5,6,7,9,15,21,23 173:3,9,16 174:10,13,13 174:22,23 175:3 178:9 186:3 189:3,11 193:8,16 201:3,9 205:18 206:23 207:14 214:2,16 219:19 221:1,25 222:8 224:15 224:16 225:10 268:19 286:6

**baseline** [1] 182:24 **basic** [3] 65:6 186:21 303:5

**basins** [1] 161:1 **basis** [3] 24:19 180:9 195:25

basket [1] 75:16 beacon [2] 245:16 305:9 beacons [1] 266:8

**beauty** [1] 218:9 **became** [5] 108:9 240:24 242:18 261:1 262:21

**become** [6] 91:23 131:13 131:13 169:17 236:25 293:4

**becomes** [3] 255:10 256:10 260:2

becoming [1] 305:12 begin [2] 148:13 293:2 beginning [1] 72:16 behave [1] 127:1 behaviour [5] 54:2 57:16 133:23,24 136:19 behaviours [9] 125:23 126:25 127:6 130:1,17 131:12 138:3 178:1 100:10 behaviours [9] 125:23 126:25 127:6 130:1,17 131:12 138:3 178:1 blank [1] 30:5

**behalf** [1] 27:14

190:10

**behind** [3] 122:25 127:3 172:25

**beliefs** [1] 127:5 **bell** [4] 44:8 49:5,19 56:16 **below** [1] 283:12

belt [1] 300:9 benchmark [1] 215:1

benchmark [1] 215:19 benchmarking [4] 74:8 81:11 144:7 218:7 benefit [7] 2:6 73:25 74:7

228:18,23 232:15 290:13 **benefited** [2] 228:11,16 **benefits** [7] 215:15 228:21 290:23,25 292:21 292:22 307:3

best [15] 5:5 19:19 22:21 23:15 25:15 34:24 36:13 124:16 129:10 141:20 179:8 241:2 263:16,17 308:7

**better** [8] 181:19 185:17 264:1 267:14 284:19,20 287:23 288:7

between [36] 2:15 42:4
84:19 92:19 101:7 102:6
121:18 126:4,5 129:15
143:12 167:1 192:10
198:8,10,18 199:24
201:15 202:1 206:7 208:7
219:9 226:23 245:22
254:4 258:14 267:12,17
268:11,16 276:21 284:12
285:9 289:25 293:7 294:9

**beyond** [8] 3:14 112:11 121:13 169:14 179:13 182:23 205:20 207:21

**bibliography** [1] 115:21 **big** [18] 34:4 79:23 81:19 88:24 89:4 106:8 119:13 177:13 196:22 199:1 255:2 256:6 260:14 269:9 276:24 282:11,14 288:9

bigger [1] 247:25 biggest [14] 46:17 90:22 111:7 116:20 140:24 141:1,21 152:5 172:17 255:12 258:21 261:8,14 277:1

**binding** [3] 158:17 169:11,17

**BISO** [1] 243:5 **bit** [46] 5:15 9:8 14:5 16:18 25:10 33:12 49:20 64:16 81:21 82:3,15 87:2 98:22 104:14 109:17 110:16 111:19 116:1 120:8 125:24 150:7 171:17 186:1 189:10 193:12 197:24 198:16 202:21 206:4 222:14 226:13 227:17 236:13 242:17 245:21 247:20 251:9 258:5 261:13 263:23 278:13 283:21 291:15,20 295:9 299:19

blow [1] 293:24 blowing [1] 294:2 blown [1] 21:18 board [29] 46:8 80:6 90:16 121:15 122:3 204:10 211:7 217:17 220:20,20,21 222:23 224:19,25 225:3,8,14,14 226:15 227:25 230:13,15 234:19 253:6 262:16 274:17,19 284:17 294:19

boards [1] 11:1 boat [1] 47:23 boats [1] 241:25 bodies [6] 87:5 155:7 198:18 200:14 202:3 228:12

**body** [14] 11:6 78:21 94:16 113:2 199:18 209:2 232:16 251:12 258:3 260:23 266:14 268:24

281:13,24 **bolster** [1] 99:24 **bolstered** [2] 51:11 123:12

bolstering [1] 229:2 bolts [1] 181:8 Bond [1] 82:23 book [1] 288:6 books [1] 11:21

boot [2] 270:7,11

**boots** [4] 270:1,5 271:2 282:16 **borne** [1] 185:9

bother [3] 5:11,13 74:1 bottle [1] 282:5 bottom [4] 168:7 270:7 270:10 286:17

**box** [7] 24:13,16,17,25 35:24 39:18 62:1

**boxes** [1] 32:5 **BP** [2] 76:7 90:23

bracket [6] 44:14 48:8,9 48:22 49:11 58:7

**brackets** [1] 33:22 **breached** [1] 136:13

bread [1] 119:17

break [12] 2:18,19 72:16 72:17,18 73:6 123:22 148:16 236:19,20,23 288:9

**breaking** [4] 256:7,12 286:20 288:14

**breaks** [2] 2:16 133:20 **breath** [10] 255:3,14,15 255:20 290:1,4 293:22 294:1,8,24 **breathe** [1] 291:15 **breathing** [18] 242:23 245:12 247:15 253:1,4,7 255:25 273:8,10 289:18 292:17 293:3 298:8 300:3 300:7,20 303:9,9 **brief** [6:25 17:17 21:11

**brief** [6] 6:25 17:17 21:11 27:23 147:24 196:20

**briefing** [1] 31:17 **briefly** [2] 11:9 238:14

**bring** [12] 20:1 34:7 39:2 79:10 83:11 91:23 205:21 207:11 238:9 285:13,14 296:4

brings [1] 58:22 Bristow [1] 100:6 Bristows [2] 82:23 160:14

**British** [3] 260:17 263:17 265:8

**broad** [2] 154:5 178:12 **broadens** [1] 121:11 **broader** [3] 93:14 104:15 123:4

**Brooks** [1] 283:25 **brought** [7] 2:22 6:1 75:25 212:3 241:15 273:13 295:4

**bubbles** [1] 260:13 **buddy** [1] 217:3 **build** [4] 33:13 141:25 268:23,24

**building** [2] 3:9,10 **built** [2] 108:14 275:20

**bulk** [1] 133:24

buoyancy [39] 256:9 257:3,18,22 259:19 266:20 267:22 269:2,17 275:9 282:19,22,23 283:1 283:2,4,6,10,12,16 284:9 284:12 285:10,15,25 286:4,7,8 287:13 289:13 292:2,17 300:20 303:20 303:21,24 304:1,4,7

**buoyant** [5] 257:21 259:15 261:21 292:11,12

**business** [6] 5:24 13:2 62:20 64:1 75:13 177:23

busy [1] 132:14 butt [2] 205:14 207:22 butter [1] 119:17 button [1] 306:20

**buy** [1] 66:23

# -C-

C [1] 79:4 C-NLOPB [10] 18:12 37:21 78:23 145:20 202:1 205:3,16 211:1 219:9 233:19

C-NSOPB [1] 113:2 C.V [1] 238:14 CAA [3] 118:4 272:8 302:10

**cabin** [3] 264:4,11 265:4 **Canada** [39] 7:16 14:25 15:6 66:23 90:2 93:21 93:21 94:19,20 96:8 119:6 145:5,6 163:4 174:16 179:16,17 190:12 190:18 198:12 202:2,12 205:5,12,14,21 206:6,7 206:18 211:6 217:11,11 219:10 258:14,15 264:24 283:25 295:5,16

**Canada's** [3] 189:20 190:15 206:20

Canadian [19] 14:12,24 261:13 270:2,6 271:1 274:14,17 275:7 278:24 279:25 280:4,6 283:3,17 284:2 286:5 287:9 298:3

**cancelled** [1] 25:18 **canvassed** [2] 211:9 216:14

**capability** [6] 158:2,6 159:13 174:21 222:5 286:25

**capacity** [1] 260:18 **CAPP** [5] 18:11 145:10 252:25 295:14,25

**capsize** [2] 255:11 292:15

**capsized** [1] 247:12 **capsizing** [1] 242:20 **capture** [2] 32:19 43:17

captured [1] 252:1 car [2] 131:1.3

care [2] 185:20 276:20 career [1] 7:22

**careful**<sub>[2]</sub> 3:13 291:13 **carried**<sub>[4]</sub> 305:13,15,25

306:4 **carrying** [1] 240:15 **CASA** [4] 13:12 94:23

96:3 100:21

304:14

**case** [41] 65:2 84:7 91:13 93:1 94:19 101:15,16 102:1 104:15 113:17 118:19 123:5 134:18 156:9 157:23 164:16 173:17 174:1 175:10 176:16,17 177:8 184:20 186:7 187:15 191:10 203:23,24 204:11 218:12 223:11 251:17 255:25 256:14 273:4 286:9,11 298:20 300:21 303:20

**cases** [12] 84:3 113:25 129:19 151:14 170:22,25 171:9 173:6 177:3 181:16 262:17 297:10

catastrophic [4] 83:17 125:9,15 138:8

categories [1] 42:9 categorize [1] 115:14 category [1] 42:7 caused [2] 244:21 267:21 **causes** [3] 133:21 239:22 248:14

causing [1] 170:8 centigrade [4] 253:18 255:7 277:5 278:16

**centre** [3] 240:5,7 298:1 **centric** [2] 159:6,14 **CEO** [1] 189:7

**certain** [17] 39:1 130:15 141:16 149:25 152:20,21 155:2 246:11 248:24 253:21 261:12 264:15 269:23 281:11,18 294:15

302:17

certainly [73] 1:13 8:1 11:23 17:4 22:9 23:4 26:2 36:20 37:13 38:14 39:5,7 43:14 46:24 48:2 48:5 49:1 53:7,11,25 56:3 71:15 74:10 75:14 78:20 81:7,20 82:18 98:18 109:19 113:4,13 122:7,16,25 144:3 151:8 153:20 155:17 162:18 171:13 179:11 182:10 184:13 190:14 193:19 197:19 198:23 202:17 206:6 208:24 209:7 210:6 211:22 214:19 221:8 227:14 231:4 232:21 233:19 234:9.25 235:25 257:20 269:20 270:5 273:6 274:14 275:24

**certainty** [1] 172:13 **certificate** [4] 93:22 94:9 207:24 308:1

288:4 294:18 301:2 307:2

**certificates** [2] 92:25 95:2

**certification** [2] 193:9 193:12

**certified** [3] 7:23 11:12 244:12

**certifies** [1] 218:23 **certify** [1] 308:2

**cetera** [19] 38:16 51:24 54:3 60:6 68:11 81:14 87:20 89:3 90:8 93:18 152:9 156:2 158:8 159:10 161:19 162:14 171:16 174:2 232:11

CGSB [1] 274:15 Chairman [1] 193:14 chance [4] 18:12 36:25 125:8,12

**chances** [1] 201:8 **change** [11] 43:14 108:4 118:6 131:21,22,23,24 172:18 182:7 228:22 232:10

**changed** [4] 5:9,14 262:10 273:19

**changes** [15] 2:8,12 47:5 77:24 90:12 91:2 98:19 100:18,18 116:8 131:25 170:8 182:11 228:21 268:1

**changing** [1] 101:5 **characteristics** [10] 54:2 56:13 57:15 128:20 129:8 130:5 132:22 138:19 234:10 277:20

**charges** [2] 170:20 171:16

**chart** [8] 50:22 99:14 136:2,14 230:6 233:1 234:5,19

**charter** [1] 99:14 **charts** [4] 40:7 44:8 229:16 233:23

**chase** [1] 73:8 **chat** [1] 258:5 **CHC** [6] 14:25 15:1

**CHC** [6] 14:25 15:1 82:23 100:7 113:6 160:13

**check** [9] 32:5 35:19 62:1 76:20 86:19 119:11 184:4 189:13 222:24

**check-in** [4] 23:14 24:8 24:24 25:22

**checkboxes** [1] 51:6 **checked** [3] 24:2 184:2 223:4

**checking** [5] 110:3 121:7 184:3 195:11 234:15

**checklist** [4] 172:21 175:23 189:11 205:18

**checks** [1] 172:22 **chest** [1] 299:1

**chin** [1] 262:12

**chief** [4] 8:12 10:21 27:17 37:10

China [1] 7:18 choice [1] 166:18 choose [2] 188:23 266:2 chose [2] 22:14 78:10

**Chris** [1] 283:25 **Cindy** [1] 308:11

**circular** [1] 90:7

**circulate** [1] 5:2 **circulated** [2] 4:13,17

circulating [1] 5:22 circulation [1] 289:9

civil [21] 84:19 85:12 92:10,10 94:15,23 101:8 104:25 109:4 115:12 118:4,7 154:12 174:18 190:11 202:8 242:17 274:24 301:3 302:7 304:22

civilian [1] 293:7 claim [1] 263:17 clarification [2] 93:20 146:25

clarify [2] 224:19 225:20 clarity [1] 219:1 classification [1] 151:5 classified [1] 42:6 clear [7] 24:17 73:4 74:11

**clear** [7] 24:17 73:4 74:11 78:25 91:4 218:9 302:21 **clearer** [2] 230:14,19 **clearly** [3] 16:22 51:21 206:4

**client** [6] 13:17 14:3,4 125:3 216:8 234:25

client's [1] 232:21 clients [2] 12:4 13:21

**clipboards**[1] 24:11 **clo**[11] 278:4,4,10,15,22 278:23 279:5,17,17,23 280:14

**close** [6] 87:2 216:13 225:16,18 268:9 269:8

**closed** [5] 56:19 133:6 135:5 139:2 140:12

**closely** [1] 122:5

**closer** [5] 227:13 261:13 268:13 280:10,13

**closest** [1] 275:15

**clothing** [9] 259:12 261:1 264:10 267:13,17,17 272:19 282:13,14

**co-pilots** [2] 195:6 197:14

**coaching** [1] 13:5 **Coast** [2] 87:10,20

Coat [1] 87:21

**cogent** [1] 242:25 **coin** [1] 60:14

**cold** [27] 150:24 246:12 253:14 254:24,25 255:2 255:9,13,15 256:22,23 257:13 263:21 264:1,17 266:12 271:15,20 272:11 272:14 277:8 290:1 297:24 298:6,7 303:18

306:23 **colder** [5] 253:14,18,24 259:2 265:7

coldest [1] 264:6

Coleshaw [118] 237:17 237:20,25 238:1,7,9,16 238:17,24 239:5,9,17 240:22 241:12 243:9,19 244:3.9 245:13.19 246:10 247:4,19,23 248:5,11,17 248:22 249:12,13,17,20 250:1,10,21 251:2,15,22 252:4,8,22 253:12 254:6 254:10,16 257:15,19 258:1,10,19 259:1,6,24 260:4,8,20 262:3,9 263:12,19 265:9,15,21 266:3 267:1,10,25 269:15 270:9,14,20,25 271:7,13 272:1,7,24 274:1,9,18 274:22 275:23 276:17 277:21 278:9,21 279:4 279:15 280:5,9,21 281:14 282:3,9,24 284:15 285:16 285:20 286:1 288:3,19 289:16 293:6 294:17 295:6,12 296:6,14,19 299:18 300:11,15 301:20 302:1 303:3 304:13 305:10 306:15

**Coleshaw's** [2] 238:5 249:5

Index Page 4

collaboration [2] 12:24 219:9 collate [1] 68:24 collated [1] 26:11 collators [1] 26:21 colleague [2] 72:15 137:9 collected [2] 26:7 39:17

collected [2] 26:7 39:17 collecting [1] 225:6 collection [2] 38:13 51:6 colour [2] 23:9 273:12 combat [1] 46:18

**combination** [3] 200:18 242:1 301:9

combinations [1] 300:24

**combined** [1] 72:11 **comfort** [2] 264:3 279:10 **comfortable** [5] 54:8 133:3 134:15 135:16 289:5

**coming** [8] 72:18 98:18 101:12 200:14 205:2 265:1 282:5 298:12

**commenced** [4] 7:21 8:15 14:13 152:5

**commencing** [1] 35:14 **commensurate** [2] 136:20 231:5

**comment** [13] 50:24 59:19 68:5 80:22 105:12 164:14 165:17 166:25 171:22 208:23 209:1 228:15 245:3

**comments** [13] 17:5 32:12 50:4,25 51:16 53:20 68:23 99:16 142:22 178:5 208:5 227:24 249:3

**commercial** [3] 91:5 108:7 117:23

Commission [5] 1:19 10:23 83:4 90:17 204:23

**commissioned** [3] 111:14,18 238:6

Commissioner [83] 1:2 1:7 2:11 5:17.19 7:7 9:6 15:18 16:3 17:24 19:13 19:24 23:23 27:23 30:3 34:8.11.17 36:3 65:15 72:21 73:1,5,12 78:5 79:8 106:19 123:23 144:11,23 145:1,9,13,19 146:1,6,12,13,17,19,22 147:1,6,11,15,25 148:5 148:17 149:14 162:23 163:2 175:11 185:1 194:7 194:10.11.15.16 196:2 204:25 209:14,15 210:16 210:17,23 235:7,18,24 236:6,21 237:3,4,10,13 237:16 238:18 239:4 249:10 250:11.13 268:5 307:8,15

Commissioner's [3] 24:10 30:24 34:21

commissioning [1]

111:23

**commit** [2] 120:14 138:22

**commitment** [2] 71:12 85:1

**commitments** [2] 169:11,14

**committed** [1] 111:10 **committee** [17] 67:8,17 85:17,22 93:8 98:6 106:9 112:3,20 188:16,19,24 189:5 193:4,15 213:15 213:17

**committees** [7] 67:10 92:9 105:8 120:14 129:17 161:19 207:9

**common** [4] 78:14 121:14 128:12 278:7

**commonality** [1] 100:10 **commonly** [3] 128:17 304:18 306:1

Commonwealth [1] 152:8

**communicate** [2] 21:24 134:12

communicating [1] 202:3

**communication** [16] 46:19 52:19 55:17 60:6 65:8 69:17 70:11,18 113:13 121:12 138:25 188:9 198:22 206:5 207:7 218:3

communications [1] 199:6

**community** [10] 120:10 121:3 202:7 212:5,13 213:2 214:7,17 217:10 278:12

**commuting** [1] 62:25 **companies** [17] 28:14 86:5 93:16 111:19 157:11 170:6,16 198:9 208:11 208:12 209:23 215:11,12 242:10 294:16,21 299:25

**company** [14] 7:3,4 12:3 15:2 35:10 63:5 94:2 128:24 160:19 173:1,25 181:4 183:14 189:15

**company's** [5] 8:12 9:24 133:5 160:17 203:12

**comparable** [5] 153:5 160:22 162:15 235:12 236:1

**comparative** [3] 73:14 233:23 253:21

**comparator** [1] 265:13 **compare** [6] 78:17 152:24 166:4 186:2

**compared** [2] 79:11 298:2

214:23 276:20

**compares** [1] 283:11 **comparing** [3] 74:8 81:12 220:1

**comparison** [3] 153:19

187:7 211:10

comparisons [1] 209:11 compatibility [7] 241:7 241:10 298:15 300:6,22 301:4 303:17

**compatible** [3] 241:20 241:21 301:1

**compelled** [1] 166:21 **competency** [1] 156:6 **competing** [1] 233:13 **compilation** [3] 9:25 40:11,15

**compiled** [3] 10:18 39:10 203:25

compiling [1] 51:7 complaining [1] 264:20 complaints [1] 177:6 complete [10] 24:4 26:19 29:1,19 35:23 72:2 73:20 81:3 200:7 302:15

**completed** [9] 3:21,24 27:8 35:22 37:12 71:25 145:18 242:21 302:16

**completely** [2] 266:16 281:10 **completing** [1] 27:9

completion [1] 24:13 complex [1] 135:13 compliance [26] 8:14 55:25 95:11 102:7,21 119:11 122:13 172:5,8 172:11,20 173:2,10 174:10,21 178:8 179:3 179:13 182:23 189:3,11 193:8,16 201:9 207:21 224:15

**compliance-based** [5] 103:10,19 119:8 184:6 190:5

**compliant** [2] 103:13 183:16

complimentary [1] 121:9

**compliments** [1] 120:4 **component** [6] 63:10 97:4 119:7 192:12,15,17

**components** [2] 130:13 186:25

**composition** [3] 80:6 233:7 234:11

**comprehensive** [3] 107:4 111:10 190:18

**compressed** [5] 293:9 295:4,23 303:7,23

comprised [1] 107:3 compulsory [1] 91:23 computer [1] 40:3

**concept** [6] 103:25 110:4 129:12 130:7 136:24 222:2

**concepts** [6] 10:15 124:14 127:13 133:8,12 143:9

**concern** [16] 36:21 39:3 39:4 49:15 50:8 51:20

52:1 54:8 70:17 71:10 133:4 134:16 136:5 152:16 272:6 290:12

**concerned** [4] 26:3 49:24 50:23 226:13

**concerns** [16] 22:8 32:15 32:17 40:25 43:18 49:16 50:12 67:18,21 69:22 70:5 244:17 272:23 282:22 283:13 290:15

**conclude** [2] 165:12,12 **concluding** [4] 142:21 143:1,4 307:20

**conclusion** [3] 70:24 117:6 228:5

**conclusions** [3] 198:2 229:18 231:2

**conditions** [2] 69:13 297:23

**conduct** [8] 9:11 11:7 12:22 35:11 43:3 76:1 95:7 155:24

**conducted** [13] 15:6 27:19 33:7 34:1,6 35:14 35:16 40:11 62:18 78:5 82:2 127:19 225:1

conducting [2] 60:20,21 conduction [1] 224:1 conductivity [1] 281:5 conducts [2] 12:7 90:10 Conference [1] 158:16 conferences [4] 98:1

106:5,5 126:14 **confidence** [33] 26:20 31:13,18,18 39:5 41:6 43:15,17 44:5,11 45:4 45:20 46:4,17,18,24 47:10,15 51:14 120:24 121:1,1,2,4,7,12 142:4,8 179:2 183:7,10,15 184:12

**confident** [5] 37:13 44:16,18,20 45:9 **confidential** [1] 26:19 **confidently** [1] 48:15 **confirm** [4] 28:20 163:21 219:24 222:18

**conflict** [3] 266:19 267:21,23

conflicting [1] 226:9 conformity [1] 178:2 confused [2] 189:10 291:10

**connect** [4] 199:15,19 200:13 217:1

**connected** [2] 144:1 224:11

**connecting** [1] 105:22 **connection** [1] 57:19 **connectivity** [5] 105:25 199:6 200:22 202:13 203:18

**conscious** [2] 175:2 287:8

**consciousness** [2] 287:18 288:12

**consent** [4] 168:23 169:3 169:5 170:20

**consequence** [2] 92:4 259:14

**consequences** [3] 119:22 239:22 248:15

**consequent** [1] 284:9 **consider** [4] 56:9 150:21 208:8 239:14

**consideration** [5] 78:3 85:20 207:18 250:8,20

**considered** [8] 48:7 79:12 90:3 151:21 152:11 170:21 222:17 296:21

**considering** [3] 36:23 65:5 76:7

**consistent** [7] 95:5 113:12,24 117:3 165:24 166:1 221:9

consistently [1] 33:21 consoling [1] 136:18 constitute [1] 169:15

**consultancy** [5] 12:9,17 14:2 17:23 242:15 **consultancy's** [1] 7:1

**consultancy's** [1] 7:1 **consultant** [3] 8:7,11 240:24

**consultants** [6] 1:21,21 8:5 10:19 105:16 202:25 **consultation** [7] 1:19

23:11 110:12,18 111:22 121:15,18

**consultative** [4] 212:11 212:14,25 232:6

**contact** [4] 23:2 29:19 76:4 253:1

**contained** [2] 29:3 34:20 **containing** [2] 19:15 35:20

**contains** [1] 19:19 **content** [4] 17:2 31:2 145:25 181:9

Contents [1] 79:17 context [15] 18:25 22:25 42:15 45:24 60:9 64:10 74:14 76:12 80:21 112:8 144:17 161:12 234:7 244:6 246:8

**continental** [1] 82:21 **continue** [4] 2:20 169:5 179:21 276:15

**continued** [1] 226:12 **continuum** [16] 60:8,11 66:22 95:12 171:12,14 171:18 173:7 178:9,21 183:8,12 184:13 194:1 212:7 222:12

**contract** [8] 14:13,22 18:7 63:15,23 206:21,23 206:23

**contracted** [1] 203:9 **contracting** [3] 202:24 204:6,8

**contractor** [1] 60:1 **contractors** [2] 243:13

243:17
contracts [1] 241:3
contribute [1] 64:19
contribution [1] 86:10
control [10] 16:11 20:1
83:15 112:6,11 178:15
255:4 261:7,10 297:8
controlled [2] 182:16
297:4
controller [1] 8:10

controls [1] 87:19 convenient [1] 236:20 conventions [2] 94:18 94:21

**conversation** [3] 110:11 174:20 188:2

**conversations** [3] 76:9 81:14 174:15

conversion [1] 279:16 cool [2] 256:25 281:6 cooling [2] 258:2 281:24 cooperation [1] 36:1

**cooperation** [1] 36:1 **coordinated** [1] 8:23 **copies** [5] 4:20,23,24

**copy** [8] 4:22 23:6 37:2 59:7,13,15,16 61:1

5:12 30:5

**core** [6] 62:19 64:1 75:13 97:5 119:18 176:20

Cormorant [1] 262:14 corner [2] 160:9 252:10 corporate [3] 204:4.6

**corporate** [3] 204:4,6 204:13 **correct** [29] 19:7 30:5,8

30:15 39:13,21,25 40:8 40:10 45:24 62:14 65:22 66:16 92:14 96:1 97:1 112:22 123:22 154:7 161:4 164:1 176:10 212:2 231:17 243:15 262:2 279:17 280:6 308:3

**correctly** [3] 235:10 281:17 299:6

correlates [1] 57:14 correlation [3] 62:6 69:23 70:8

correspondence [1] 18:22

**cost** [4] 185:8,9,20 207:25 **Cougar** [21] 18:13 23:14 24:24 26:25 35:15,19 58:3 63:4 113:6 146:14 195:5,18 196:17 197:3,4 197:14 208:14 209:9,18 210:3,12

Cougar's [2] 35:24 197:12

Cougars [1] 198:9 counsel [18] 1:20 2:1 15:15,20 17:22 18:10 73:16 78:5 124:2 145:3 145:3,5,5 146:14 147:2 147:7 194:12,17

counsel's [1] 236:12

counselling [1] 136:18 count [1] 84:9 counted [1] 163:16

**counter** [5] 293:23,25 294:11,25 304:2

**countries** [11] 13:9 14:1 92:16 104:22 151:20 152:3,8,15 199:23 218:13 244:14

**country** [10] 77:7,22 78:15 98:9,10 107:5 151:5 153:11 161:22 214:5

couple [35] 2:11 14:15 25:4,13 28:4,5 30:23 52:18 55:10 79:18 82:4 83:24 85:18 91:10 93:10 117:20 120:22 123:2 124:20 128:15 133:1,11 163:5 167:22 171:20 186:25,25 202:7 204:11 211:21 212:17,20 235:20 242:22 273:14

**couriered** [2] 26:8 39:19 **course** [10] 2:1 13:24 93:21 96:7 97:22 145:4 205:11 209:21 244:14 297:25

**courses** [3] 12:22 15:4 244:12

**cover** [25] 5:15 9:8 16:19 16:21 19:8 20:25 22:5 22:18 25:4 31:3 35:21 40:23 56:25 76:11 103:5 108:23 115:11,12 118:18 133:22,23 261:18 303:6 303:17,18

coverage [1] 22:17 coverall [1] 260:22 covered [17] 6:7 16:2 18:3 20:12 30:12 36:7 36:20 38:12 88:13 95:23 183:15 211:22 219:18 265:22 266:16,17 289:12

**covering** [4] 6:4 109:14 241:18 260:23

**covers** [6] 84:4 91:21 114:17 212:21 266:10,14

CPA [1] 11:14 CPRM [1] 11:17

**craft** [7] 114:11,16,20,24 222:15,18,22

**crashes** [1] 297:14 **crashing** [1] 204:9

**create** [5] 39:1 129:20 129:24 130:9 282:11

**created** [3] 9:4 207:5 234:4

**creates** [1] 130:14 **creating** [1] 267:22 **creation** [1] 39:15

**creeping** [1] 83:24 **crew** [9] 64:9.12 66:2

**crew** [9] 64:9,12 66:22 67:1 195:3 196:12 205:22 275:6 305:14

**criteria** [14] 151:2

168:14 179:10 180:14 184:22 185:15 186:6 187:3 188:4 190:20 296:21 297:21 302:21,22

**critic** [1] 179:18 **critical** [1] 255:10 **criticism** [1] 179:20

**crops** [1] 70:15 **Crosbie** [56] 211:1,2,3,4 211:18,24 212:19,24 213:7,20 214:22 216:6 216:12,17 217:8,15 218:1 219:6,17,23 220:6,11,18 220:24 221:11,16 222:13 223:1,9,15,20 224:17,23 225:12,17,23 226:3,7,19 227:2,8,16,23 228:3,8 229:6 230:10 231:8,13 231:18,22 232:12,20

**cross** [2] 86:18 145:17 **cross-examination** [2] 146:5,24

234:17,24 235:4

**cross-section** [1] 197:19 **crosses** [1] 145:18 **cruise** [1] 51:18

**Cullen** [2] 82:2 139:18 **Cullen's** [1] 90:14

**cultural** [6] 54:19 57:15 72:11 126:1 127:20 191:1

**culture** [97] 5:6 10:14 19:20 21:1 22:11 31:22 31:24 32:3 34:3 53:16 53:17,21 54:1,7,15 56:10 56:12,18 67:19 124:6,12 124:21,22,22,24,24 126:11.13.19.22 128:19 128:21,23 129:2,3,3,11 129:24 130:2,4 131:15 131:17,19,25 132:10,15 132:22,23 133:1,6,14,15 133:15,19,22 134:2,11 134:20 135:6.20.24 136:24 137:5,11 138:3 138:15,20 139:8,12,17 139:20,23,23,23 140:3,3 140:7,11,12 142:12,24 143:5.23 166:6 170:11 176:13 181:1.11.15 189:3 190:2 191:1,15,16,17

197:5 212:10 **cultures** [7] 125:22 138:10 139:22 140:4 141:25 199:14 201:5

**cup** [1] 282:4 **cups** [1] 282:2 **curious** [4] 150:14

curious [4] 150:14 152:19,23 159:24

**current** [10] 72:4 92:4 105:24 131:19 143:15 169:6 202:11 278:1 302:23 303:2

**curriculum** [2] 238:5 249:5

**curve** [5] 44:9 49:5,20 56:16 116:2 **customer** [5] 93:17

131:23 206:21 207:19,22 **CV** [7] 4:12,13,17 6:2,9 11:2 13:18

**cycle** [2] 22:16 182:9 **cylinder** [1] 294:4

# -D-

D.C [1] 40:11 D.C. [2] 39:11,19 daily [1] 24:19 Dallas [1] 93:13 damp [1] 282:11 danger [2] 168:10 256:8 dark [1] 291:10 Darwin [1] 160:15 data [10] 32:10 38:25 40:10 51:18 68:15 71:8 157:2 192:16 225:6 302:20

date [2] 50:16 296:9 dated [3] 17:11 35:7 308:9

**days** [13] 1:17 3:1 24:18 24:20 28:5,6 30:24 37:19 42:17 48:4 260:21 264:9 264:20

**DC** [**5**] 7:14 8:21 26:9,12 29:22

**deal** [5] 3:22 20:19 96:16 156:19 303:1

**dealing** [5] 67:3 124:3 185:2 204:23 252:13

deals [1] 307:7

**dealt** [3] 53:15 75:3 135:4 **dear** [2] 35:8 248:20

deaths [1] 297:11 debate [3] 180:1 188:3

189:22 **debated** [2] 164:5 165:11

**December** [1] 113:22 **decide** [2] 144:23 206:22

**decided** [3] 79:6 118:6 175:17

**deciding** [1] 33:6 **decision** [11] 23:5 127:6 136:2,14 137:15,24 164:2 175:9 196:16 242:5 296:13

**decisions** [3] 51:7 54:3

Decker's [1] 271:23 declaration [1] 16:1 declare [1] 249:13 declared [2] 6:12 15:15 dedicated [1] 60:17 deemed [1] 64:12 deep [4] 77:15 90:24 255:2 293:22

**defense** [4] 14:8,11,12 14:14

**define** [13] 54:1 60:9 101:9 120:13 126:19

128:22 131:5,5,10 132:25 171:18 188:20 277:14 **defined** [11] 55:23 64:7 83:22 88:5 97:23 124:23 189:15 202:10 239:6

245:22 279:6 **defines** [1] 130:15 **defining** [2] 119:5 204:24

**definite** [2] 74:15 292:22 **definitely** [6] 75:16 123:3 129:8 207:6 216:16 216:21

**definition** [13] 64:7 84:11 114:11,13,17 115:20 128:19 200:20 246:5 275:22 278:7 279:11,14

**definitional** [1] 129:7 **degree** [8] 126:10 172:12 203:20 207:2,4 239:19 247:2 253:17

**degrees** [4] 8:14 255:7 277:4 278:15

**delay** [1] 291:14 **delayed** [1] 25:19

**delegation** [1] 93:2 **deliberate** [3] 33:9 79:1

**deliberately** [1] 173:22 **delicate** [1] 133:19 **delighted** [1] 238:1

deliver [2] 34:11 191:6 delivered [1] 13:14

**delve** [1] 124:5

**delving** [2] 31:20 102:5 **demographic** [1] 31:8 **demographic** [2] 42:6

**demographics** [3] 42:2 67:4 190:2

**demonstrate** [2] 46:3 189:8

**demonstrated** [4] 71:11 201:21 232:14 304:23

demonstrates [1] 126:24

**Department** [2] 14:14 14:18

**departments** [2] 107:10 244:19

**depend** [1] 41:19 **dependent** [1] 260:25 **depending** [3] 41:16 137:14 146:4

**deploy** [4] 290:14 296:25 297:20 303:12

**deployed** [2] 290:10

**deploying** [1] 290:16 **deployment** [2] 303:10 303:13

**depth** [7] 53:25 117:12 122:23 144:6 231:3,5 233:22

derived [2] 106:24

110:24 descents [1] 297:8 **describe** [8] 17:9 107:21 126:22 132:16 133:5 171:1 192:13 241:2 **described** [4] 107:22 167:14 245:24 297:7 **describes** [1] 156:5 describing [1] 168:6 description [2] 7:3 249:25 **descriptors** [1] 165:25 design [8] 9:18 14:9 163:7 263:1 269:20 277:17,23 296:24 designation [1] 249:8 **designed** [23] 11:7,24 22:7 32:13 33:23 43:11 43:16 50:11 131:15 134:4 183:12,13,15 191:6 195:11,25 196:6 209:25 247:1 277:7 285:2 288:17 291:4 designing [1] 12:14 **designs** [1] 289:3 desirability [2] 280:19 280:20 **desirable** [1] 167:16 desks [1] 132:12 **despise** [1] 126:18 destroy [1] 281:1 **detail** [13] 9:9 10:2 19:17 36:24 48:12 109:13 115:24 149:18 151:25 155:17 187:4 223:6 234:23 **detailed** [1] 119:10 details [4] 23:2 46:21 156:18 160:4 determination [1] 187:13 **determines** [1] 187:24 **determining** [1] 103:12 deterrent [1] 170:5 **develop** [11] 17:1 128:22 129:11,24 130:2 131:1 139:9 170:11 254:15 257:6 302:8 **developed** [9] 11:23 33:18 110:1 196:2 208:19 293:17,19 299:20 303:5 **developing** [6] 12:12,14 20:17 113:5 191:17 224:3 development [12] 9:18 9:20,20 14:10 19:8 21:13 85:6 225:5 226:12.20 242:13 293:1 developmental [1] 7:25 developments [1] 247:13 device [8] 247:13 283:4 293:13,21 294:12,21,22 298:25 devices [3] 295:21 303:6

**dexterity** [3] 256:24 271:16 272:6 **DG** [1] 202:8 diagram [2] 132:18 161:2 dialogue [9] 110:9,12 120:15 122:11 137:13 170:7,10 189:23 217:6 dictate [1] 277:17 **difference** [16] 102:6 103:4,7 138:12 215:3 221:24 226:23 258:21 261:15 268:10,16 269:25 276:24 277:1 279:19 287:1 **differences** [12] 192:9 253:23 254:4,9,11 258:14 262:6 271:17 273:11 276:21,25 293:7 different [58] 2:13.16 12:8 28:4 33:14 38:22 45:1 54:5 59:20 61:3 71:23 74:9,13,18,19 79:20,20 82:15 92:16 97:16 100:3.4.11 102:19 109:17 112:4,8 115:17 120:19 135:2 141:10,10 141:11 151:8 152:6 153:7 174:23 180:24 187:22 191:3 195:19 199:20,20 208:1 242:16 263:20 272:23 273:5,7,24 274:2 274:11 275:19 297:3 300:1,24 303:16 305:25 **differing** [1] 30:6 **differs** [1] 183:5 **difficult** [17] 71:2 117:7 132:10 140:25 190:1 231:1 255:4,13 261:10 266:20 278:17 281:15 284:7,16,17 292:4 300:4 **difficulty** [1] 271:25 **dip** [1] 25:10 **direct** [9] 1:23 3:24 29:19 29:21 72:19 153:17 208:12 220:13 295:25 **directed** [1] 1:8 direction [2] 71:3 131:16 **directive** [1] 91:4 **directly** [1] 47:12 **Director** [3] 11:4 118:6 202:8 directorate [1] 82:8 **Directors** [1] 204:10 disadvantage [1] 186:2 disadvantages [1] 290:24 discharged [1] 294:11 **discipline** [10] 11:15 55:23 56:3 83:22 119:16 119:25 124:23 127:10 138:3 246:8 **discomfort** [2] 51:23 264:16 **disconnect** [2] 208:7 224:7

**discovered** [1] 269:13 **Discoveries** [2] 308:12 308:14 discuss [2] 85:15 132:6 discussed [5] 17:22 92:8 143:10 159:4 205:15 discussing [3] 27:6 197:7 251:18 **discussion** [16] 23:3 26:1 27:1 34:9 37:18 50:22 53:10 201:1 202:9 208:1 214:18 217:6 229:3 250:13 266:11 295:22 discussions [2] 42:16 **disparate** [1] 299:15 **disparitor** [1] 300:10 **displaces** [1] 281:3 **dissent** [1] 241:24 **distance** [1] 291:24 **distinct** [1] 208:13 **distinction** [2] 208:18 230:20 **distress** [1] 306:1 distressing [1] 306:17 **distribute** [2] 22:21 23:10 distributed [6] 21:12 38:10 distributing [1] 225:6 distribution [11] 9:16 22:13 25:17 27:17,20 28:12 37:3 38:13 44:8 85:6 195:10 **ditching** [3] 247:16 261:4 297:4 **divers** [1] 239:24 diverse [1] 157:21 diving [1] 177:4 division [10] 82:7 89:11 89:22,25 99:23 117:21 232:16,22 233:2 240:9 **doctored** [1] 51:15 doctors [1] 63:22 **document** [1] 87:18 **documented** [2] 84:8 85:19 **documents** [1] 4:10 131:20 133:22 158:24 228:17 261:19 **dominates** [1] 167:6 don [2] 271:15 272:13 **done** [37] 34:25 37:13

disorientation [1] 291:8 **disparity** [2] 290:3 291:2 22:19 24:23 30:13 37:19 **doesn't** [11] 32:10 122:16 176:5 182:7 204:17 218:2 131:1,3 182:21 driven [2] 125:20 152:1 drop [1] 24:14 **drowning** [11] 256:2,6,8 256:17 259:19 283:7 38:20 53:24 54:18 62:16 286:22 297:2,6,12,15 68:21 69:1 111:11 126:21 dry [2] 260:24 280:24 126:23 127:7,22 131:14 due [4] 25:19 292:16 297:12,15 **duplication** [1] 36:25

134:22 135:21 139:6

142:23 144:24 182:9

194:24 221:13 222:1

236:17 237:6 238:12 242:6,9 251:11 262:23 262:23 263:4 269:11 283:24,25 297:24,25 donned [2] 263:6 271:9 **door** [1] 78:9 dots[1] 144:1 double [1] 86:19 doubts [1] 91:25 down [37] 15:4 33:20 37:11 41:24 48:3 55:10 66:6 68:11 75:22 76:13 77:2,19 83:8 92:5 125:14 149:15 156:17 160:8 172:12 173:8 178:13 200:2 253:17 257:8 260:10 261:17 263:3 282:12,15 285:13,15 287:19 291:9 292:14,16 298:11 299:2 **download** [11 68:17 **downswing** [1] 100:15 **Dr** [52] 236:24 237:17,20 237:25 238:1,4,7,8,16 238:17,24 239:5,9,17 240:22 241:12 243:9,19 244:3,9 245:13,19 246:10 247:4.19.23 248:5.11.17 248:22 249:5,12,13,17 249:20 250:1,10,21 251:2 251:15,22 252:4,8,22 253:12 254:6,10,16 257:15,19 258:1,10 **draft** [6] 34:7 113:21 221:2 232:4 302:9,12 **dramatic** [1] 100:24 draw [27] 10:11 20:16 36:22 38:21 45:25 47:1 56:7 58:9 59:18 60:7 68:8,11,20 74:25 76:14 115:24 117:7.15 118:2 152:7,10 209:11 216:18 216:22 217:2 229:18 231:1 drawing [2] 222:15 305:6 drawn [2] 77:6 116:24 drew [1] 220:12 **drill** [3] 63:18 66:6 80:8 **drilled** [3] 76:13 80:3 81:17 **drilling** [5] 33:19 84:12 149:15 156:17 220:14 **drip** [1] 24:23 **dripped** [1] 282:12 drive [5] 48:3 116:6

duration [3] 152:25 153:15 158:7 **during** [12] 147:23 202:18 240:18 241:4,23 256:20 269:2 291:6 292:4 298:11 303:18 304:21 duty [3] 42:19 114:21 276:20 -E**e-mail** [3] 18:22 22:22 29:21 Earle [2] 194:8,9 early [17] 7:22 24:6,18 25:6,7 28:4,6,25 35:15 37:19 48:4 82:10 90:25 108:5 260:21 276:12 295:13 ears [1] 238:25 **EASA** [1] 275:2 **ease** [2] 41:23 297:25 **easily** [5] 53:8 86:3 267:24 268:1 273:15 east [1] 214:6 easy [10] 128:13 134:7 210:9 247:5 271:14,19 278:13 279:18 284:22 297:22 **EBS** [31] 245:11 247:15 256:1 289:18 290:7,14 290:16,17,22 291:4,14 293:13 295:17 296:22 297:1,19,21 298:17,21 298:25 299:4.5.12 301:25 302:5.6.18 303:24 304:12 304:15 305:1 **ecosystem** [1] 126:9 **education** [8] 8:2 11:6 12:19,25 55:17 141:15 223:24 239:11 292:17 298:9

**effect** [2] 281:24 291:21 **effective** [12] 49:4,7,10 67:16,23 83:15 103:22 141:11 208:1 288:21 effectively [1] 156:8 effectiveness [1] 67:8 **effects** [2] 256:22 298:7 **effort** [2] 8:6 142:11 egress [1] 65:11 **eight** [3] 43:1 111:18 160:10 either [22] 3:9,12 14:23 27:8 32:6 50:23 53:4 55:11 68:2 116:15 164:10 166:21 195:15 200:13 219:1 242:11 249:16 256:14 262:11 275:19 298:17 305:20 **either/or** [2] 167:12

178:7

**Elaine** [1] 8:21

**elasticated** [1] 269:24

electronically [1] 22:22

**element** [3] 188:9 190:20

303:8

286:8 **elements** [3] 31:21 188:8 189:1 **elevator** [1] 3:14 **embarking** [1] 35:1 **embarrass** [1] 170:5 **embedded** [3] 116:4 125:1 137:2 **emerged** [1] 124:25 emergency [24] 48:10 48:14 60:5 65:10 115:4 156:10 240:6.12 241:21 242:23 245:1 252:25 253:4,7 255:25 257:9 262:18 273:8,10 289:17 289:24 293:3 300:2,7 emerging [5] 102:16 143:22 155:21 213:23 215:22 **emphasis** [9] 38:25 93:9 93:15 118:8 173:8 178:10 230:9 233:7,8 **emphasize** [1] 183:1 **emphasized** [1] 120:22 **employed** [1] 197:14 **employee** [2] 29:24 86:14 **employees** [6] 45:7 195:5 196:17 209:9 210:3 **employer** [5] 23:20 56:9 57:24 58:13,25 **employing** [1] 222:6 **empowered** [2] 200:14 205:1 **enable** [2] 156:1 219:11 **encase** [2] 143:11 192:20 encourage [8] 28:24 71:6 125:23 129:10 134:11 170:24 179:12 200:22 **encouraged** [2] 133:16 135:16 **encourages** [1] 139:24 encouraging [1] 47:6 end [22] 2:2 56:17 66:23 71:19 89:4 136:15 158:19 164:25 171:15 178:20 186:5 198:1 204:16 218:12,17 260:14 268:21 269:8 282:13 286:15 300:23 301:11 **ended** [1] 302:8 **Energy** [3] 14:19 203:24 220:21 **enforcement** [22] 63:25 95:10,13,15 97:10 110:4

110:5,15,15 122:9 171:2

171:13 176:22 177:6.23

178:19,22 180:9 181:13

192:2 212:9 214:14

**enforcing** [1] 232:17

engage [4] 16:4 110:8

engaged [6] 1:18,22

170:6 203:16

27:25 35:10 105:15 248:3 **engagement** [4] 59:25 111:21 295:3,10 **engaging** [1] 202:22 **engineer** [1] 8:19 **engineers** [1] 190:4 English [2] 111:13 258:16 **enhance** [2] 13:7 129:10 **enhanced** [1] 32:22 **enlighten** [1] 163:18 **ensure** [11] 8:16 25:15 95:11 114:22 172:11,11 222:21,25 241:16 287:15 300:25 **ensured** [1] 261:12 ensures [1] 186:20 **ensuring** [3] 224:25 226:10 246:25 **entered** [6] 3:16 5:18 238:19,20,22 255:6 **entering** [1] 255:1 **enterprise** [2] 203:23 204:3 enthusiastic [1] 27:25 entire [4] 13:19 52:14 103:6 249:16 **entirety** [3] 39:8 71:2 135:5 **entitled** [1] 263:17 **entity** [5] 99:6,12,22 232:25 300:14 **entry** [1] 40:10 **envelope** [5] 23:25 24:9 24:15 26:18 35:20 **envelopes** [2] 26:14 39:18 **environment** [27] 74:10 91:22 104:3,8 125:16 126:7 129:20,25 130:10 130:14,25 131:5,11 135:13 150:23,25 152:20 152:22 153:12 168:13 190:9 207:11 225:2 253:10,14,19 265:14 environmental [3] 91:15 92:3 193:10 **equip**[1] 264:16 **equipment** [56] 31:17 65:11 131:6 141:14 155:15 156:24 158:7 159:7,9 187:18 205:23 239:7 240:8,14,17 241:7 241:10,16,21 242:6,8,10 245:8,17,23,23,25 246:2 246:3,16,17,20,25 247:6 252:2,18 253:23,24 254:3 254:20 255:25 266:5 272:19 273:2 285:25 286:3 289:13,13 290:7 292:23 298:15,19 302:4 305:6,12,13 equivalent [7] 90:1

**ergonomic** [2] 246:16 246:22 errors [1] 133:25 **escalated** [2] 110:13 122:12 **escape** [26] 48:24 60:5 156:2 239:8 240:12 241:24 243:22 244:22 247:11 255:21 256:3 261:23 266:20 269:3 283:14 287:7 289:23 290:6,8 291:7,14 292:7 292:19 298:11,13 303:18 **escaped** [2] 286:14 292:11 **escaping** [2] 256:4 292:9 **especially** [1] 73:16 espouse [1] 102:3 **espoused** [1] 126:16 **essence** [3] 12:7 18:3 87:18 essentially [1] 252:1 Esso [2] 100:7 160:9 establish [2] 125:22 198:23 established [3] 82:19 99:7 113:3 establishment [2] 82:6 101:23 **estates** [1] 194:17 **estimate** [1] 12:19 estimated [1] 289:24 **estimates** [1] 160:5 et [19] 38:16 51:23 54:3 60:6 68:11 81:14 87:20 89:3 90:8 93:18 152:9 156:2 158:7 159:10 161:19 162:13 171:16 174:2 232:11 etc [12] 11:25 15:1 18:23 31:10 97:12,23 98:2 106:8 108:8 188:10 199:8 199:14 **etcetera** [1] 69:9 ETSO [3] 276:4,6,7 ETSO-2C503[1] 275:13 ETSOs [1] 287:11 **Europe** [1] 275:4 **European** [10] 275:1,2 275:12 276:10 277:5 279:1 280:12 281:22

283:19 304:10 **evacuation** [5] 115:3 156:2 239:9 241:23 245:10 evaluate [5] 172:25 186:7 187:2 188:3 189:13 **evaluating** (1) 174:24 **evaluation** [4] 103:21 174:23 187:4 222:7 evaluations [1] 186:3 event [6] 21:3 96:17

136:4 256:2 261:3 264:17

**eventual** [1] 17:18

everybody [10] 3:4 22:1 52:13 139:4 239:3 269:13 281:16,17 294:20 301:16 everybody's [2] 22:22

183:4

**Everything's** [2] 122:15 122:15

evidence [27] 3:17 18:2 48:18 67:9 79:4 93:20 105:6 129:13 184:2 188:24 189:8 190:21 196:23 202:19 210:19 234:25 238:4.20 239:16 249:9 257:12 269:5 271:24 277:16 295:25 299:8 305:8

evident [5] 221:21 230:13,16,17,19

**exact** [2] 152:7 157:19 exactly [12] 22:24 48:1 60:10 88:1,18 118:23 134:3 156:11 232:7 273:1 273:2 274:7

**examination** [8] 10:8 20:14 99:19 148:19 162:25 194:19 211:2 236:18

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF [1] 4:6

**examine** [4] 1:25 5:24 118:1 150:20

**examined** [3] 1:17 30:25 145:4

**examining** [1] 99:13 **example** [32] 47:23 49:13 60:19 97:17 118:2 130:9,18 133:14 134:5,9 134:14 135:12 137:5 153:24 155:1,6,21 157:4 157:14 158:11 164:24 167:14 168:5 175:10 183:10 188:5 189:19 205:22 222:19 241:11 267:15 302:9

**examples** [5] 124:10 151:12,13 190:21 232:15

**exceed** [3] 206:20 280:11 297:15

**excellent** [6] 21:23 52:3 72:3 166:7 167:1 190:16

**except** [1] 265:1 **exception** [1] 150:7 **excluded** [1] 78:11 **exclusion** [1] 127:19 executive [4] 81:23 83:13 85:13 241:15

**executives** [1] 204:8 **exhibit** [18] 4:11 5:1,3,4 5:6,8,22,25 16:8 40:19 73:16 168:7 176:18 220:8 238:4,7 249:18,23

exhibits [5] 3:16 238:3 238:19,20,22

exist [1] 207:6 **existed** [1] 135:6 **existing** [4] 168:18

219:13 276:14,22 exists [1] 134:20

**exit** [8] 3:9 247:14 290:18 291:22,25 292:15 298:13 303:14

**exits** [5] 3:5 243:21 244:16 291:12,17

**expect** [5] 62:16 63:9 116:10 160:16 207:1

expectation [3] 131:6 137:6 207:23

expectations [2] 102:1

**expected** [5] 100:19 101:12 102:2 116:8,21

**expecting** [1] 108:15 **experience** [10] 9:19 46:2 63:14 174:11 180:8 181:2 239:12 251:13 293:1 295:17

**experienced** [2] 253:15 292:3

experiences [1] 43:13 **expert** [11] 6:5,12,13,23 12:5 15:15 16:4,19 19:8 75:8 249:14

**expertise** [27] 3:18,20 5:25 6:20 7:2 15:14,23 20:15 64:4 72:1 75:4,17 104:20 105:15,18 119:18 120:16,17 149:9,15 161:20 178:25 203:8 204:2,20 216:23 249:12

**experts** [14] 1:16,18,25 2:2,4,23,24 86:9 121:24 126:15 155:12,14 161:17 202:23

**explain** [10] 2:9 6:3 10:4 61:10,21 75:5 114:5 128:6 154:11 282:21

**explained** [1] 154:3 **explaining** [3] 144:8,17

explanation [3] 38:15 51:25 75:4

exploration [2] 60:12

**explore** [3] 5:24 111:15 235:23

**explored** [1] 53:21 **exposure** [3] 104:18 159:5 251:13

**expressed** [2] 149:7 278:3

**expression** [1] 243:25 **extend** [1] 156:23 **extends** [1] 88:14 **extensive** [2] 247:10 252:23

extensively [1] 9:23 extent [4] 110:14 150:4 168:16 253:21

**extra** [8] 244:21 268:22 291:20 294:4 298:18 304:3,4 305:23

92:12 168:9 233:18

278:15,25 283:5

era[1] 136:21

**extracted** [1] 115:20 **extreme** [3] 71:20 165:1 165:21

**extremely** [2] 55:23 74:14

**extremes** [1] 164:9 **extremities** [1] 165:25

#### -F-

FAA [16] 90:1,1,5,6 92:7 92:12,18,19,22 93:2 94:7 94:21 97:25 158:18 159:12 174:16 fabric [1] 260:13 fabrics [1] 284:19

**fabulous** [1] 71:14 **face** [14] 146:15 179:19 256:16 262:12 263:11,13 266:16 286:21 287:19 288:7,15,23 289:4 298:10

facilitate [1] 217:5 facilitation [1] 13:5

**facilities** [4] 18:14 87:21 159:20 306:7

facility [1] 169:12 fact [12] 36:14 170:12 175:2 180:25 181:16 259:9 266:13 267:5,6,8 269:11 281:2

**factor** [5] 135:11 266:24 267:8 285:14 286:24

**factors** [7] 25:11 46:7 224:13 239:8 246:7,14 246:23

facts [1] 137:14 factual [1] 265:12 factual [1] 265:12

**factually** [1] 265:13 Fagan [166] 1:20 2:8,10 4:7,8 5:21 7:6 8:25 10:7 10:24 11:8 12:1 13:16 15:11.24.25 16:6.17 17:7 17:15 19:2 20:5,10,22 26:13,23 27:4,12 28:11 28:18,23 29:11 30:9,16 30:20 33:5 34:14 36:4 38:2 39:9,14,22 40:1,6 40:17 41:5 43:6 44:24 45:13,17 46:6 50:9,15 50:20 51:12 52:6,12 53:13 57:17 58:4 61:4,9 61:13,19 62:8,15,23 63:3 63:8 65:12.18.23 66:5 66:11,17 67:5,13 68:3 68:12 69:21 70:23 72:13 72:23 73:3,11 75:2 76:16 78:22 79:13 80:15 85:21 86:12,23 88:7 89:9,16 89:20 90:11 91:17 92:6 92:15 93:19 94:1,6 95:18 95:22 96:2,6,11,15,22 97:2 98:8,15 100:13 101:13,20 102:11 103:3 104:1,7,24 105:5,11 106:11 107:12,18,25 108:20 109:3,7,22 111:2

112:10,18,23 113:16

114:4 115:8 116:7,14

117:5,11 121:17 122:1 122:20 123:19,25 127:24 128:5 132:4,9 134:6 137:4 138:9 139:21 140:2 140:17 142:18 144:12 145:7 198:1 220:12 233:17 236:9,11

**fair** [14] 9:8 46:16 66:18 66:20 104:19 134:3 142:15 182:5 209:1 227:7 227:12,14 231:23 247:17

fairly [42] 3:10 8:3 14:8 15:1 16:2 18:21,24 38:11 42:9,11 43:25 44:17 47:24 48:14 53:3,8 60:16 64:7 71:17 78:15 86:10 88:23 107:4 110:2,16 111:10 113:4,23 115:7 117:2 124:8 128:13 132:14 143:12 148:11 150:3 173:10 210:11 225:16,18 278:19 296:18

**fairness** [2] 137:17,18 **fall** [7] 17:16 22:3 61:16 62:10 67:9 114:12 288:23

falls [2] 75:16 82:7

familiar [15] 14:24 46:22 46:23 64:6 98:11,25 115:2 125:2 158:2 161:13 161:15 245:18 251:20 258:7 8

**familiarity** [1] 252:20 **families** [3] 1:12 2:6 194:12

family [1] 27:7 fantastic [1] 182:15 far [6] 50:22 168:10

**far** [6] 50:22 168:10 205:13 209:19 232:7 307:10

farther [1] 265:7 fascinating [1] 157:4

**faster** [1] 285:12 **fatalities** [1] 297:15 **fatality** [1] 297:10

**fear** [3] 133:17 134:13 135:11

fed [2] 24:23 72:10

**feedback** [1] 28:10 **feeling** [3] 31:18 46:17

feels [1] 205:1

137:7

feet [2] 282:15 287:24

**felt** [7] 22:16 45:5 48:13 54:7 79:9 254:19 302:19

**fence** [1] 166:19 **ferry** [1] 89:2

few [25] 13:21 14:23 31:12 33:16 40:23 42:17 53:20 54:5 57:1 100:3 132:20 147:10,14,16 152:14 178:5 211:7 243:3 262:22,24 273:9 274:2 291:11 297:5 300:19

**field** [13] 7:8,23 11:22 12:12 14:3 33:1 102:21 103:18 119:19 161:18

173:23 249:14 259:3 **fields** [6] 100:4 107:2

121:25 152:2,3 214:5 **fifteen** [4] 2:18 236:24 237:11 289:20

**fifth** [1] 212:6

**fifty** [1] 248:9

**figure** [8] 157:19 280:11 281:20,21 283:8,23 284:1 304:6

**figured** [1] 78:14 **figures** [4] 79:24 282:25 283:11 284:7

**fill** [4] 25:8,24 36:12 37:4 **filled** [2] 28:1 195:14

**filling** [1] 282:15

**final** [3] 121:14 124:1 305:5

**finally** [6] 34:7 80:10 142:14 143:23 238:7 301:24

**finance** [1] 125:17 **finding** [2] 201:23 208:20

**findings** [7] 74:20 76:10 81:2 83:11 86:22 99:17 139:19

**fine** [4] 4:19 151:13 180:21 307:16

**fines** [2] 170:19 171:15 **finish** [4] 5:13 39:15 147:19 208:5

**finished** [2] 5:12 72:19 **fired** [1] 134:24

**fires** [1] 294:5

**first** [45] 4:11,19 5:23 8:7 9:10,15 14:13 16:20 20:7 20:18 21:17 28:6 30:23 32:4 44:2 76:24 77:7 106:15 110:7,12 111:13 143:14 151:4 152:15 163:6 172:1,14 194:23 201:12 212:2 222:14 225:24 238:15 239:19 244:7,24 252:5,20 254:17 254:23 255:7 266:12 291:11 292:4 293:12

**firstly** [10] 12:9 19:12 25:14 33:17 82:6 117:21 150:22 172:2 178:6 222:3

**fit** [15] 155:25 166:16 184:15 247:6 268:4 269:7 269:9,12,17 281:12,16 284:21 289:5 299:10,15

**fits** [3] 54:18 112:8 171:24

**fitting** [3] 268:7,9,13 **Fitzgerald** [2] 8:18 40:14

**five** [22] 4:9 5:18 7:15 8:4 44:12,12,15 49:7 70:14 77:25 83:8 138:12 163:12 164:8 165:8,23 166:1,18 235:10 256:23 268:15 274:8

**fix** [1] 141:13

**fixed** [4] 32:22 63:16 138:6 139:3

**fixing** [1] 171:19 **flat** [1] 49:20

**flavour** [4] 82:16 84:11 111:20 119:22

**fleet** [4] 84:15 100:9 157:12 160:10

**flexible** [1] 140:3 **flight** [15] 25:6 28:5 31:17 60:21,22 70:18

152:25 153:4,5,15 182:16 195:3 196:12 205:22 263:4

**flights** [5] 25:18,19 35:18 253:19,20

**flip** [3] 140:8 172:5 287:15

**float**[1] 292:13

**floor** [9] 134:19 135:3,9 137:8 138:7 139:3 182:1 182:1.1

**flow** [7] 59:9 67:20 128:13 136:2,14 223:17 279:9

flown [1] 152:23 fluctuates [1] 41:16

**fly** [3] 89:8 177:15 190:6 **fly-ins** [1] 297:9

flyers [1] 65:1

flying [8] 60:19 69:13 106:8 111:16 159:25 273:9,10 297:6

**foamy** [1] 267:6 **focal** [2] 39:2 109:21 **focus** [16] 34:10 39:4

43:11 56:4 73:22 77:14 80:19 98:1 99:24 108:18 142:2 208:9 209:19 215:23 228:24 269:11

**focused** [11] 6:19 31:11 48:10,22 88:16 99:12 120:9 154:1 159:6 269:7 277:23

**focuses** [1] 97:3 **focusing** [2] 75:22 122:10

**followed** [3] 82:5 191:20 242:22

**following** [7] 31:6 49:11 82:1,10 155:12 293:16 305:18

**follows** [3] 136:19 138:1 222:5

**footwear** [2] 270:19,21 **force** [3] 14:11 174:12 174:21

Forces [1] 14:12 forcing [1] 181:16 forecast [1] 100:18 foregoing [1] 308:3 forget [4] 134:1 176:18

192:12 193:1 **form** [1] 156:11

**formal** [11] 16:1 91:1,2 120:14 199:23 201:15 202:5 213:16 216:23 217:4 218:3

formally [1] 18:19 format [2] 2:8 216:19

**formula** [1] 217:17 **Fort** [1] 93:13

forth [3] 62:25 149:19 170:17

**fortunate** [1] 93:11 **forum** [10] 79:11 112:3 129:18 159:10 179:5 199:16 213:25 214:20 215:25 217:4

**forums** [4] 15:7 105:25 120:14 207:9

**forward** [4] 6:1 32:12 185:2 266:2

**forwards** [1] 89:4 **found** [9] 59:1 70:16 81:4 84:17 105:17 113:20 167:7 262:20 305:20

**foundation** [2] 21:23 127:23

founded [2] 7:10 13:3 four [23] 19:10 23:7 27:17 28:6 31:7 33:19 44:12 49:7 67:24 70:13 77:25 160:7 161:17 165:8 250:7,14,16 252:9 266:2 266:10 268:15 277:4 298:24

**fourth** [3] 19:21 80:11 212:3

**frame** [1] 162:19 **framework** [5] 118:25 143:8,25 168:8 192:20

**frameworks** [3] 10:9 12:15 103:16

Frank [1] 288:5

**free** [4] 27:5 32:13 68:6 68:13

freedom [1] 122:17 frequencies [1] 305:25 frequency [11] 43:5 48:16 50:5,10 51:4 64:23 65:3 69:5 70:11 306:1,5

**frequent** [1] 65:1 **friendly** [1] 289:5

**front** [3] 200:12 233:17 263:2

**fuel** [3] 69:10,11 70:14 **full** [3] 110:13 173:7 201:11

**fully** [5] 36:6 46:12,12 46:13 111:12

**function** [6] 110:3 117:25 123:10 156:8 233:11 234:16

**functional** [2] 87:16 183:20

**functioning** [1] 126:10 **functions** [2] 91:8 257:11

fundamental [1] 6:7 funded [1] 243:5 funding [1] 125:16 Furthermore [1] 114:21 future [3] 54:21 268:2 275:14

-Ggap [1] 267:17 gaps [1] 267:11 **garments** [1] 261:15 **gas** [9] 83:18 88:19 99:9 107:2 175:14 243:4,7,12 **gateway** [1] 23:15 gather [5] 151:14 154:4 257:12 262:8 288:17 gauge [4] 22:7 32:1 43:17 133:9 **gender** [1] 31:10 general [16] 2:7 6:18 42:1 48:12 58:15 80:10 157:14 190:21 202:8 219:1 239:14 250:19 254:14 255:20 274:17,19 generally [7] 1:12 57:20 58:1 75:9 203:15,19 224:12 **generated** [1] 40:7 **generic** [1] 303:6 **gentleman** [1] 236:7 **gentlemen** [2] 1:3 15:19 geographical [1] 88:23 **given** [21] 22:25 23:12 24:1,21 26:4 29:14 35:20 57:23 79:3 92:3 105:17 105:23 143:17 170:2 186:4 224:4,6 234:14,25 250:7 282:4 giving [4] 35:2 142:16 168:22 278:8 **glad** [1] 181:12 global [2] 15:1 214:9 **globally** [1] 56:4

**glove** [2] 271:18 306:25 **gloved** [1] 307:1 **gloves** [5] 270:5 271:5,6

groves [5] 270:5 271:5,6 271:8 272:13

**goal** [6] 82:13,17 83:14 167:9 172:6 222:19

goal-based [1] 118:15 goes [18] 31:16 64:22 128:20,22 135:2 163:10 172:22,25 190:8 213:1 222:10 223:4 229:21 230:22 284:11 294:6,9 295:13

Golden [1] 288:5 gone [2] 221:10 233:22 good [53] 1:3,3,6 7:6 18:24 28:9 32:9,21 34:8 41:21 50:7 53:10 63:13 71:9 72:10 76:8 78:1

79:5,18 97:17 116:3

120:1 127:15 128:23 129:5 140:4,7 142:16 143:7,20 148:21 152:10 152:16 163:2 166:12 171:7 180:19 183:25 189:8,19 194:21 197:19 198:21 200:15,25 210:3 210:7 211:4 215:24 233:1 252:15 260:11,12

**governance** [4] 8:13 12:11 18:4 73:22

**government** [5] 107:10 111:14,20 147:8 151:3 **grabs** [1] 234:6 **grade** [2] 54:17 222:12

**grading** [1] 103:21 **gradually** [2] 256:24 305:12

Graduate [1] 11:5 Graham [1] 8:8 grain [1] 190:9

**gram** [1] 281:20 **grams** [1] 282:1

grandfathering [1] 276:19

**graphs** [1] 40:8

great [20] 38:9 70:8 74:7 77:9 81:9 84:23 123:12 139:15 143:18 180:16,17 188:5,18 197:1 198:22 200:6 202:14 203:7 237:19 256:8

**greater** [7] 108:18 117:12 118:12 141:3 281:4,4 289:2

greatest [1] 215:15 green [1] 270:7

**grey** [1] 64:17

gross [1] 169:21

**group** [21] 5:23 8:4 29:16 45:19 85:17,23,25 93:6 111:18 196:22 209:9 210:9 213:3,9,13 243:11 243:12,14 296:7 299:21 299:23

**groups** [6] 86:4,9 98:1 121:23 207:8 215:7

grow [2] 106:2 139:9

**growing** [4] 56:4 113:5 119:19 255:23

**grown** [1] 7:12

growth [4] 98:20 100:20 100:24 101:11

Guard [3] 87:10,20,22 guess [30] 16:5 33:12 46:12 55:10 59:19 66:24 77:9 98:10 120:18 122:12 131:16 134:4 140:8 143:10 149:5 151:4 154:13 158:24 166:7 172:12 173:7 178:20 191:4,10 193:17 196:25

**guidance** [12] 85:7 90:7 119:24 123:16 136:16 188:17 190:19,23 237:6

217:3 229:12,18 239:6

241:15 250:24 296:4 **guidelines** [5] 113:21 158:16,17 192:3 219:11 **Gulf** [6] 77:14 88:9,10 88:16 125:14 157:15

## -H-

**habit** [1] 131:13 **half** [8] 18:13 61:15 72:16 72:17 200:9 294:10 304:5 307:11

halfway [1] 169:2 Halifax [1] 252:24 hammers [1] 177:14 hamper [1] 298:21 hand [14] 24:1 135:7 140:13 141:18 142:7 166:6 212:12,12 230:22 230:23 303:13,14,15 307:1

**handed** [2] 28:2 303:13 **handful** [7] 37:6 54:9 69:2 70:4,6 89:8 196:24

handled [1] 129:4 handling [3] 177:23 218:18,20

**handover** [1] 219:3 **hands** [4] 271:19,25 272:14 306:22

**Hansen** [3] 146:20 276:6 300:18

**happening** [3] 98:20 143:21 288:10

**happy** [6] 130:5 131:18 134:20 191:24 192:3 235:22

**hard** [5] 4:20 23:6 37:2 134:8 164:5

**hardware** [1] 126:7 **harm** [5] 133:21 135:3 136:12 168:11,17

harmonize [1] 274:3 harness [7] 291:18,21 292:10 298:24,25 299:3 299:5

Harris [47] 162:24,25 163:1,3,23 164:17,22 165:7 166:3,11,15,24 167:20 168:2 169:1,10 170:1,15 171:6 174:25 175:8,20 176:1,6,11 177:2,12,19 179:15,24 180:6,13,22 184:18,25 185:6,14,25 186:10,18 191:8,14,23 194:3,8 201:2 210:6

**hate** [1] 126:18 **hazard** [4] 83:16 113:10 173:18 178:14

hazard-based [1]

hazardous [1] 82:8 hazards [4] 111:15 119:21 174:4 298:12 head [10] 7:11 255:14 256:10 266:16 278:20 283:5 286:17 287:24 294:8 303:20

heads [1] 207:22 health [16] 81:23 83:3 85:13 87:13,23 90:16 116:22 117:2 168:13 218:14 226:10 231:14 241:8,14 244:19 245:4

**healthy** [1] 199:4 **hear** [8] 67:9 126:13 167:23 208:24 236:1,7,8

heard [23] 15:20 48:19 70:10 79:4 93:20 101:15 104:2 105:8 106:13 107:15,19 150:4 155:5 179:5 202:19 210:5,18 277:15 278:5 279:25 280:16 305:8 308:4

**hearing** [**s**] 65:25 89:12 107:19 244:7 308:4

heart [1] 248:20 heavily [3] 213:13 230:7 269:8

heavy [2] 14:8 93:15 height [2] 268:20,22 held [7] 7:24 11:16,19 72:8 252:25 290:21 295:14

**helicopter** [135] 9:12 31:11,13 32:17,25 33:22 35:9,12,17 43:11 44:3,6 44:11 46:23 48:23 52:22 52:24 55:2 58:23,25 59:8 59:12,23,24 60:1,2 62:4 62:19 63:10,10 64:6,15 65:7 66:7 69:5,19 70:13 75:24 76:15 79:25 80:20 80:23 82:22 84:12,16,25 85:8.16.17.22 88:25 89:2 89:6,7 93:3,7,16,23 94:3 97:19 100:6 106:4 111:3 111:4,11 112:3 114:5,10 115:13 123:17 135:18 139:13 153:14 154:2,6 157:20 158:3,15,21 159:6 173:25 182:12 185:7 200:9 201:4 205:6 213:3 214:7,16 215:4,8,10,12 218:21 219:12 239:8 240:11 241:18 242:11.23 243:22 244:22 245:10 247:16 252:3 254:21 255:22 256:4,5 259:16 259:23 261:4,22 262:13 263:6 264:3 265:3 269:2 269:23 275:5,11,14 276:4 283:15 286:14 287:7 289:23 290:6.9 291:9 292:20 293:16 297:3 301:6 305:19

helicopters [28] 18:14 35:19 42:21 57:21 63:5 63:18,19 65:4 70:9 80:13 82:24 100:7 101:1 113:6 113:7 114:12,18 135:14 152:18,22 160:13 163:10 177:16 205:11 214:9 222:16 242:20 247:12 helideck [1] 89:21 helidecks [6] 88:20 89:10,21 90:3,8 157:11

**heliport** [13] 24:2,6,13 25:7 26:25 27:14 28:25 29:17 35:24 37:11 195:16 209:6 268:18

**heliports** [2] 90:4,8 **Helly** [3] 146:20 276:5 300:18

**help** [10] 45:20 124:18 129:10,20 130:7 132:1 132:25 163:6 182:15 259:18

**helpful** [6] 74:2 76:5 124:11 144:20 194:4 252:16

**helping** [1] 242:13 **hence** [3] 125:2 126:11 281:18

**hereby** [1] 308:2

**hey** [1] 135:7

**high** [22] 6:16 9:10 57:5 58:18 71:12,12 74:23 76:11 81:3 86:20 97:14 97:24 106:25 111:1 125:6 125:7 126:10 127:9,11 128:14 200:1 256:5

**higher** [15] 12:25 49:6 56:17 97:21 125:19 156:25 258:23 264:11,24 277:11 279:3,5 283:18 284:1 288:14

**highest** [4] 50:5,10 179:7

**highlight** [9] 13:21 17:1 32:14 43:7 54:8 57:1 80:17 124:13 133:16

**highlighted** [1] 69:4 **highlights** [4] 79:19 91:10 128:15 212:18

**highly** [1] 71:25 **history** [4] 9:24 99:15 116:2 203:13

**HMDC** [2] 18:11 145:15 **hoc** [1] 98:5

**hold** [7] 105:18 255:14 255:15,20 289:3 290:1,4

holder [1] 207:24 holds [1] 8:14 holes [1] 289:8 holiday [1] 2:21

home [4] 87:2 98:10 132:13 306:9

**homing** [1] 306:6 **hood** [5] 266:17 286:18 288:17 289:4,9

**hoods** [4] 256:13 288:20 288:22 289:2

**hope** [2] 144:7 273:1 **hopefully** [2] 239:2 290:7

horizon [3] 77:15 90:24 116:17 hospital [1] 63:24

Index Page 10

**hot** [3] 97:14 264:9,22 **hour** [6] 2:15 72:16,17 148:1,9 307:12 **hours** [5] 2:13 253:20 257:7 277:4 285:2 house [2] 105:19 202:24 **HSAC** [5] 93:7.13 98:5 106:1 159:4 **HSC** [1] 154:3 HSE [2] 81:22 82:7 **HUEBA** [1] 155:5 **HUET** [7] 48:23 49:5,12 65:10 156:4 247:13,14 huge [5] 48:25 174:14 210:9 276:25 282:10 **human** [11] 133:24 239:7 240:2 242:12 246:7,14 246:18,19,23 247:1 281:13 **humidity** [1] 279:9 **hundred** [2] 160:1

Hurley [2] 147:4,7 **Husky** [1] 18:11 hybrid [8] 293:21 294:4

**hunger** [1] 70:21

248:10

294:20 295:20 303:8,22 304:2,19

**hvpothermia** [5] 239:22 240:4 248:15 256:19 257:5

### -I-

**i.e**[1] 1:17 ICAO [4] 94:14,18,21 243:11 ICAO's [1] 93:2 idea 181 45:1 137:1 157:9 162:7 191:18 197:11 201:25 227:18 ideal [1] 25:23 ideally [1] 22:20 ideas [5] 22:10 32:20,21 181:18,20 identification [3] 9:22 119:20 199:7 **identified** [5] 23:14 110:7 173:19 211:11 250:17 **identifies** [1] 187:23

identify [4] 132:2 178:14 187:12 249:18

**identifying** [1] 192:18 ignore [1] 53:11 **ignored** [1] 163:17 **II** [1] 31:15 **III** [2] 67:19 68:9

image [1] 44:22 **imagine** [3] 135:11

233:23 278:17 immersed [2] 272:11

279:23 immersion [26] 240:16

241:14,19 247:12 255:8 256:19,20,23 257:14 260:22 264:18 272:9 273:17 275:6,8,10,11 279:20 281:19 286:11 298:16,18,22 299:22 300:5 305:3

**impact** [6] 46:14 254:22 254:23 297:3,14,18

**impacts** [1] 297:13 **impair** [1] 304:15 **implement** [4] 13:6

189:25 191:2 221:7 implementation [6] 12:16 13:4 15:9 175:4

247:14 276:12

**implemented** [2] 207:18

implementing [1] 125:4 **implements** [1] 306:13 **implications** [4] 244:20 245:4 259:7.8

**implied** [2] 196:25 209:5 **implies** [1] 226:14 **imply** [1] 232:9

**importance** [3] 118:12 169:19 255:24

**important** [30] 32:19 35:25 36:2 43:12,19,22 45:25 51:13 55:15 64:10 67:2 72:6 75:25 125:18 169:4 171:24 172:24 178:1 184:16 185:21 191:2 218:25 256:10 265:20 269:12 286:23 297:21 298:4,9 301:23

**improve** [7] 140:18,21 141:20 170:10 219:12 284:21 285:3

**improved** [3] 32:23 70:11 212:9

improvement [8] 22:10 32:21 45:14 47:8 69:24 70:2,7,20

improvements [5] 32:24 47:5,14 71:10 284:22

**improves** [1] 141:2 **improving** [1] 45:22 in-depth [2] 122:24 153:21

**Inc** [2] 308:12,14 **inception** [1] 125:20 incident [18] 76:7 77:15 82:1,11 90:24,24 92:4 135:9 136:1,3 139:5 140:13 177:5 192:15 262:16 305:19 306:3,8

incidents [3] 83:17 133:17 297:7

include [17] 12:12 78:7 78:20,23 79:6 95:19 100:6 176:22 177:7 199:9 209:8 229:16 234:19 235:18 243:21 245:9,9

included [11] 19:13 29:6

67:19 78:24 87:15 114:14 114:20 195:4 240:11 282:25 284:2

**includes** [3] 112:4 169:5 243:13

**including** [13] 11:16 18:11 69:8 76:6 112:5 115:3 121:23 128:24,25 128:25 168:13 240:3 243:10

**income** [3] 106:23 110:24 169:21

**increased** [4] 47:14 228:24,24 277:2

increasing [2] 65:5 264:8

indeed [2] 156:15 287:10 independence [3] 234:3 234:3,11

**independent** [8] 105:21 107:8,13 108:6 240:24 241:1 242:18,21

indepth [3] 76:2 81:10 114:2

**India** [1] 7:17 indicate [13] 47:3 49:24 50:1 64:2 149:6 158:14 158:16,19,25 159:20 217:16 228:9 232:14

indicated [22] 40:20 44:10,14,16,19 49:9 53:2 53:15 56:20,20,22 57:6 57:8 58:19 67:23,24 69:10 70:6 224:24 229:8 236:22 278:1

**indicating** [1] 55:19 indication [5] 33:3 45:18 53:11 85:23 228:17

indications [1] 53:17 **indicators** [1] 31:21 individual [14] 23:24 50:4 68:23 69:3 256:3 279:7 280:24 288:15 291:6 294:7,24 300:14 305:17.24

individually [1] 25:24 individuals [5] 249:4 255:17 264:15 290:5 306:8

**industries** [13] 14:18 63:25 75:12 81:25 121:6 125:7,19 187:22 198:25 199:1,12 203:16 206:17

industry [116] 10:16 12:13 13:15 14:16,20,25 18:25 34:4 54:18 55:22 56:5 60:17 63:14,17,19 63:22 64:4,8,13 75:16 76:12 77:11 78:16 79:22 79:23 81:20 82:19,25 83:2.18 85:4 88:8 92:20 93:10,17 97:4,5,19,20 97:24 98:1,3,21 100:1 100:14 101:5 105:24 106:14,22,24 107:1,5 110:8 111:1 112:2 113:8 118:19 121:20,21 124:15 124:24 125:10,10,11,11

127:11,21 128:17 139:11 139:13,14,14 142:24 144:2 160:6 169:20 170:3 172:10 173:16,18 174:11 175:2 178:12 179:1.4.12 180:1 181:3,17 182:6,10 185:11 186:12 190:2,19 190:22 199:2.2 203:10 203:11,15 204:14 206:18 214:4,11,13 215:6,25 218:11 222:8 234:9 244:18 253:2 284:13 289:20 293:10

**inflatable** [2] 286:8,12 **inflated** [2] 286:9,13 **influence** [3] 99:21 127:7 131:11

**influences** [1] 129:25 **influential** [2] 123:14 170:8

inform [1] 23:19 **informal** [3] 216:23 217:3 218:3

**informally** [1] 18:20 **information** [60] 2:25 4:15,18 5:16 10:11 19:17 20:16 30:21 31:9 36:14 42:1 46:19.25 51:19 52:3 52:19,23 53:6,9 54:20 65:14 66:8 67:20 68:10 68:25 69:18 70:12,18,22 72:4,10 74:2,23 78:19 79:7,10 88:15 122:17 124:17 133:17 134:4,21 135:19,21 141:3,22 142:1 142:3.17 143:18 152:17 162:18 192:22 198:17 217:2 218:7 225:11 233:16 296:5 302:20

**informed** [1] 140:3 infrastructure [3] 130:14 229:19,22

initial [2] 31:5 255:9 injured [1] 303:14 injuries [1] 297:14 **injury** [1] 133:21

**innovative** [4] 110:2 120:23 122:4 212:7 **inquiries** [1] 29:20

inquiry [42] 1:15 6:21 13:22 17:4,10,22,25 18:2 19:1.23 23:3 28:7 35:9 35:25 36:20 39:17 48:19 49:1 73:25 75:25 77:7 78:5 79:4 82:2,6 90:14 98:24 99:18 124:13,18 128:25 139:16 143:2 144:10 145:2 202:19 203:20,22 205:3,6 209:19 236:12

**Inquiry's** [4] 24:17 31:4 33:18 37:9

inside [1] 290:20 insight [1] 71:9 inspect [4] 63:20 64:16 89:10 180:15 **inspecting** [1] 18:14

**inspection** [8] 90:5 93:5 95:9 103:5 180:10,16 183:6 212:8

inspections [9] 87:25 90:10 97:12 102:20 103:11 121:5 172:19 176:23 224:2

inspector [3] 172:21,24 175:22

**inspectors** [6] 15:8 119:10 172:20 174:9 224:4,7

**inspects** [1] 88:1 installation [8] 42:22 42:22 64:25 89:17 108:22 109:16 115:1 153:17

installations [12] 23:17 35:18 37:7,24 82:8,21 88:19 89:12 100:5 107:3 114:19 235:11

**instance** [4] 155:6 182:11 218:8,18

**instances** [1] 29:23 **instigated** [2] 243:4

293:13 institute [2] 11:18 12:25 **instruction** [2] 115:2 250:9

**insulation** [32] 258:22 258:23 259:10 260:7,10 261:3,7,12,19 263:23,25 264:25 266:18 267:9,14 267:16,18 277:10,12 278:10,24,25 279:5,6,21 280:14 281:1,2 284:8,19

**insulative** [1] 260:25 **insulator** [3] 260:11,12 260:14

integral [7] 14:18 203:24 275:9 286:7 298:17 300:19 304:20

**integrated** [5] 199:17 200:19 201:4 275:5 300:17

integration [3] 88:3 199:5 299:14

integrity [4] 25:13 26:4 38:16 247:3

**intended** [1] 149:16 intent [10] 44:21 58:14 114:2 191:5 195:8 196:25 221:5,8,22 223:7

**interact** [3] 127:1 246:21 299:6

interaction [27] 76:8 85:4,5 92:9,18 93:6 97:3 97:25 105:8 111:25 112:1 120:9 126:5 129:18 154:12 159:12 198:8.10 207:7,15 212:4,12 213:1 213:19 215:16 216:8 217:9

interactive [1] 18:21 **interacts** [1] 105:1 interchangeably [1] 172:8

interest [39] 1:14,24 13:22 17:3 19:22 21:16 22:9 23:4 33:3 38:20 43:18 48:5,25 49:2,15 50:7 52:2 54:24 55:8 56:4 59:2 70:22 71:10 77:5,21 78:2 80:18 86:8 107:2 111:1 115:6 139:15 148:7 155:20 176:16 202:15 246:13 250:15 298:23

**interested** [9] 9:3 34:12 78:16 102:6 121:22 167:8 198:7 216:25 268:6

**interesting** [44] 36:22 47:11 70:3.16 74:16 79:9 81:8,25 83:5 87:3,14,25 89:24 98:18 99:2,5 101:3 102:13,17,23 103:9 105:17 106:18,21,22 108:3 111:17 113:8 114:8 116:23 137:23 138:15 150:19 159:3 164:2 167:8 193:3 203:12 204:1 209:11,18 236:2 237:22 296:2

interestingly [2] 41:13 112:6

interests [1] 191:20 **interface** [1] 126:8 **interfaces** [1] 125:25 **interfere** [2] 242:1 304:24

interfering [1] 305:2 **internal** [2] 10:20 168:14 internally [4] 10:21 105:19 164:6 183:13

international [10] 11:5 89:6 94:12,15,16 108:24 193:5,7 278:11,14

**internet** [1] 23:1 interrelationship [1] 143:12

interrupt [2] 19:25 164:18

**intervention** [2] 95:15 97:8

interviewed [1] 262:22 interviewing [1] 76:3

**introduce** [5] 3:15 230:13 237:17,20 280:25

introduced [7] 4:4 187:11 190:11 281:6 282:12 289:19 293:18

**introducing** [3] 244:16 298:6 304:3

introduction [4] 182:12 188:13 189:20 295:17

intrust [1] 25:17 **intuitive** [1] 247:5 invalid [4] 59:14 61:6 61:16 62:1

**inverse** [1] 215:13 **inversion** [1] 242:20 **inverted** [1] 298:10 investigate [1] 137:13 investigated [1] 135:22 investigation [5] 8:9 136:1,20 177:5 192:16

invited [1] 295:14 involve [1] 242:4

involved [11] 7:22 14:7 71:13 135:14 152:18 162:8 242:3 248:4 296:12 302:7 306:9

involvement is 17:9 28:24 86:21 92:18 98:4 98:23 111:3 213:4

**ISO** [3] 193:4,9,10 **isolation** [1] 198:24

issue [38] 12:24 23:5,17 56:5 66:21 69:4 92:24 97:17 110:7 135:4.8 136:5 138:5,6 166:22 167:6 174:3 183:2 199:6 219:18 245:3 251:25 252:5,14,15 266:18 268:5 269:6,9,10,19 271:14 273:12.18 284:13 302:3 303:16 307:7

issued [4] 9:6 25:20 26:22 37:1

issues [43] 18:25 26:2 28:13 30:25,25 31:4 33:18,24 35:13 40:25 43:21 45:10 46:12 69:11 70:4,6 74:19 85:15 93:22 103:1 111:16 146:4 185:1 196:1.7.11.12 204:24 205:2,15 207:12 210:8 241:11 250:7,12,19 251:7 251:18,20 252:9 264:2 266:11 306:25

**issuing** [3] 9:21 95:1 225:4

item [9] 250:25 251:1,5 251:5,5,6 263:6 266:5 304:21

items [2] 158:23 297:22 itself [36] 9:19 21:8 22:13 24:3,11 25:4 30:10 31:7 38:5 40:16 43:12 46:3 46:21 47:6 63:24 70:25 79:22 89:17 106:22 112:2 127:17 128:18.21 137:15 173:3 185:11 209:4 234:9 238:11 250:5,6 256:15 267:8,19 286:7 304:11

### -J-

**Jack** [2] 162:25 163:3 **jacket** [17] 241:25 245:11 246:1 247:13 256:14 286:11.12.13 287:3 299:11 300:6 304:17,19 304:21,25 305:3,21

**iackets** [8] 240:16 241:13 241:19 245:24 299:24 301:5.8.9

**Jayro** [1] 160:13 Jayrow [1] 100:7 jeopardized [1] 26:3 jettison [1] 291:22

**iob** [6] 31:10 65:4,14 74:9 216:24 247:7

**iobs** [1] 244:24 **John** [1] 250:6

**John's** [8] 2:23 18:9,20 27:19 28:5 160:23 308:5 308:9

**journey** [1] 194:1 **Judy** [1] 308:13

July [1] 2:21

jump [2] 42:22 232:7

jumped [3] 109:10,20 111:7

**June** [3] 1:1 308:4,10 **jurisdiction** [19] 80:25 85:10 88:14 93:1 106:12 112:24 116:18,21 153:13 205:12 206:6,16 214:24 218:11,15,19 221:22 223:12 254:4

jurisdictions [24] 74:12 82:15 83:6,9 94:8 95:6 96:18 100:11 109:18 113:12 116:16 117:3 120:11 127:16 151:1,10 152:6 154:20 157:22 201:14 207:10 221:9 242:16 287:5

jury [1] 189:22

# -K-

**Karatha** [1] 160:14 **KATE** [1] 194:19 KATHERINE [1]

238:17

**keen** [2] 1:14 162:10 **keep** [13] 26:19 38:23 55:15 73:7 124:9 181:23 279:7.9 280:20.24 287:13 294:1 303:13

keeping [3] 101:4 178:1 260:24

**keeps** [1] 267:5 **kept** [1] 74:21

**Kevin** [1] 193:15 kev [42] 9:14,21 17:2,2 21:15 40:25 56:12 77:5 79:19 80:17,24 81:4 82:9 83:11 84:1,17 85:5,18

91:10 95:4 109:20 110:16 120:8 124:14 126:12 127:13 128:6,16,20 132:5 133:11 143:22 152:12 170:7 192:9 198:24 212:16 215:2 224:5

228:21,22 234:10 keved [1] 40:2 keys [2] 43:8 132:20

**Kimberlev** [7] 4:3,6 148:19 162:25 194:19 211:2 238:13

**kind** [15] 155:4 169:7 170:10 177:13 193:21 198:2 206:8 212:25 214:18 245:17,17 246:8 246:9 248:3 299:14

**kinds** [3] 156:18 241:10 282:2

Kingdom [4] 77:8 81:1 81:18 152:16

**knee** [1] 261:17

**Knight** [1] 193:15 **knowledge** [15] 32:1 55:12 64:5 65:6 100:17 141:15 160:5 171:11 174:12 202:5 204:20

215:17 216:18 222:9 288:2 **known** [4] 10:15 272:6,8

**knows** [1] 139:6

297:11

### -L-

**labelled** [1] 252:10 **laboratory** [2] 240:14 240:17

**labour** [1] 78:24 **Labrador** [10] 1:13 147:8 148:23 197:15 202:1 211:5,6 258:15,17 308:6

lack [2] 46:18 219:1 **ladies** [3] 1:3 15:19 236:7

lag [1] 182:10 laid [1] 96:17 land [2] 190:7 232:2 landing [3] 60:6 90:8

265:2 language [1] 128:12 **lap**[1] 304:18

lapse [1] 134:1

large [24] 15:2 21:21 24:25 42:9 43:2 49:15 53:3 56:21 61:5 64:24 65:24 67:23 74:14 82:20 84:14 88:22 93:8 158:3 182:11 209:5 213:17 268:21,22 270:7

larger [3] 21:3 100:10 150:7

**last** [49] 5:8,10,14 7:12 13:10,24 18:1,20 20:25 26:5 34:5 52:16 58:14 77:25 78:10 83:8.24 87:12 90:18 97:13 101:6 108:12 111:8,23 112:24 113:23 124:6 127:12 129:14 145:21,23,25 161:22 170:18,18,21 174:15 180:2 193:6 212:10 225:24 227:17 237:7 240:25 252:23 266:5 286:24 289:20 303:19

**latched** [1] 183:4 late [4] 82:10 101:6 129:14 293:18

**laterally** [1] 240:1 **latest** [3] 181:24,24 182:3 **latter** [3] 165:17 251:16 298:20

law [2] 63:24 214:14 lawyers [1] 4:22 lay [1] 76:21 layer [1] 244:22 **layered** [2] 267:13,15 **layers** [1] 267:12 **laving** [1] 220:3 **layouts** [1] 153:11 **lead** [4] 3:17,21 249:24 270:4

**leadership** [2] 131:22 202:12

**leading** [4] 17:10 35:6 38:14 190:13

**leads** [1] 195:23

**leakage** [3] 280:16 281:19,23

**learn** [5] 74:6 98:16 138:17,17 139:10

**learned** [4] 74:16 77:6 120:19 138:21

**learning** [6] 138:15 139:8,12,17,20 140:4

**least** [7] 53:16 144:17 167:16 199:5 262:19 265:22 289:3

**leave** [4] 144:23 155:11 179:25 210:13

**lecturing** [1] 11:19 **led** [4] 17:18 77:19 99:19 145:3

**left** [5] 61:25 67:7 144:22 240:23 274:10

**left-hand** [1] 252:10 **leg** [1] 261:18

**legal** [5] 18:10 162:15 169:15 171:16 178:22

**legally** [1] 169:17 **legislation** [5] 119:24 129:17 154:5 168:12 219:11

**legitimacy** [1] 23:22 **legitimate** [2] 28:20 166:23

**legs** [2] 261:17 269:24 length [1] 229:24

**lengthy** [2] 51:12 193:23 **less** [7] 264:10 269:19 275:21 284:20 287:10 289:7 306:16

**lessons** [3] 74:15 77:5 138:21

**letter** [10] 23:23,24 24:10 26:15 34:21,21,23 35:6 35:8.21

**letters** [3] 23:19 28:14 29:2

**letting** [1] 236:3

level [74] 9:10 11:20 32:1 33:3 43:14,17 44:5,5 46:4 49:6 55:1,5 64:5 65:3,6 66:13 71:12,12 74:24 76:11 81:4 85:1 87:11 95:10 97:24 98:3

102:25 104:20 107:9 108:18 109:13 111:1 120:2 121:15 122:14 127:9 128:14 141:3,5 155:17 168:15 179:2 183:21,22,23 184:3 187:14,24 189:16 192:22 200:1,5 202:14 203:8,18 204:19 214:20 221:24 231:3,5 233:13,14 258:23 261:7,12,18 264:18 280:14 281:23 283:2,22 284:4,8,25 levels [7] 141:10 188:21 199:21 202:13 244:25 277:24 278:2 **lever** [1] 306:20 **leverage** [1] 34:5 **liability** [1] 125:19 liaison [3] 85:17,23 199:18 license [3] 11:13 171:16 177:14 licenses [2] 93:3 225:4 licensing [3] 87:19 228:12 230:15 lie [1] 285:8 **life** [26] 240:15 241:13,18 241:25,25 245:11,23 246:1 247:12 256:14 286:11,12,12 287:3 299:11,24 300:6 301:5,7 301:9 304:17,19,20,24 **lifejacket** [4] 263:5 273:7 275:11 283:6 **lifejackets** [1] 283:9 **liferaft** [1] 240:13 **liferafts** [1] 241:24 **lifesaving** [3] 245:23,25 246:2 **light** [3] 143:1 245:16 305:20 **like-minded** [1] 216:2 **liked** [1] 83:18 **likely** [4] 116:16 134:15 223:16 289:7 limbs [1] 256:25 **limit** [1] 283:18 **limitations** [12] 25:5 34:16 36:6,12 37:16 69:14 78:19 131:7 144:19 149:7 233:18 236:15 **limited** [5] 93:5 168:11 294:15 297:8 301:7 **limits** [1] 283:13 **line** [5] 58:13 63:19 64:13 64:16 225:24 liner [2] 260:18 261:11 **liners** [1] 261:6 **lines** [4] 48:17 63:20 84:21 218:10 lining [3] 259:11 261:15 267:19

link [1] 253:5

**list** [30] 5:1,22 11:10 14:3 14:4 19:21 30:25,25 31:4 32:16 33:17,18,24 50:11 69:12 132:24 145:2 172:22 180:14 183:14 189:4 196:1 204:7,24 222:24 247:9 250:12 251:1 275:1 289:17 **listed** [6] 70:5 138:11 139:22 190:20,20 274:5 listen [1] 271:22 **listing** [1] 296:20 **lists** [1] 84:7 **litres** [2] 294:10 304:5 lives [2] 297:5.5 **liveware** [3] 126:4,6,6 **loaded** [1] 218:20 **loading** [1] 60:4 loads [1] 69:8 **local** [2] 2:23 160:15 **locate** [3] 291:22,25 305:23 **location** [5] 69:10 266:7 291:12 305:17 306:10 **locator** [3] 245:16 266:8 305:8 **locked** [1] 24:12 **logic** [1] 75:18 logistics [1] 9:17 **London** [2] 239:21 240:1 long-winded [1] 136:22 **longer** [5] 153:4 251:25 255:22 257:4,24 look [45] 14:22 41:19 42:20 71:7 88:2 102:17 110:20 122:5 127:3 130:3 135:8 144:1 151:25 153:13 160:4 167:21 168:4 181:11 188:7 193:4 196:1 206:17 210:7 215:21 224:13 229:14 230:5 234:20 241:3 244:24 249:4 254:20 255:11 259:8 268:18 276:24 277:9 284:25 290:25 292:7 297:2 298:5 303:25 306:7,8 looked [14] 9:14 18:4 33:17 47:9 69:23 76:24 79:22 115:22 150:19 156:14 206:2 234:7 243:1 266:24 **looking** [62] 9:15 18:5 21:15 22:13 31:10 37:16 41:13 42:1 49:23 55:16 64:21 80:3,5,11 81:12 83:5 84:24 88:1,17 91:9 97:22 99:2 102:25 103:9

296:22 297:16 300:22 303:8,10,16 looks [6] 87:20,22,24 126:4 265:13 277:19 **loop** [1] 139:2 **loose** [1] 268:7 Lord [3] 82:2 90:14 139:18 **lose** [7] 142:4,8 148:10 191:4 256:24 288:11 291:12 loss [2] 171:15 272:5 **lost** [6] 51:17 233:16

271:16 287:17 297:5,6 **lots** [1] 124:2 **love** [3] 38:17 115:23 144:5 loved [2] 81:16 233:21 **low** [5] 125:8.12 168:9 279:9 284:6 **lower** [2] 207:23 261:18 **lunch** [5] 2:15 147:23 148:1,9,16 **lung** [5] 293:23,25 294:11 294:25 304:2 lungs [2] 294:3 304:2 -M-MACDONALD [1] 146:11 **magnitude** [1] 79:23 main [5] 23:21 82:22 87:6 128:1 160:8 maintain [1] 257:2 **maintained** [1] 25:14 maintenance [4] 60:22 69:6 134:10 179:19 **major**[1] 83:16 **majority** [4] 21:14 266:14 277:23 297:10 makes [3] 110:23 255:13 259:14 male [1] 42:5 man [1] 155:13 manage [4] 104:18 140:25 174:3 226:22 managed [1] 129:4 management [103] 6:6 7:4,9,10,20,23 8:13 11:6 11:7,15,18,23,24 12:11 12:15 13:7 14:10,23 15:7 18:6,7,8 22:4 35:11 54:10,11 56:1,25 57:3,4 57:7,10,18,20,25 58:2 58:16 60:3.14.15 83:15 84:10,11 87:8 89:13 103:11,15 104:3,16 112:7 91:15,21 92:2,3 104:3,9 104:13,20 108:19 113:11 120:6 123:3 129:18 130:12,19 141:7 169:6 169:16 171:8 172:1 244:15 248:23,24 251:17 173:12 176:14 177:4 179:18 181:15 184:15,20 185:17 187:2,11 188:1,4

114:1 119:16,19,20 120:2

188:6,8,13,20 189:1,9 189:20 192:1,7,9,11,11 192:14 193:1,5,7,11,13 197:6 199:17 203:23 manager [2] 7:24 188:16 manager's [1] 11:13 **managing** [4] 192:19

225:3 226:23 227:10 **mandate** [3] 187:17 200:17 201:8

mandated [2] 104:12 182:17 **mandates** [1] 130:11

manner [1] 225:2 **manual** [6] 137:19 189:6 218:18,19 256:24 271:16 **manually** [2] 286:13 306:19

manufacture [1] 267:5 manufactured [3] 276:3 276:6.9

manufacturer 151 268:17 300:2,4,9,17

manufacturer's [1] 242:10

manufacturers [8] 261:5 269:20 276:20 277:7 298:5 299:20,22 301:6

manufacturing [1] 242:11

map [1] 183:19 mapped [1] 153:21 mapping [1] 200:6 maps [1] 153:11 March [1] 35:7 **marine** [2] 87:22 174:17 mark [2] 221:17 281:21 marked [2] 4:11 26:10 markets [1] 286:10 **Martin** [2] 194:13,14 mass [1] 219:1 **Masters** [1] 8:14 **match** [2] 141:7 223:7 **matched** [2] 127:14

**matches** [1] 233:9 **material** [15] 6:7 19:22 85:7 90:7 110:22 119:25 123:16 134:7 139:9 147:22 190:15,19,23 199:11 223:3

229:2

**matrix** [1] 219:5 matter [5] 1:24 6:21 121:24 204:17 239:4 **matters** [3] 85:11 189:17

237:7 **mature** [3] 74:12 77:12 106:14

**matures** [1] 167:15 **maturity** [5] 54:17,19 108:14 116:3 193:18 **maximum** [5] 22:17

283:12,18,23 304:7 may [56] 12:12 13:21 15:16 19:22 20:16 21:15 25:7,8,25 29:16 35:16 36:23 37:6 41:24 46:11 55:11 56:2,2 60:11 63:23 72:24 81:3 88:4 102:4 122:4,21 124:18 135:18 135:18 138:11 140:19 145:20 146:4,24 147:18 153:13 160:19 163:6 164:15,18 165:10 166:4 167:21 168:19 169:19 213:22 230:8 235:21 238:12 266:23 268:1,21 285:6 290:1 291:23,23 mean [27] 17:6 30:12

33:9 61:10,21 62:16 78:23 94:9 103:4,6 107:13 115:19 119:17 138:11 140:19 154:25 163:14 176:15 181:2 186:11 209:4 219:14 227:9 267:12 268:1 275:24 291:5

means [16] 47:23 48:6 163:13 165:3,4,8,11 166:8 176:19 261:21 269:21 285:4 289:8 290:4 306:6 308:7

meant [2] 165:13 227:4 **meantime**[1] 191:16 measure [3] 132:22 182:15 187:13

**measured** [1] 279:21 **measures** [3] 56:12 174:6 177:7

**measuring** [2] 188:6 242:12

mechanism [1] 292:1 mechanisms [1] 66:2 **media** [2] 47:17 237:19 medical [4] 63:22 97:19 214:14 244:18

meet [8] 85:13 93:10 156:7 169:13 179:13 202:6 207:25 277:11

meeting [2] 76:3 188:25 meetings [6] 18:10,23 86:3 93:12 98:1 159:5

**member** [7] 94:17,18,20 94:22,24 96:12 163:3

members [7] 15:3 20:13 27:7 64:12 210:25 242:7 253:2

**memorandum** [3] 84:18 101:7 199:23

memorandums [1] 120:12

**Memorial** [1] 147:3 mentality [2] 190:23 201:10

mention [2] 84:9 266:4 **mentioned** [37] 10:7 27:1,24 28:13 30:4 34:16 36:5 43:10 54:15 68:22 69:3 87:7 89:25 90:9,14

113:9 126:20 153:25

159:11 162:13 163:8

164:11 175:22 189:4,25

215:14 235:22 239:21

241:7,15 242:19,22

254:23 265:12 268:4

275:19 290:22 293:15

mission [4] 60:19 83:13

**mistake** [1] 134:25

mistakes [2] 133:25

mix [4] 151:2 167:16

126:2 129:21 130:7

146:18 150:9 194:22

**monitor** [1] 179:21

174:15 180:3 296:8

197:25 220:13

**Moss** [1] 308:13

297:6 304:18

**motive** [1] 48:3

**mouse** [1] 16:11

**mouth** [1] 286:19

184:8 187:8 190:22

4:8,9,10,12,13,14,24

179:17 240:4

192:22

218:8,9

most [25] 18:10 21:5

117:21 119:4 123:14

**motivate** [1] 203:20

**motivated** [1] 25:8

187:11 188:2

151:22 233:8

214:4 302:5

128:16

90:9 180:9

mitigate [2] 173:21,22

83:14,19

139:10

92:7,22 102:15 107:14 109:25 115:9,23 132:21 142:5 169:22 170:12 179:16 187:6 209:2,22 211:9 217:21 227:12 233:16 235:9,21 271:6 **mentions** [1] 157:19 merit [6] 65:5 74:4 81:9 126:24 129:14 200:6 merits [1] 295:22 message [2] 39:1 221:4 met [6] 17:22 18:17,18 106:20 202:8 296:7 **meters** [1] 278:16 methodology [4] 8:17 33:8,13 224:6 methods [1] 121:11 **Mexico** [5] 77:14 88:9 88:16 125:14 157:15 Michael [6] 8:11,12,22 27:18 28:3 40:14 **mid** [1] 67:3 middle [9] 44:14,19 164:10,13 165:2,20 166:8 166:16,19 midway [1] 71:21 **might** [31] 17:3 21:10 61:15 64:13 77:20 92:1 122:4 128:21 130:22,25 134:5 135:14 152:10 155:11 161:16 180:23 186:13 189:9 209:10 228:18 229:3 233:20 236:2 241:22 256:13 278:5,11 290:19 292:15 298:17 307:7 **milestones** [1] 169:4 military [4] 7:21 14:8 293:7,8 mils [2] 282:6,12 mind [5] 80:23 147:22 148:1 236:3 258:15 **minding** [1] 191:18 minds [1] 90:23 **mindset** [1] 189:11 mine [2] 128:24 246:13 **Minerals** [2] 87:8 89:13 minimize [1] 125:21 minimum [6] 169:12,14 179:7 253:16 283:2 303:10 **minimums** [1] 179:14 **mining** [3] 63:17 204:8 206:18 **minute** [3] 2:18 175:14 266:9 **minutes** [15] 24:4,6 25:7 28:25 35:22 86:3 144:22 147:17 209:5 236:24 237:11 255:3 256:23 257:7 272:12 mirror [1] 44:22

misled [1] 6:22

missed [2] 30:1 217:24

missing [1] 202:20

MMS [5] 76:6 87:7,19 **model** [7] 13:12 82:17 models [3] 126:2 127:13 modern [2] 22:20 288:20 moment [7] 3:3 19:25 **monitoring** [1] 176:21 months [9] 1:14 13:11 18:21 26:6 46:2 142:22 **morning** [10] 1:3,4,6,16 4:23 7:6 16:20 147:23 22:18 31:9 50:25 71:18 76:5 93:12 102:23 112:5 150:6 151:13 173:6 179:4 248:20 266:11 274:13 275:24 277:22 281:19 **MOU** [7] 92:9 101:6 104:25 200:17 201:15 **mouthpiece** [1] 293:24 move [23] 16:11 41:25 43:7 53:14 63:18 68:6 97:13 119:7,15 124:1 171:18 173:23 181:23 193:24 206:17 236:16 242:4 274:4.7 285:23 moved [4] 6:18 34:15 moves [3] 91:22 182:2 **moving** [11] 28:8 41:23 42:13 48:8,21 49:2,17 87:2 257:8 296:17 306:20 **Ms** [645] 1:4,5,20 2:8,10 3:1,15,17,19,21 4:1,6,6 5:16,21 6:2,4,4,11,24 7:5 7:6 8:21,25 9:7 10:7,24 11:3,8,11 12:1,6 13:16 13:23 15:11,13,17,20,24

15:25 16:6,10,12,16,17 17:7,13,15,20 19:2,6 20:3,5,8,10,20,22 21:9 26:13,16,23 27:2,4,10 27:12,15 28:11,16,18,21 28:23 29:5,11,13 30:7,9 30:14,16,18,20,22 33:5 33:11 34:14 35:5 36:4.9 38:2,7 39:9,12,14,20,22 39:24 40:1,4,6,9,17,19 41:3,5,8 43:6,9 44:24 45:3,13,15,17,23 46:6 46:15 50:9,13,15,18,20 51:1,12 52:6,10,12,15 53:13,18 57:17,22 58:4 58:6 61:4,7,9,11,13,17 61:19,23 62:8,13,15,21 62:23 63:1,3,6,8,12 65:12,16,18,21,23 66:3 66:5,9,11,15,17,19 67:5 67:11,13,15 68:3,7,12 68:19 69:21,25 70:23 71:5 72:13,23 73:3,11 73:13 74:3 75:2,10 76:16 76:23 78:22 79:2.13.15 80:15 81:5 85:21 86:1 86:12,15,23 87:1 88:7 88:11 89:9,14,16,18,20 89:23 90:11,21 91:17,19 92:6,13,15,21 93:19,24 94:1,4,6,13 95:18,20,22 95:25 96:2,4,6,9,11,13 96:15,20,22,25 97:2,6 98:8,13,15,17 100:13,16 101:13,18,20,22 102:11 102:14 103:3,8 104:1,5 104:7,11,24 105:3,5,9 105:11,13 106:11,17 107:12,16,18,23,25 108:2 108:20 109:1,3,5,7,9,22 109:24 111:2,6 112:10 112:14,18,21,23,25 113:16,19 114:4,7 115:8 115:18 116:7,12,14,19 117:5,9,11,16 121:17,19 122:1,6,20,22 123:19,25 124:19 127:24 128:3,5,8 132:4,7,9,17 134:6 137:4 137:22 138:9,13 139:21 139:25 140:2,5,17,23 142:18 143:3 144:12,13 145:7,16,24 146:3 148:19 148:21 149:2,10,20 150:10,18 151:17,23 153:1,8 154:8,15,22 155:10 156:21 157:6,17 159:2,17,21 160:3 161:3 161:9,14,24 162:3,9,25 163:19,25 164:20 165:5 165:16 166:9,13,17 167:18,25 168:24 169:8 169:24 170:13 171:4,10 175:6.18.24 176:4.9.25 177:10,17 178:4 179:22 180:4,11,20 182:4 184:23 185:4,12,23 186:8,16,24 191:12,21 192:5 194:5 194:18,19,19,20,21,25 195:2,7,22 196:3,5,8,10 196:13,15,19 197:8,17 197:22 198:1,4,6,13,15 198:19 201:13,19,24 202:4,16 203:1,3,6

204:21 205:8,10,24 206:1 206:13 208:2,15,17,25 209:12,16 210:15,18,21 210:25 211:2,2,3,4,16 211:18,20,24 212:1,3,19 212:22,24 213:5,7,11,20 214:1,22 215:1 216:6,10 216:12,15,17,20 217:8 217:13,15,20 218:1,5 219:6,15,17,21,23 220:4 220:6,9,11,12,16,18,22 220:24 221:3,11,14,16 221:19 222:13 223:1.9 223:13,15,18,20,22 224:17,21,23 225:9,12 225:15,17,21,23 226:1,3 226:5,7,17,19,25 227:2 227:6,8,11,16,21,23 228:1,3,6,8,20 229:6,13 230:10,21 231:8,11,13 231:16,18,20,22 232:3 232:12,18,20 233:3,17 234:17,22,24 235:2,4,8 235:17 236:4,9,11,13,24 236:25 237:2.8 258:19 259:1,6,24 260:4,8,20 262:3,9 263:12,19 265:9 265:15,21 266:3 267:1 267:10,25 269:15 270:9 270:14,20,25 271:7,13 272:1,7,24 274:1,9,18 274:22 275:23 276:17 277:21 278:9.21 279:4 279:15 280:5,9,21 281:14 282:3,9,24 284:15 285:16 285:20 286:1 288:3,19 289:16 293:6 294:17 295:6,12 296:6,14,19 299:18 300:11,15 301:20 302:1 303:3 304:13 305:10 306:15 **multiple** [3] 162:17 215:10,12

muscles [1] 256:25 must [11] 76:20 81:6 98:23 127:18 156:3 169:13 189:4,5,6 213:13 304:15

# -N-

N<sub>[1]</sub> 282:19

**name** [3] 118:7 148:21 163:3 **names** [2] 14:24 170:25 **narrowing** [1] 75:22 **nation** [1] 234:8 **nation's** [2] 106:23 110:24 national [9] 99:1,6,11 99:21 107:1 123:8 151:14 169:21 220:20 **naturally** [1] 259:18 170:3 228:25 240:13

**nature** [6] 150:24 162:15 254:2

naught [3] 255:7 277:4 278:15 navy [1] 273:17

**near** [1] 262:13 **nearest** [1] 303:14 **nearly** [3] 46:1 148:8 203:13

**necessarily** [27] 14:17 32:10 64:3 71:15 90:4 123:5 134:17 135:7,16 153:9 158:5 164:15 165:18 166:21 179:8 182:17 196:22.23 199:10 201:11,20 203:17 214:13 215:3 230:8 231:23 273:4

**necessary** [9] 73:8 114:23 155:4,5 170:22 272:20 286:18 287:6 289:14

**necessity** [1] 299:9 **neck** [2] 262:8 263:2 **need** [28] 16:1,5 47:9 73:15.19 74:20 80:21 86:18 120:5 122:17 124:9 137:1 138:23 142:10 188:21 199:4,14 200:12 200:13 204:19 207:5,6 215:7 230:13.19 237:16 301:14 303:12

**needed** [12] 25:14 37:19 48:6 217:5 251:11 252:2 252:18 255:21 284:4 286:4 289:23 303:11

**needs** [18] 39:8 121:16 181:1 187:12 188:1 191:3 199:18 200:18,20 203:19 207:3 217:6 223:8,24 231:24 234:7 259:9 301:12

**negative** [5] 140:9,11 259:13,16,22

**negligent** [1] 177:21 neighbours [1] 78:9 **Neoprene** [1] 260:12 network [3] 13:3,13 217:3

**networks** [1] 217:2 **neutons** [6] 282:20 283:1 283:10,16,20,22

**neutral** [1] 166:22 **never** [1] 258:14

**new** [19] 7:17 138:18 146:15 174:18 191:17,18 220:19 221:2 231:10 235:9,10,13 236:1 272:4 276:4,15,23 287:11 289:3

Newfoundland [21] 1:13 147:3,8 148:23 150:2,17 151:16 197:15 202:1 211:5,6 214:24 220:20 225:14 252:20.21 258:15,17,20 263:10 308:6

Newfoundlanders [1] 248:21

**news** [2] 107:19 266:23 **next** [33] 1:17 36:7 42:16 48:8 56:6,24 58:7,21 59:6 62:7,11 73:12 78:9 98:9 100:19 101:1 106:12 108:16 119:15 120:21

158:18 197:23 230:11 232:13 256:18 268:3 274:10 289:17 290:18 291:23 298:14 307:6,13 **nine** [3] 42:18,25 64:25 NL [1] 308:9 **NLOPB** [1] 79:5 **nobody** [1] 142:5 Noel 111 253:5 **non-aviators** [1] 64:3 non-compliance [1] 97:11 non-compliant [1] 103:13 **non-survival** [1] 297:14 non-survivors [1] 262:19 **NOPSA** [5] 98:25 99:7 101:8,23 105:14 **normal** [8] 22:15 44:8 49:19 131:4 133:24 183:5 197:5 279:8 **normally** [2] 268:9 286:9 north [20] 7:14 77:18 214:6 237:23 239:24 249:16 253:15 254:3 258:9,16,18,20 260:16 260:17,21 263:16 265:6 265:8 275:20 293:2 northern [1] 160:12 Norway [39] 78:3,7 95:19 96:12 106:12,18 109:8,12,20 110:1,19 111:9 115:10,16 116:1 122:8 156:15,16 167:14 167:15,15,21 168:4,5,19 169:20 170:4,16,24 173:5 193:21 221:12 229:5 264:24 265:13 268:11 276:5 294:23 296:10 Norway's [2] 108:1 168:6 **Norwegian** [14] 168:8 228:10 258:17 261:25 263:9 265:6 270:24 271:1 276:8 280:2.10.13 286:6 287:10 **nose** [1] 286:19 **note** [21] 6:9 24:21 37:15 42:14 43:12,23 45:6 48:4 52:16 64:10 67:2 70:16 76:1 78:3 84:1 99:5 124:20 153:10 193:3 253:10 296:3 **notes** [2] 122:24 124:3 **noteworthy** [1] 90:20 **nothing** [7] 27:6 135:10 140:15 152:11 207:19 210:22 217:23 notice [5] 28:15 102:12 151:12 175:21 180:7 noticed [2] 47:4,8 notices [6] 29:2.10.18 34:19 97:11,12

**noting** [1] 6:6 **notion** [4] 167:8 168:17 180:25 188:14 Nova [25] 78:8 96:7 112:24 113:1.8 115:14 116:9,17,20 150:5 151:16 156:15 201:18 203:4 217:17 220:19 224:18,24 225:7,13 226:15 227:25 230:12,25 234:18 **November** [1] 18:2 **now** [112] 4:3 5:23 7:13 11:2 13:18 16:7 19:3 20:6 21:6 23:6,9 32:4 36:5 38:3 40:18 43:7 50:1 51:7,11,25 52:7 53:14 56:10 57:18 61:5 62:1 64:21 76:17 80:16 82:13 87:14 88:8 89:24 90:2,12 91:22 92:25 95:4 97:7,8 98:18 100:17 103:18 107:13 108:1 118:14 120:9 126:23 127:2.18 130:20.23 131:18 132:10 133:1,14 133:18 134:5 135:1,5 136:15 141:7 142:22 145:2 147:17 156:14 159:16 170:16 172:11 176:19 179:4 182:8,19 182:23 189:7 193:3 195:5 199:22 208:1 210:18 231:25 234:3 236:20 238:24 239:10 240:21 242:24 243:11,25 244:13 245:7 247:9 261:15,25 263:9 264:13,22 265:25 273:21 276:3,7 277:3,6 277:9 279:16 284:18 293:19 294:12,14,19 304:18 307:11 NRC [1] 2:23 **nuances** [1] 246:4 **nuclear** [1] 125:10 number [65] 4:24 7:25 11:16 13:10 15:6 18:19 23:9 25:21 29:22 30:6 31:3,25 33:25 37:8 38:21 47:19 49:13,23 51:14 52:1 54:4 56:15 61:5 68:16 69:7 70:9,10 71:22 74:5 76:4,21 84:15 88:24 100:5 104:21 114:22.25 118:5 120:18 134:21,22 142:2 157:14 160:12 162:7,7 163:21 165:11 169:11 173:19,20 178:23 181:6 196:11 214:2.3.4 215:4,5 233:24,25 268:14 274:7 280:22 291:7 **numbered** [1] 23:8 **numbers** [12] 12:21 25:2 26:10 29:25 37:2.17 41:15 53:3 88:21 157:9 158:1 229:23 nurses [1] 63:22 **nuts** [1] 181:8 **nutshell**[1] 118:16

**-O-O'Brien** [25] 194:18,19 194:20 195:2,22 196:5 196:10,15 197:8,22 198:6 198:15 201:13,24 202:16 203:3 204:21 205:10 206:1 208:2,17 209:12 209:16 210:15 212:4

o'clock [3] 2:14,21 25:9 **objective** [1] 156:6 **objectives** [1] 38:16 **obligations** [1] 207:25 observations [7] 10:12 27:13,19,22 37:8 74:20 109:21

**observe** [1] 26:25 **observer** [1] 64:13 **observers** [1] 63:21 obvious [5] 109:18 140:19 217:23 256:18 296:23

obviously [22] 25:5 32:9 33:22 37:5 68:9 90:22 106:25 149:14 152:21 170:9 184:21 185:9 186:11 205:2 215:6 221:6 227:19 253:13 266:15 276:23 286:22 290:3

occupational [6] 87:13 87:23 116:22 117:1 218:14 231:14

occur [1] 133:25 occurred [4] 61:22 77:24 136:3 306:4

odd [1] 155:13 **off** [14] 10:21 31:3 34:5 41:9 60:6 145:3 179:20 190:6 193:19 212:21 221:17 239:18 265:22 270:21

occurs [1] 235:8

offered [3] 268:14 277:24 281:1

**offering** [1] 268:15 **offers** [1] 268:17 office [6] 7:11 8:21 26:8 39:11,19 40:13

office-based [1] 130:24 officer [5] 8:12 10:22 27:18 37:10 234:21

offices [2] 7:14,17

official [1] 169:3 **offshore** [71] 1:11 5:7 6:13 20:24 22:16 34:2 35:12.18 37:17.21 41:10 41:14 42:18 64:25 73:21 75:15 76:17,25 78:15 81:20 82:7 83:17 84:20 86:7 87:5 93:9,14 98:2 98:21 99:1 106:7 111:16 114:19 116:25 127:21 139:13 148:25 150:3 151:6 154:7 158:21 159:20 181:3 196:20 197:16 208:8,22 209:23

219:12 224:25 225:4,5 226:11,12,20,22 227:10 235:12 240:6 241:6,16 244:18 252:19.21 289:14 289:20 293:10 298:1 often [7] 154:14 177:20 224:5,7 273:4 306:5,19 **OHS** [3] 218:14,16,21 oil [74] 6:13,17,23 19:17 28:13,14 29:2 34:19 35:15 60:20 76:25 77:11 78:15 79:23 81:20 83:17 86:6,7 87:6 88:19 92:19 93:9,14,17 98:2 99:9 100:4,8 106:7 107:2 111:18,22 112:12,16,20 114:19 116:25 121:20 126:3 127:21 134:18 135:3,9 137:7 138:7 139:2.13 145:14 146:8 177:15 181:3,4 187:20 196:21 198:12 199:2,25 201:15,16 202:22 206:8 206:10 208:10,12 209:23 213:8.12 214:5 215:10 215:11 243:4,7,7,12

210:1 211:6 214:15

**oilfields** [1] 162:13 **old** [8] 106:3 188:11.12 243:11 272:8 275:16 276:2 283:19

once [17] 3:20,23 6:17 16:9 28:12 35:22 39:16 42:25 78:12 142:2 169:18 205:4 257:18 259:17 260:2 286:13 287:13

oncoming [1] 288:8

one [210] 2:15 4:11 16:24 16:24 21:1,5 24:21 25:16 28:13,19 29:14 34:15 36:11,15 37:8 41:6 42:1 44:12.15.25 45:4.5 46:7 47:16 49:23 51:7,14 52:16 54:23 55:11 56:12 56:20 61:15 62:7 64:22 65:2 66:23 67:6 68:2 70:9 71:3,19 78:10,25 82:9 84:1,17 85:4,14 87:6,12 88:4 92:23 95:4 95:15 99:5 100:8.23 101:2,24 102:20 104:25 105:14 108:8 109:10,16 109:20 110:17 114:8.22 115:20 118:11 120:8 121:6,14 122:7 123:22 124:5 126:2,15,17 127:18 128:22 129:5,6 133:18 134:21 135:23 138:14,20 140:24 141:1,21 142:2 144:15 148:8 149:23 150:8 151:1 152:15.19 153:24 155:8 157:4 158:10,12 160:13,19 161:13 163:12,16 164:8 164:18,18 165:3,14,23 165:25 166:6 173:14,19 176:5 178:24 181:6 182:19 184:1,10,10 187:5 188:8 192:9 193:19 194:2 198:7,21 204:22 205:1 205:15 208:6 209:18

212:3,6,10 214:3 215:2 215:4,8,10,11,15 217:18 219:18 220:1 221:17,20 222:11 224:19 225:19 228:19,21 229:14 230:24 233:21 235:8 237:19 242:24 243:1 244:23 245:2 252:9 254:4 256:18 257:12 259:7 261:7 262:19 264:1 265:22 266:12,15 269:7 271:1 274:13,23 275:5,13 276:3 276:5,8,25 278:13,14,22 279:5 280:22 284:16,17 291:7 296:3,18,23 297:23 298:14 300:18 301:11 302:2 303:12,14,19 306:16

one's [1] 255:4 ones [6] 76:18 84:9 94:10 152:24 167:10 305:25

**ongoing** [3] 13:4 242:24 287:21

**onshore** [1] 151:6 onto [5] 3:9 60:4 183:4 215:21 287:12

**open** [15] 10:3 26:5 32:13 33:1 50:3 53:9 56:10,17 56:23 110:23 123:1 131:10 134:12 135:16 140:11

**open-ended** [1] 50:11 **opened** [3] 26:10 39:23 133:6

opening [1] 142:11 openness [2] 56:13 135:23

**operate** [3] 6:24 75:8  $\bar{2}92:1$ 

**operates** [1] 94:2 **operating** [13] 7:16 67:12 92:24 95:1 100:11 150:23.25 153:12 160:8 178:1 185:3 192:2 207:24

**operation** [9] 58:23 64:20 91:11 114:22 152:19 169:4,16 222:22 259.3

**operational** [8] 60:3,13 60:15,23 69:16 130:19 131:1 197:2

**operations** [21] 7:20 31:12 32:25 43:11 44:4 46:23 52:24 62:5 64:6 66:7 69:19 70:13 80:1 85:16 114:5.10 153:14 154:2 160:21 168:21 225:1

operator [20] 93:23 114:21 135:17 141:13,19 160:10 169:13,18 173:25 187:18.19.20.21.23 201:16 213:18 215:9,10 222:21,24

**operator's** [1] 94:9 **operators** [43] 28:14 29:3 34:19 35:15 82:22 84:15,16 86:6 88:24,25 89:2 93:4,22 100:6,8,11 111:22 112:12,15,16 113:6 120:15 121:3,21 145:15 146:8 157:20,24 157:25 158:4 160:13 198:9,12 213:8,12 215:4 215:5 219:12 241:6 243:8 243:10,11,18

**opinion** [10] 75:6 163:16 165:14,19 180:24 189:24 195:17 239:5,15 249:9

**opinions** [3] 35:12 43:20 209:25

**OPITO** [3] 243:20,25 244:12

**opportunities** [1] 32:20 **opportunity** [13] 1:23 3:19,25 17:23 18:9 29:18 32:7,11 70:7 80:8 124:4 209:8 249:4

**opposed** [24] 36:17 58:1 64:12 98:5 103:23 108:6 119:3 123:9 151:15 156:3 156:10 157:2 159:8 173:1 175:13 189:13 215:11 216:19 221:17 222:8 226:20 229:1 297:9 306:14

opted [2] 165:23 195:16 options [2] 190:7 286:5

**orally** [1] 286:9

**oranges** [1] 186:3 **order** [8] 5:23 9:13 59:5 135:3 141:9 219:10 238:9

organization [36] 7:13 12:7,18 13:1,25 21:1 29:9 43:13 56:9 57:4,24 58:12,16,19,24 59:10,11 62:3 94:12,15 103:13 108:25 130:11 155:9 183:20 188:15 192:23 193:6 195:21 227:18 229:9 233:14 243:5 244:2

244:4,13 **organization's** [3] 54:12 130:4 166:5

organizational [22] 5:5 10:13 19:20 31:24 80:4 80:5 99:14 102:25 103:1 104:17 120:17 123:6 124:12,22 129:2 143:5 222:4 229:16 230:5 232:10 233:1 234:5

organizational-based
[1] 103:14

**organizations** [15] 13:6 13:8 14:1,19 62:18 74:18 80:7 89:7 125:7,19 129:1 131:20 216:2 229:17 306:3

organize [1] 143:8 organized [1] 194:22 orientate [1] 291:16 orientation [1] 287:22 orientations [1] 303:17 oriented [2] 172:6 222:20

original [3] 40:12 279:10

**originally** [3] 76:24 100:2 279:6

**ORM** [1] 60:15 **Otherwise** [1] 300:22

ought [1] 176:13 ours [1] 262:1

**ourselves** [3] 138:16 179:21 181:12

**outcome** [16] 118:21 119:1,3,12 120:7 125:13 138:7 155:23 156:5 167:9 172:7 173:2,24 191:6 201:3,6

outcome-based [4] 102:4,8 118:16 120:4 outcoming [1] 92:4 outlined [1] 233:17 outlines [3] 55:24 84:21 171:14

**outputs** [1] 85:5 **outside** [3] 161:20 196:23 203:14

**overall** [7] 41:20 43:25 44:10 71:6,17 290:12 299:13

**overcome** [2] 291:7 292:19

overlap [1] 88:3 overlooked [1] 224:5 overseeing [1] 55:1 oversight [50] 6:13,20 18:4 55:2,5,12,14,18,21 56:5 73:23 75:18 76:13 80:4,10,13,20 81:24 82:4 82:17 83:10 84:13,13 87:6,11,17 90:10 91:7,9 93:4 96:24 99:6,10,11 99:22 102:9,12 107:6 108:11 113:2,14 114:6 114:10 117:13,25 118:13 123:9 154:2 156:25

204:18 **overview** [22] 6:19 12:2 14:6 16:13 17:17 19:19 21:11 52:13 74:24 76:11 79:18 80:21 81:4,22 114:15 128:15 143:20 224:20 225:7 226:21 228:4 286:2

**own** [17] 10:25 92:17 99:10 100:9 105:21 106:9 167:4 170:11,17 186:12 199:3,12,13 202:22 215:22 216:19 270:19

#### -P-

P.M [1] 307:20 P00212 [2] 238:4,20 P00213 [2] 238:5,20 P00214 [2] 238:7,20 pace [1] 16:11

**Pacific** [1] 15:3

**pads** [1] 90:8

**page** [46] 4:19 11:2 13:18 13:19 23:7 42:13 44:1 47:1,2 49:3,17 52:19 54:22,22 56:7,7 58:9,22 59:6,6 68:20 70:2 114:13 153:25 158:13 161:4 163:24 164:1 166:4 168:6 168:7,20 169:21 176:17 180:7 195:12 219:7 220:7 220:12 224:20 226:2,4 228:4 232:13 249:18 252:13

**pages** [8] 4:20 35:6 38:14 52:1 127:12 128:1,2 144:9

paid [1] 217:1 Panel [1] 11:17 paper [20] 10:7 21:4 53:22 54:15 56:15 72:7 72:8 74:21 87:15 124:11 127:8 128:11 132:1 180:7 187:6 219:8,25 220:7 228:17 233:21

**papers** [3] 126:15 143:10 231:25

**para** [1] 170:18 **paragraph** [9] 154:1 158:14,18 169:2 170:2 170:19 225:24 228:9 230:11

**paragraphs** [1] 167:22 **parallels** [3] 68:11 115:25 117:8

Parliament [2] 163:4,4
part [50] 12:18 27:16
31:8,11,15,20 35:9 42:1
43:7,10,18 44:3 45:11
52:17 53:14,19 54:4
56:24 58:7 65:4 67:19
68:4,9 85:24 94:11
108:24 112:20 132:24
141:22 170:7 172:10
178:1 195:23,25 197:5
213:9 214:10 242:19
252:5 263:24 265:19
272:18 275:9 277:22
298:17 301:15 302:16,22
304:20 307:13

**participant** [1] 32:7 **participants** [4] 32:16 41:11 61:25 195:4

participating [1] 64:18 particular [33] 10:2 14:6 22:11 32:14 57:21 59:2 66:1 72:5 75:15,23 77:14 80:12 98:24 110:3 118:18 126:14 142:19 150:22 171:25 186:4 191:10 198:7 203:5 204:25 231:2 232:23 246:11,13 249:1 257:21 264:4 297:23 298:23

particularly [18] 31:23 55:22 72:6 83:10 124:23 144:6 173:13 209:21 241:17 245:20 253:22 256:6 268:6,23 287:16 291:8 292:4 298:19 **parties** [13] 2:12 3:18,25 4:14 6:1 15:16,21 18:11 23:4,12 29:10 85:24 146:5

partly [2] 265:2 268:13
partner [1] 7:17
parts [6] 31:7 33:19
63:18 206:3,14 301:18

**party** [2] 145:4 184:6 **pass** [2] 4:25 80:22

**passenger** [15] 5:3 9:12 19:14 20:19 31:14 35:9 64:17 66:21 69:7 175:16 195:10 273:5,14 275:6 275:25

**passengers** [17] 24:2 35:17 49:8 60:4 64:9,11 64:21 131:9 195:9 210:2 252:3,19 272:20,21 305:14,16 306:4

**passive** [1] 193:18 **past** [5] 6:18 33:7 34:6 247:24 288:21

patch [2] 282:11,14 patens [1] 69:8 path [1] 48:4 patient [1] 218:23 patients [1] 218:20 patterns [3] 117:15,18 143:22

pay [1] 207:23 peaked [2] 54:24 55:8 peer [1] 215:18 Peet [1] 308:5 penalized [3] 134:13,17

140:16 penalizing [1] 142:16

171:16 178:22 **penalty** [4] 135:22 136:17 171:15 178:21

**penalties** [3] 110:14

Pens [1] 24:11

people [123] 1:9 6:22 8:4 9:4 20:2.17 22:18 25:19 25:24,25 28:1 29:16,19 30:1 36:12 37:4,6,11 40:21 41:20 43:3 44:10 44:13 45:5 47:7 48:13 49:25 51:17,21,24 52:25 53:1,22 54:3,7 55:11 56:2,19,22 57:8 60:25 62:1 64:8 66:25 67:22 68:16 69:9,9 71:6 76:4 102:5 106:20 112:13 117:18 122:19 125:15 126:5,5,6,6,7,7,12 127:1 127:3 133:15 134:1,12 134:20 135:6,14 140:12 141:10 142:4,13 155:16 155:20 159:8 163:11 166:20 190:3,4 191:17 192:12 193:1 195:11 197:18 208:10,11,13 209:17,22 213:15 215:18 219:5 222:7 229:23 230:1 234:15 237:24 244:6 246:11 248:2,9 254:25

261:9 264:10,19,20 283:14 288:11,25 290:8 290:15 291:10,18 292:8 292:18 293:2 296:3 297:18 305:22 306:10

**people's** [9] 23:1 43:20 57:12 71:9 129:25 130:17 131:12 257:1 298:8

per [2] 42:18 278:16 perceived [1] 43:22 percent [48] 12:20 13:14 41:12,18 42:4 44:10,13 44:14 47:7,25,25 48:1 49:8,22 50:1 53:12 55:3 55:6,6,9,19 57:8,9 58:18 59:2,3,3,13,14,15,16 60:25 61:15,16,20,24 62:10 68:1 71:19,20,21

**percentage** [13] 29:25 38:1 42:10 43:2 56:20 56:22 57:5 64:24 65:25 67:23,25 86:20 197:12

106:23 110:24 169:20

184:6,12 203:14 214:9

**perception** [4] 43:15,23 143:16 229:11

perceptions [1] 22:8 perfectly [1] 1:10 perform [2] 156:8 246:11

performance [40] 82:12 82:16 102:3,8 120:4 155:19,22 156:5 167:9 167:23 172:2,6,15 173:24 201:2 219:19 221:1,25 242:12 246:19,20 273:3 277:15,16,18,24 278:2 280:23 286:16,23 296:21 297:21 298:5,21,22 302:18,21 303:19 304:16 304:24

performance-based [10] 108:11 113:14 118:13,14,20 119:1,12 120:7 121:9 211:14

performances [1] 242:2 perhaps [23] 46:7,10 117:12 137:12 150:7 151:20 158:11 161:13 239:10 243:18 245:8,10 250:4 254:13 261:2 266:22 274:7 282:21 285:4,23 292:18 297:17 307:8

**period** [19] 18:1 21:20 22:14 24:20 29:15,17 34:1 35:14 37:7 38:11 41:22 193:23 240:20 255:19 257:7 265:1 285:13 292:6 296:2

periodically [1] 105:15 periods [1] 249:1 permanent [1] 107:3 person [12] 135:2 136:7 136:8 218:24 239:15 249:8 268:21 279:10 287:13,16,22 293:22 personal [13] 10:25

Index Page 16

June 28, 2010 159:9 189:24 239:7 245:8 245:22 246:3,15 252:2 252:17 266:7 305:5,8 persons [2] 114:23 115:1 perspective [22] 4:2 10:25 17:5 23:5 26:2 38:23 42:15 50:23 55:16 71:24 74:21 91:3 98:19 100:21 111:8 126:1 140:20 144:3.21 179:9 202:18 236:12 Peter [1] 253:5 **Petiolate** [1] 228:10 petrochemical [1] 125:11 **petroleum** [31] 5:7 20:24 73:21,25 75:8,15 76:17 77:11 86:7 89:5 92:20 93:9 97:5 98:16,21 99:1 105:1 106:14 107:7 109:12 115:10 125:9 149:1 168:21 170:3 173:15 176:19 200:18 211:7 220:14 253:6 **Ph.D** [2] 239:21 248:14 **phase** [6] 232:7 242:13 256:20 269:6 276:12 305:18 **PhD** [1] 8:15 **PHI's** [1] 89:5 91:3 116:5 172:3,16

philosophical [7] 74:17 212:15 224:9

philosophy [10] 15:9 83:23 101:24 110:10,17 138:23 193:18 202:11 222:2 224:12

**phone** [1] 29:22 **phrase** [1] 168:9 **physical** [2] 258:13

**physically** [1] 207:17 **physiologist** [1] 239:19 **physiology** [1] 239:20 **pick** [9] 32:8 123:6 136:6

138:14 171:20 174:4 220:1 222:11 306:10 picked [5] 13:12 47:17

228:22 273:15 305:23

**picture** [2] 87:6 110:6

**pie** [1] 40:7

**piece** [14] 75:19 143:6,18 152:19 187:17 188:1 193:2 269:9 290:7 292:23 298:18 305:5,11,13

**pieces** [3] 68:15 189:8 299:15

**pilot** [5] 8:10 86:4 135:18 159:13 275:25

**pilot's**[1] 194:17 pilots [17] 112:15 190:3 195:5,13 197:1,14 200:2 200:3 209:17 210:4 252:3 252:19 272:22,23 273:9 273:16 306:1

**pinpoint** [1] 224:14

**pipelines** [1] 177:5 **Piper** [4] 82:1,10 99:17 139:17

**pitch** [1] 34:9

**place** [65] 23:15,21 42:16 51:9 57:5,8 58:2 59:21 75:21 77:19 80:2,13 82:4 83:7 84:5,16 85:2 91:10 91:24 94:24 95:13 98:7 101:5 102:24 103:2 104:18 106:2,6 107:6 108:7 113:15,21 121:16 121:16 123:10.15 137:25 138:24,24 140:21 141:6 141:12,14,16,24 144:20 173:20 174:6 178:15,18 186:23 188:17,17 201:22 202:15 204:16 207:4 214:19 223:8,24 230:2,5 273:25 307:8 308:5

**placed** [3] 23:25 24:12

plan [9] 91:12 101:16,17 104:16 113:17,18 123:5 223:12,17

plane [2] 66:24 282:5 planned [3] 102:20 103:5 176:23

**planning** [1] 222:6 **plans** [9] 91:13 113:22 113:25,25 129:19 168:23 170:11,24 177:4

**platform** [4] 42:24 47:22 262:14 264:22

**play** [3] 178:23 192:8 223:6

**played** [1] 20:17 **player** [1] 81:19 players [4] 1:24 78:6 112:4 213:19

**plays** [1] 193:2

PLBs [2] 266:8 306:4

**pleasure** [2] 144:4 237:20

plus [4] 42:10 108:12 253:20 293:9 pockets [1] 100:3

**point** [31] 22:12 30:23 31:6 39:2 46:4 51:16 52:9 72:5 76:2 100:24 136:15,16 143:17 208:23 209:16 210:2,3,5 219:3 223:23 230:15,16 231:1 236:16 249:6 251:11 261:20 263:21 268:3 298:25 299:9

**pointed** [3] 72:15 152:21 221:12

points [12] 43:8 80:24 81:4 109:21 114:14 124:20 128:1,7 132:5,20 204:15 210:5

**policies** [2] 54:12 57:13 policy [2] 137:19 189:6 **poor** [2] 166:6 167:1 **pop** [2] 24:16 252:15

**portion** [2] 80:23 307:6 **ports** [1] 160:15

posed [1] 251:17

**position** [5] 165:2 178:25 240:4 257:2 287:14

positions [2] 11:19 37:24 positive [14] 27:24 39:1 128:23 129:11,24 138:20 140:7.10.18 141:25 259:16 260:2 261:20 283:6

**positives** [1] 41:1 **possibility** [1] 255:11 possible [6] 19:21 25:15 145:20 162:19 168:16 302:22

possibly [13] 55:18 62:10 92:1 127:14,16 134:25 268:10 269:19 274:12 277:9 285:17,19 291:9

post [1] 29:23

post-graduate [2] 11:20 12:23

**posted** [1] 31:4 potential [4] 107:20 170:4 290:24 298:24

potentially [4] 32:22 226:9 271:17 300:1

**pours** [1] 135:3

**power** [9] 63:19,20 64:12 64:16 89:22,25 99:23 117:22,22

**PowerPoint** [6] 5:9,9 16:8,10 238:8,15

**practicable** [1] 168:10 **practical** [7] 124:10 125:5 135:23 140:20 215:14 224:10 234:14

**practice** [7] 57:14 134:8 172:17 179:8 216:4 222:4 224.12

**practices** [20] 74:4,8 75:23 76:14 77:10,20 78:17 85:8 124:14 125:2 127:15 128:16 130:16 131:4 136:25 137:3 143:16 144:7 152:10 159:7

**practise** [8] 15:10 19:20 108:15 182:21 190:17 212:18 215:17,23

**practises** [7] 5:5 99:3 122:2 195:20 199:14 201:5 212:7

**practising** [2] 7:24 11:13 **precise** [1] 250:6 predominantly [6] 33:23 48:22 77:17 99:12

178:10 195:9 **prefer** [1] 47:21 **preference** [1] 147:19

**preferred** [2] 278:12 283:23

**prepare** [2] 35:17 297:18 prepared [5] 7:3 124:17

238:9 251:8,10 **preparing** [1] 128:9 **prescribe** [1] 207:16 **prescription** [5] 155:18 156:10 188:14 190:24

193:13

**prescriptive** [15] 118:23 119:2,8 154:19,25 168:19 172:9 174:10,22 179:10 187:16 188:7 201:2 205:19 231:10

prescriptive-based [1] 102:7

presence [2] 15:2 213:18 **present** [10] 72:6 77:23 145:6,8,10 270:2 276:1 302:11 303:4 304:14

presentation [20] 3:24 16:8,14,18 75:1,12 76:10 83:21 128:10 144:8 167:7 183:1 199:9 238:8,15 250:5 252:11 265:19 302:24 303:1

presented [3] 129:13,13 132:1

**presenters** [2] 156:20 158:13 **presenting** [1] 295:19

**presents** [1] 5:16 **pressing** [1] 306:20 pretty [8] 78:24 107:5 119:13 121:14 180:19 288:20 294:19 297:19

**prevent** [5] 83:16 138:6 139:7 182:16 288:10

**prevented** [1] 168:11 **preventing** [1] 269:22 **prevention** [1] 248:15 previous [4] 62:3 75:11

previously [2] 69:3 277:6

83:20 253:5

**primarily** [10] 203:11 240:2.6.15 241:13 242:7 268:20 271:9 294:6 296:24

primary [5] 11:12 14:18 92:24 96:23 160:9

**principle** [2] 155:22 173:16

**principles** [4] 18:6 84:6 198:21 254:14

**printed** [1] 23:9 **priorities** [2] 226:10 285:8

priority [3] 114:24 181:6 284:18

**Pritchard** [36] 147:9,13 147:20 148:3,14,19,20 148:22 149:4,12,22 150:12 151:11,19 152:13 153:3,23 154:10,17,24 156:13 157:3,8 158:9 159:15,19,23 160:24 161:5,11,21 162:1,5,20 162:24 235:21

**PRITCHETT** [1] 146:9 **private** [2] 26:20 90:4 proactive [5] 85:3 181:17 192:17,21 193:1 **problem** [12] 255:12 259:19 264:2 265:3 269:16,23 272:15 285:15 288:18 299:17,20 303:23

problems [10] 239:23 257:1 261:8 264:4 269:1 290:18 291:19 292:2,19 301:18

**procedural** [3] 4:2 136:4 138:5

**procedure** [2] 1:10 3:14 **procedures** [12] 48:11 60:5 65:10 115:3,4 131:6 136:25 138:2 177:25 223:7 224:3 240:12

process [39] 9:1,5 25:18 27:20,21 28:1,3,8 30:1 35:10 37:3 38:12 58:16 71:14 102:13 120:6 137:11 141:23 171:19 172:23,25 173:9 174:2 174:13,22 175:3 178:8 190:1 193:14 221:7,17 222:8 224:16 242:14,15 295:4,11 296:1 300:16

process/procedure [1] 118:25

**processes** [6] 57:13 122:10 126:25 142:13 144:18 183:8

procurement [1] 59:22 **produce** [2] 177:15 299:22

**produced** [1] 85:10 **produces** [2] 300:2,17 **producing** [1] 299:24 **production** [11] 85:6 87:19 91:6,12 101:17 108:8 113:18,25 117:23 123:16 152:5

**products** [2] 12:3,8 professional [2] 7:19 216:24

professionals [1] 72:1 **Professor** [1] 288:4 **profile** [9] 60:18,19 97:15,21 101:5 107:1 127:11 204:4,14

**profiled** [1] 108:5 **profiles** [2] 12:13 60:23 **program** [14] 13:2,5,10 13:13 158:20 180:10 183:11.19 188:11.19 192:10 200:10,11 293:15

**programs** [7] 11:24 12:15 13:8 14:10 18:16 125:5 179:19

**progressive** [1] 108:10 **project** [3] 242:19 302:7 302:14

**projects** [5] 12:10 14:21 240:10 242:22 243:2

**prominent** [1] 34:4 promotes [1] 225:2 **promoting** [7] 226:12 226:14,20,24 227:4,5 232:17

**proper** [1] 210:11 **properly** [3] 134:2 262:18,24

**proposal** [1] 243:21 **proposals** [1] 244:17 **proposed** [1] 91:24 **proposing** [1] 168:3 **proposition** [1] 167:13 prosecutions [1] 177:7 **protect** [7] 257:12 259:19 283:7 284:5 286:19 294:6

**protected** [1] 255:5 **protecting** [1] 256:21 **protection** [24] 184:11 254:24 255:9 256:2.12 256:18 257:5,24 258:2 260:19 264:1,17 266:13 266:25 277:3,24 278:3 280:4,11 284:4 285:1,2 286:21 290:11

297:2

**protective** [9] 159:9 239:7 245:8,22 246:3,15 252:2.18 254:19

**provide** [37] 6:25 9:1 16:25 17:23 18:1 19:12 36:13 46:24 51:5 52:3 109:13 113:7 114:15 117:6 121:4,7 127:9 128:14 152:17 172:10 179:2 183:9 184:11,12 188:25 219:10 226:8 256:1,11 266:25 267:13 269:21 277:3 284:19 285:2.5 290:11

**provided** [24] 9:6 12:4 14:5 23:19 24:1,9 25:21 26:14 28:14 32:7 37:22 45:21 54:20 72:3 141:18 190:18 196:23 258:22 263:24 264:16 266:13,18 271:18 281:3

**provider** [2] 135:18 174:1

**providers** [1] 215:12 **provides** [9] 32:9 54:17 74:23 81:15 143:7,24 260:7 267:9 283:4

**providing** [10] 120:24 183:6 208:11 255:8,24 259:10 261:2 263:25 267:7 286:15

**province** [3] 148:22 208:9 234:8

**provision** [1] 121:1 **PSA** [5] 107:7 108:5 112:19 115:9 168:21

**public** [14] 1:12,13 2:7 17:3 60:20 79:11 82:2 93:12 99:18 110:22 122:15 170:4 179:5 228:25

publication [1] 247:9 publications [1] 4:16 **publicity** [1] 86:21 **publicly** [3] 10:10 74:22 225:10

**published** [6] 11:21 193:6 302:6,10,12,20 **publishing** [1] 10:1 **pull** [3] 40:18 263:22 292:14

**punished** [2] 134:13,17 **punishment** [2] 133:18 136:17

**punitive** [1] 135:6 pure [5] 21:4 77:18 104:15 293:25 294:23

**purely** [3] 164:4 214:15 235:19

**purpose** [11] 124:12 127:8 136:8,9,10 156:1 218:4,6 229:17 247:6 289:6

purposefully [1] 133:20 purposely [1] 135:2 **purposes** [1] 238:2 push [3] 161:16 179:12 264:19

**pushed** [1] 292:16 **pushing** [3] 288:25 289:1 289:1

put [49] 24:15 26:18 32:11 38:25 42:14 48:3 55:10 56:1 63:20,22 66:22 91:11 113:21 135:7 135:17 138:23 140:13 141:12,13,16 142:6,11 151:2 162:10 165:19,24 167:11 174:5 178:15 186:1.22 199:19 205:17 213:24 218:24 238:4 243:20 244:6,16 246:18 246:19 270:22 272:18 278:22 281:20 283:11 284:18 301:1,5

puts [2] 233:8 300:24 **putting** [4] 141:17 170:17 206:11 270:18

### -0-

**Q.C** [154] 146:11,16 147:4 162:25 163:1,23 164:17,22 165:7 166:3 166:11,15,24 167:20 168:2 169:1,10 170:1,15 171:6 174:25 175:8,20 176:1,6,11 177:2,12,19 179:15,24 180:6,13,22 184:18,25 185:6,14,25 186:10,18 191:8,14,23 194:3.9 237:15 238:23 240:19 241:9 243:6,16 243:24 244:5 245:6,15 246:6,24 247:8,21 248:1 248:8,13,19 249:2,15,22 250:3.18.23 251:4.19.24 252:6,12 253:9 254:1,8 254:12 257:10,17,23

258:4,12,24 259:4,21 260:1,6,15 261:24 262:5 263:8,14 265:5,11,17,24 266:21 267:3,20 269:4 270:3,12,16,23 271:4,11 271:21 272:3,17 273:20 274:6,16,20 275:17 276:13 277:13.25 278:18 279:2,12,24 280:7,15 281:8,25 282:7,17 284:10 285:11,18,22 287:20 288:16 289:11 292:24 294:13 295:1,8,24 296:11 296:16 299:7 300:8,13 301:13,22 302:25 304:9 305:4 306:11 307:5,18

qualification [1] 11:12 qualifications [2] 11:10 12:24

qualified [2] 155:16 239:15

**qualify** [1] 248:23 **quality** [1] 193:10 **quantifies** [1] 187:24 quantitative [1] 59:23 **quarter** [3] 148:8,9,12 questioned [1] 1:17 questionnaire [1] 163:7 questions [84] 2:1,2,3 15:17.22.23 30:10.11.13 31:12,25 32:5,6 33:14 33:20 40:24 43:16 44:25 47:18 48:9,10,17,22

49:11,13,19 52:18 54:5

54:9 56:24 57:11.23 58:7 58:10 60:10.10 61:14 64:23 68:10,13 71:18,22 72:14 73:17 132:25 133:1 133:7 137:12 142:20 144:13 145:12,14 146:2 146:18,22 147:5,10,12 147:14,16,18,21 148:23 149:5,18 152:14 162:21 163:5 165:23 194:10,15 194:23 209:14 210:19 211:8 212:20 235:5 238:10 242:25 249:7,11

251:17 254:15 302:3 quick [5] 6:18 209:3 296:25 297:20 303:12

quickly [8] 41:25 142:5 271:17 281:6 290:16,20 291:5 296:18

quietly [1] 28:2 quite [74] 3:3 9:23 27:25 33:21 39:6 41:25 43:2 47:6 51:25 53:22 70:3 70:16 77:11 87:18 99:20 100:2 105:17 113:12 125:1 126:9,9 135:1 150:6 154:14 157:10 158:3,5 159:3 160:18,21 162:15 164:5 172:24 173:21 176:16 178:12 182:11 190:1.5 193:22 193:24 194:4 210:9 214:10 215:20 224:11 230:7 232:5 236:13 241:5 241:6 242:4,17 244:1

247:20 256:5 257:21 261:19,21 262:22,24 263:21 264:7 273:4,15 274:2 275:7 282:11,13 283:20 285:5 287:4 306:5 306:19

## -R-

**R.K** [1] 237:20 radar [2] 100:23 185:3 rail [2] 119:6 174:17 raise [2] 133:3 134:15 raised [3] 45:10 244:17 303:20

raising [2] 187:10 209:17 ran [1] 27:21

range [10] 12:10 18:3,22 59:20 112:4 154:5 157:12 183:7 212:5 301:7

ranging [1] 241:5 ranking [1] 81:12 rate [10] 21:21 25:11 38:1 41:12,18 58:18 71:11 146:2 166:5 297:10

rates [1] 59:24 rather [10] 118:17 257:7 262:1 270:1,7,11 277:18 280:1,1 296:2

rating [3] 44:12 47:10 50:5

raw [4] 50:16 51:18 68:15 71:8

**re-examined** [1] 83:9 **re-released** [1] 108:16 **reactive** [2] 192:14,21 read [17] 34:24 39:8 40:22 52:13 68:17 83:12 99:15 114:14 115:15 122:19 128:2,13 132:11 132:13 144:15 157:9

readily [3] 135:19 182:14 182:20

230:24

**reading** [3] 19:21 108:3 170:23

**reads** [7] 35:8 44:4 47:21 54:25 56:8 58:24 226:8

**ready** [5] 20:6 142:10,12 148:18 236:25

real [7] 43:21 74:1,4 85:9 102:16 125:4 289:23

realistic [2] 215:15 297:23

reality [2] 43:24 143:16 really [146] 8:16 10:14 12:16 14:2,22 16:22 20:2 21:14,22,24 22:2,7 25:14 25:17 26:1 28:8 31:1,20 32:19 33:2 34:10 36:16 37:18 41:18 42:14,23 43:10,16,20 44:17 45:6 48:10 50:6 51:4,13,19 52:2,3 54:11,24 55:8,15 58:15 60:7 63:13 65:14 67:3,20 69:17 70:20 71:7

71:8,11 72:3,5 74:20 75:21 78:1 79:24 80:2 81:11,25 82:25 83:7,18 84:23 85:18 89:4 99:19 99:23 101:11 102:25 104:16 106:7 108:11 109:10 110:1,11 111:15 112:6 115:24 116:3 118:1 119:18 121:20 122:8,9 123:11,14 124:25 126:9 126:10,12,23 143:15,18 150:21 152:1,9,12 155:21 157:20 160:4.20 161:19 164:2 171:18,23 178:23 182:24 187:10 189:18 190:12,15 193:18 194:1 195:11 196:12,20 199:3 199:18 205:19 207:14 208:3,9,20 209:19,20,25 212:11 213:10,17 215:13 215:18 216:3 217:21 218:9,25 228:22 229:1 234:7 254:17 257:11 265:19 272:22 286:2

rear [1] 3:10

reason [12] 2:4 23:21 33:8 56:10 67:18 78:13 140:14 162:11 187:10 245:2 289:6 302:16

**reasonably** [2] 168:9 186:22

**reasoning** [1] 263:24 **reasons** [2] 55:11 74:6 **rebreather** [9] 293:17 293:21,25 294:20,23 295:20 303:25 304:20,23

**rebreathers** [2] 295:22 303:8

rebreathing [1] 294:25 recap [2] 38:8 211:23 **receipt** [1] 26:9 receive [2] 4:23 115:2 received [10] 4:21 25:16 28:9 36:15 38:8 48:20

50:3,25 57:9 69:20 recent [3] 90:23 113:4 292:25

recently [10] 15:5 93:12 97:18 111:24 175:11 179:16 180:15 247:24 269:14 275:3

**RECESS** [2] 73:10 237:12

reckless [1] 177:22 **recognition** [8] 173:13 174:7 184:10 255:21,24 284:3 289:22 297:1

recognize [7] 59:19 81:2 81:19 128:21 132:3,23 134:6

recognized [3] 25:25 190:12 204:1

recognizing [2] 129:7

recollection [1] 86:14 recommendation [1] 175:12

303:9

June 28, 2010 record [2] 19:3 238:2 **recorded** [1] 50:16 **recovery** [2] 285:3,12 recruited [1] 174:11 rectification [1] 137:25 redesign [1] 130:7 **reduce** [5] 37:3 55:18 125:21,23 141:9 **reduced** [1] 168:16 **reducing** [1] 168:17 **reduction** [3] 119:21 174:5 182:15 refer [10] 5:10 6:9 16:7 16:12 34:21.23 73:15 76:9 82:14 167:21 reference [12] 16:21 17:9,11,19 19:3,7,11 31:23 157:11 178:19 209:24 210:7 **referenced** [2] 161:22 222:17 referred [7] 124:7 153:22 159:11 161:1 198:25 279:22 293:20 **referring** [8] 4:10 11:2 187:19,20 218:8 220:14 223:11 238:11 reflect [1] 148:1 **reflecting** [1] 259:2 **reflective** [2] 103:25 305:22 reflex [2] 255:1,6

**reform** [2] 230:25 231:9 reformed [2] 231:24 232:1 regard [1] 70:12 regarding [1] 52:23 regardless [1] 29:8 regime [39] 90:5 95:9 97:9 99:10 102:4,7,18 110:5,16 113:14 119:9 120:5 138:25 139:1 150:5 150:16 155:24 171:2 172:15 183:18 186:21 191:24 193:19 195:20 197:6 198:22.23 200:1 212:9 221:1 223:5 228:14 229:23,25 230:4,25 231:21 234:21 264:13 regimes [28] 5:8 18:16 19:17 20:25 55:25 73:21 75:20 78:25 149:1,25 150:6,13,20 156:14 167:10 187:8 198:1.18 199:3,13,20 206:3 211:11

227:19 233:25 **region** [3] 15:3 243:8 255:16 regional [2] 151:15,21 **registered** [2] 26:9 40:13 **registers** [1] 93:3 **Registrar** [3] 4:4 16:9 238:21 regs [1] 224:15

219:13 220:1 224:11

regular [2] 155:18 197:4 **regularly** [6] 24:18 57:10 66:25 98:7 202:6 214:12 regulated [2] 19:17 181:19 **regulates** [1] 93:23 **regulating** [1] 182:7 **regulation** [34] 84:4 96:24 97:23 102:22 103:12 107:6 108:23 118:13,18,20 119:2,23 131:22 155:23 156:3

157:2 159:1 167:24 168:18 171:23 172:2 176:12 180:17 181:10,13 187:17 201:8,16 211:14 221:25 223:7,25 224:8 240:3 **regulations** [43] 95:2,7 95:11 108:13,16 109:11

115:11,12,14,21 116:6 116:10.23.25 117:1 119:9 129:16 168:8 177:24 178:8,15 179:1,3,6,14 181:20 182:8.18 183:17 186:20 191:19 192:1 193:25 201:17 219:20 220:15,19 221:2,6 222:3 222:20 231:10 232:5

regulator [59] 13:11 77:7,22 84:20 86:8 87:10 90:2 92:23 94:19 95:6 97:10,15,22 99:25 100:22 101:4,14 105:22 109:8 112:19,20 117:25 118:4 121:2 130:10 135:17 141:12 145:22 151:22 170:6 172:9 173:19 174:19 178:11,14,16,23 182:6,22 183:16 184:19 185:10.15 186:1.13 187:15 190:14 199:25,25 201:16 205:13 206:7,8 206:12 211:13 218:16,22 218:22 227:13

**regulator's** [1] 190:24 **regulators** [32] 6:14,17 6:24 15:8 73:22,25 75:8 76:5,18,25 79:20 86:6 92:19 93:17 94:25 95:5 95:17 112:16 121:21 151:9,14,15 152:1 171:12 173:14 179:5 181:22 198:11 202:22 206:10 216:9,14

**regulators'** [1] 172:19 regulatory [42] 5:7 6:10 10:8 20:24 73:14 75:20 76:13 82:12,17 87:4 111:20 113:9 119:8 120:5 122:13 127:16 143:19 144:6 149:1 156:25 158:20 167:10 172:3,15 174:8 176:20 179:14 180:9 187:7 197:25 198:18 199:13 200:1,16 200:21 206:3 211:11 218:10 221:1 229:23 230:24 233:10

**relate** [4] 58:8 155:2 277:22 303:21

**related** [4] 14:17 87:22 168:12 239:23

**relates** [2] 149:14 287:22 **relating** [6] 239:8 242:15 244:20 295:20 302:4

**relation** [5] 32:17 75:23 81:18 171:25 187:4

**relations** [1] 60:20 relationship [4] 87:9 129:15 207:14 216:13

relationships [5] 101:9 116:24 120:13 207:4 216:22

**relatively** [4] 248:2 269:13 292:25 298:2

**release** [3] 30:24 37:22 291:21

**released** [7] 10:22 23:23 46:9 47:19 113:22 291:21 299:3

**releases** [1] 292:10 **releasing** [1] 291:18 **relevance** [2] 13:22 239:13

reliability [4] 59:24 125:6 126:11 240:13

**reliance** [1] 286:6 relied [1] 260:23 relies [1] 173:25 **relieve** [1] 265:2

**relocate** (1) 291:17 relook [1] 245:2

rely [3] 75:14 137:18 197:20

**relying** [3] 251:12 261:8 294:24

**remain** [1] 281:17 **remaining** [1] 42:8 remarks [5] 142:21 143:1,4 150:4 154:18

**remember** [4] 235:10 272:12 296:9 306:18

**remind** [2] 3:5 274:14 **remit** [1] 248:25

**removal** [1] 170:20 **remove** [1] 173:22

**removing** [1] 176:8

**repeat** [1] 16:5

**repeated** [1] 154:13 **replace** [3] 176:5 178:7

181:10

**Replacing** [1] 176:10 report [98] 5:4 6:11,15 9:11 10:2,5,6,13 16:23 17:1 19:12,14,16,16,18 19:19 20:7.15.18.25 21:2 21:3 25:4 26:6 29:4,7 31:23 34:20 35:7 38:14 39:7,16 40:10,16,18 46:9 46:20 47:19 51:10,12 52:14 56:25 70:25 71:1

72:9 73:12.19 74:19 75:12 79:16 80:16 81:15 84:8 85:20 88:6 91:11 97:3 114:13 123:21 124:2 124:6,6,17 127:25 128:1 128:14,18 132:24 134:12 134:21 137:7 142:19 143:24 144:15.16 149:17 153:10 157:10 161:23 199:22 203:25 230:2 233:12 236:17 237:5 238:6,9,11 249:19,24 250:5 251:9,10,14 302:10 307:6,11,14

**reporting** [18] 54:6 56:10,14,17 57:2 133:2 133:6 139:2,23,24 140:11 140:12 141:17 142:12 233:11,15 234:10,20

reports [30] 3:22,23 4:1 6:8 7:2 8:6 9:3,5,9 10:18 16:15,19 17:2 19:9 20:14 20:18 22:2 40:12 111:12 124:3.5 133:16 139:3 140:14 143:2,11 144:9 144:21 229:21 288:4

represent [2] 148:22 211:5

representation [5] 18:18 93:16 97:25 197:21 200:5

representative [6] 7:13 22:15 24:17 28:7 37:10 39:17

representatives [2] 86:14 196:24

represented [5] 86:5.20 112:17 129:1 213:12

represents [1] 132:18 **reprimanded** [1] 134:24 **reputation** [1] 125:16

requesting [1] 29:22 requests [2] 122:18,21 **require** [3] 149:18

287:11 290:9 required [15] 169:3

175:13 183:21 254:20 272:10 277:3 278:24 279:1.7 280:12 284:8.24 285:1 287:3 301:4

**requirement** [7] 104:12 169:15 277:2 280:23 286:16,23 304:7

requirements [15] 19:10 19:11 109:13 168:14 178:2 205:17,18,20 206:11,20 277:15 278:2 287:2 301:2 303:5

requires [1] 306:20 rescue [7] 69:12 70:14 185:7 273:16 305:18 306:2.7

research [38] 8:19 10:7 74:21 78:8,11 79:14,16 84:18 86:2,16 87:15 108:4,9 109:11 113:20 114:9 115:6,19 119:14 150:20 162:11 201:21

217:19,21 221:5,21 225:10 228:23 235:19 236:15 239:25 240:8,10 247:16 251:8,11 269:10 303:2 reserve [1] 145:17

reshape [2] 130:8 131:17 residual [1] 46:4 resistance [3] 288:22,24

resolution [2] 199:7 207:13

**resolve** [1] 267:23 resolved [1] 46:13

resource [4] 85:2 143:18 225:6 226:22

**resourced** [1] 296:4 **resources** [3] 85:9 141:13 225:4

**resourcing** [2] 87:20 192:25

respect [19] 4:15 6:5.10 15:13 44:6 105:7 111:4 116:9 158:21,22 161:6 177:22 217:9 227:24 229:10 230:12 235:1 282:22 304:12

respiratory [1] 256:9 respond [2] 21:19 48:14 **responded** [2] 43:3 197:11

respondents [10] 42:5,6 44:15 47:3 49:22 55:3 57:6 58:19 68:1 164:13

response [24] 21:18,21 25:11 33:1 38:1 41:12 41:18 42:12 44:22 47:24 49:21 50:6 51:9 58:18 71:11 115:4 149:19 175:13,14 197:9 229:15 240:12 245:1 255:1

responses [9] 36:8 38:9 44:9 50:3 51:21 54:23 57:19 69:4,20

responsibilities [2] 130:16 176:21

responsibility [11] 84:22 87:12 154:4 188:22 204:18 224:1,3 225:3 244:10 275:3 301:12

responsible [7] 155:7 161:7 224:25 232:16 299:14 300:5,21

restricted [1] 229:1 restructure [4] 82:3 83:7 107:9 123:7

restructured [2] 83:4 90:17

restructuring [6] 90:13 90:18 107:14.15.20 108:1

result [4] 38:9 66:14,20 70:4

results [33] 9:25 17:6 19:15 21:15,23 26:11 33:17 36:18,22 37:14 39:10 40:2 41:23 43:25

44:23 47:9 49:1,22 50:2 51:15 55:16 59:1 67:14 68:17 71:7,17,22 110:21 127:17 164:11 165:22 195:19 209:11 **retained** [2] 17:25 120:16

**retraining** [1] 136:18 retribution [1] 133:18 returned [1] 29:24

reusing [1] 294:1 reverse [2] 140:9 215:9 review [30] 5:6 6:10,16 8:16 9:1 10:10,20 11:9 20:23 68:14 73:13,20,24 75:5,6,19 79:7,21 88:12 116:21 139:18 148:25 155:19 197:25 215:18

224:18 228:14 231:4 233:19 234:18 reviewed [4] 6:15 28:3

94:10 96:19 reviewing [3] 74:4 139:17 147:22

reviews [1] 105:16 revised [1] 4:18 **RGIT** [5] 240:5,9,23,24 244:24

**riding** [1] 163:5 **rig** [4] 42:24 47:22 60:20

243:17 right [70] 10:16 15:23 40:5 41:9 48:25 51:2 52:23 59:25 70:20 75:11 82:14 97:7 98:14 122:3

124:1 141:5 145:10,17 146:14 147:2 148:6,11 161:2 162:21 166:14,16 173:5 177:11 178:20 189:16 192:22 197:23 208:4 210:25 220:5,5 223:14 225:13 231:25 232:4 233:12,17 235:25 236:8 242:24 245:14 249:21 250:22 251:3 252:7 254:7 256:22 258:5 259:5 263:13 265:25 267:2 271:12 276:18 278:10 282:25 288:20

291:23 293:11 295:7

307:16

296:7,12 304:14 305:11

**rightly** [1] 64:2 **rights** [1] 276:19 **risk** [105] 6:6 7:4,9,10,23 7:24 8:7,12,13,17,20 9:21 10:21 11:5,7,13,15 11:17,22 12:10,13,13,14 13:7 14:10 18:5 22:4 26:11 27:18 35:11 37:3 37:10 54:11 56:1 58:8 58:13,16,20,23,25 59:7 59:10,11,20,21 60:3,13 60:15,17,23 61:2 62:4 63:10 66:2 84:9,9,10

97:14 101:25 103:18

104:18 108:18 119:16.19

119:20 120:2,5 125:8,21

125:21,24 130:19,21 131:2 141:7,9 158:11 168:15 173:18,22 178:11 178:16 182:15 187:10,14 187:23.25 188:1 192:11 193:1,4,7,13 203:22,23 204:3,4,5,6,13 256:5,7 284:24 285:7 288:14

**risk-based** [6] 103:20 119:15 120:3 121:10 187:8 211:14

**risking** [1] 256:17 **risks** [11] 60:3 69:16 83:16 111:15 119:21 141:8 174:4 178:14 192:19 204:12 272:25

**Robert** [2] 36:3 271:23 **Roberts** [1] 8:22 **Robin** [1] 8:7

**robust** [1] 186:20 **Roil** [113] 1:20 5:2,22 236:22 237:14,15 238:23 240:19 241:9 243:6.16 243:24 244:5 245:6,15 246:6,24 247:8,21 248:1 248:8,13,19 249:2,15,22 250:3,18,23 251:4,19,24 252:6,12 253:9 254:1,8 254:12 257:10,17,23 258:4,12,24 259:4,21 260:1,6,15 261:24 262:5 263:8,14 265:5,11,17,24 266:21 267:3,20 269:4 270:3,12,16,23 271:4,11 271:21 272:3,17 273:20 274:6,16,20 275:17 276:13 277:13,25 278:18 279:2,12,24 280:7,15 281:8,25 282:7,17 284:10 285:11,18,22 287:20 288:16 289:11 292:24 294:13 295:1,8,24 296:11 296:16 299:7 300:8,13 301:13,22 302:25 304:9

**role** [14] 8:15 14:21 20:17 31:10 139:8 170:7 172:10 178:13.16 184:16 192:8 193:2 234:12 257:22

305:4 306:11 307:5,18

roles [5] 101:9 178:24 213:16 230:7,15

**Rolf** [2] 148:19,21 **room** [9] 1:9 3:5 237:18

239:3 245:9 250:25 254:25 279:8,8

roots [1] 82:18 ROSEMARY [1] 238:17

rota [1] 209:9 rotary [1] 63:16 roughly [1] 82:23

round [3] 39:15 41:24 73:8

**rule** [10] 48:12 91:24

route [1] 153:18 routine [2] 66:25 130:22 **royalties** [1] 108:8

103:11 118:17 133:21 141:12 173:2,20 183:22 187:17

rules [5] 127:5 179:1 188:17 207:1,17

run [3] 84:7 195:17 244:14

running [3] 69:2 221:6 282:15

runs [2] 13:2 87:16

## -S-

**S-92** [1] 158:3 **safe** [20] 64:19 120:25 163:9,11,11,13,14,15 164:7,8,15,23,24,25 165:13,19,20 183:10 222:22,25

**safely** [1] 225:1 safety [290] 3:3 5:5 6:6 6:12 7:8 9:12 10:13 11:22,24 12:11 13:3,7 13:13 15:6 18:7,15 19:20 21:1 22:3 31:13,22,24 32:2 34:2,3 35:13 43:15 44:6,11 46:8 47:4,8,13 53:16 54:10 55:1,1,5,12 55:14,18,21,24 56:25 57:2,4,7,10,18,20,25 58:2 66:1 67:8,10,17,18 67:21 71:13 75:18,21 80:6,9 81:23,24 83:4,13 83:15,20,21 84:3,6 85:8 85:13 87:13,23,24 90:16 91:6,9,13,13,15,20 92:2 93:7 94:23 98:6 99:1.12 99:24,25,25 101:8,15,16 101:16 102:1,4,16 104:3 104:9,13,15,16,18,20 107:7 108:6 109:23 110:1 111:11,16 112:3 113:17 113:17,22,25,25 114:1 114:23 115:3,10 116:23 117:2,22,25 118:1,7,9 120:23 121:10 123:3,5,5 123:8,11,15,17 124:6,12 124:21,22 125:1,15 126:1 126:13,19 127:19 128:19 128:23 129:4,11,18,19 129:19,24 130:4,12 131:19 132:10,15 133:3 137:2 138:20 140:7,18 140:21,25 141:2,21 143:5 143:23 144:21 154:5 158:15 159:6 166:6 168:13,22 169:6,16 170:11,24,24 171:8 172:1 173:12 176:13,14,17,19 177:3,4,8,25 178:2 179:18 181:1,6,11,15,15 181:16 183:2,4 184:9,15 184:19,20 185:16 186:7 186:21 187:2 188:4.6.8 188:9,10,11,13,15,16,18 188:19,20,23 189:1,5,5 189:9,17,20 191:15,19

191:20,25 192:7,8,10,10

192:13 195:19,20 197:4

197:6 198:22,23 199:13

199:17 200:8,9,10,11,19

201:3 205:7,23 211:12 212:6,10 218:14 223:11 223:12,17 226:10 227:18 228:10,12,24 229:3,9,19 229:22 230:14 231:14 232:17,22 233:7,11,15 233:25 234:2,21 241:8 241:14 275:2 290:13,23 305:2

**sample** [1] 36:16 Sarah [2] 8:18 40:14 **sat** [4] 60:10 77:2 133:10 164:13

**satellite** [1] 306:3 **satisfied** [9] 27:21 34:12 52:22 53:1,2,5 131:17 131:18 179:25

saved [1] 257:25 saw [3] 115:16 198:3 250:19

says [7] 72:22 83:14 126:18 168:4,10 170:2 170:19

**scalable** [1] 152:12 scale [8] 32:8 44:13 56:21 67:25 68:2 163:12 164:8 166:18

scales [1] 95:12 scenario [3] 118:19 173:17 254:21

scene [1] 111:4 schedule [2] 72:22 148:11

**scheme** [1] 171:25 schemes [1] 170:17 **School** [1] 11:5 **SCHULTZ** [1] 145:11

science [1] 120:1 **scientific** [1] 278:12

**scope** [30] 16:22 18:15 74:13 75:5 79:14.16.21 79:23,25 83:1 84:4 88:8 88:17,22 91:21 100:1,14 106:15.21 114:16 115:22 151:8 152:2 156:22 157:1 158:6 178:11 229:20 230:3 235:19

scored [1] 44:15 **Scotia** [25] 78:8 96:7 112:24 113:1,8 115:14 116:9,17,20 150:5 151:16 156:15 201:18 203:4 217:17 220:19 224:18,24 225:8,13 226:15 227:25 230:12,25 234:19

**Scotland** [1] 237:21 screen [3] 6:1 16:10 164:12

**screens** [1] 129:21 sea [22] 69:14 237:24 239:24 249:16 253:16 254:3 258:9.16.18.21 260:16,17,21 263:16 264:5 265:6 275:20 288:6 293:2,15 298:3,3

**seal** [2] 263:2 281:16

sealed [4] 24:15 39:17 264:13,14 **seals** [2] 260:24 262:8

search [6] 69:11 70:14 185:7 273:16 306:2,7

**seas** [1] 256:6

**seat** [6] 246:1 290:18 291:18 292:16 298:23 300:9

**seatbelt** [1] 131:8 **seating** [3] 69:7,8 70:15 second [21] 8:10 9:17 10:6 12:18 19:16 31:11 69:4 73:19 77:13 80:2 87:10 118:11 141:22 142:8 145:25 158:13 164:19 169:2 183:25 228:9 275:12

**secondly** [5] 51:17 122:14 150:22 172:16 222:3

**seconds** [7] 255:17,18 289:25 290:2,3 291:11 292:5

**section** [8] 52:7 67:4 86:18 115:15 220:7 225:19 228:4,5

**sections** [1] 68:6 sector [8] 97:20,21 110:25 202:13 277:1 293:20 294:12 296:5

**sectors** [7] 14:16,20 63:15 100:23 203:10 204:14 214:13

**secure** [3] 24:12 35:24 39:18

**secured** [1] 24:16 **security** [1] 174:17

**see** [94] 3:6 6:2 14:4,7,15 14:23 20:2 23:7 25:10 26:17 29:10 31:6 32:3 32:24 36:19 38:15 43:1 43:4 44:7,9,17,22 47:24 49:3,8,18,21 52:24 53:4 54:9 55:3 56:15 57:11 59:9,13 67:22 68:25 69:3 70:21 73:2 78:16 81:8 82:18 83:23 86:4 92:1 99:13 100:9 105:6,19 110:10 111:19 113:23 115:22 116:24 117:14 118:8 119:19 122:2 129:21,22,23 132:19 135:15 137:10,17,18,20 138:16 146:7 151:15 154:13 156:4,22 164:12 165:2,22 176:17 182:19 195:12 201:17 207:7 208:18 214:15 223:16 233:1 247:10 249:6 252:13 278:22 279:22 283:17 288:8 292:9

**seeing** [7] 26:22 98:19 121:8 171:17 201:15 206:8,15

**seem** [3] 122:16 150:6 151:13

select [2] 76:18,19

176:3

**selected** [18] 5:7 6:17 10:8 14:4 20:24 31:25 73:21 75:20 76:17 77:8 77:16 78:4,7,13,25 148:25 149:25 164:9 **selecting** [3] 150:20 151:2 152:6 **self** [10] 167:24 171:19 171:22 179:18 180:10,15 180:16,17 200:13 203:21 self-activating [2] 306:13,16 self-administration [1] 184:14 self-inspection [1] 183:11 self-regulation [1] 184:14 **SEMS** [1] 91:16 send [1] 22:23 **senior** [2] 8:7 11:19 sense [10] 40:24 62:2 110:23 137:7,16 150:1,2 159:25 160:2 227:4 **sent** [1] 29:3 **sentence** [5] 170:19 225:19 226:8 230:23 232:23 **separate** [21] 135:4 199:12 227:18 232:16,22 232:25,25 233:2 234:5 240:8 245:11 263:5 271:9 275:10 286:10 299:11,23 299:25 300:14,14 307:7 **separation** [14] 91:5,8 92:2 107:10 117:22 118:9 211:12 226:9 228:11,15 228:16 230:14 234:2 245:21 **September** [1] 18:1 **sequence** [2] 5:15 58:11 **sequencing** [1] 153:15 **serial** [5] 23:8 26:10 29:24 30:6 37:2 **series** [1] 186:6 serious [1] 170:22 serve [2] 218:4,6 **service** [6] 13:5 82:24 87:8 89:13 206:22 207:20 **serviced** [1] 160:25 **services** [3] 12:3,9 208:12 **servicing** [1] 215:6 **set** [24] 43:16 56:24 80:7 94:25 95:2,6 101:7 127:4 129:9 130:13 137:2 178:16 179:1 184:21 185:18 186:20 190:10 206:19.22 207:10 242:9 277:17 283:13 302:21 **setting** [3] 99:21 155:8 207:20 **setup** [6] 22:25 87:3 103:24 112:7,9 229:19 **seven** [10] 38:3 42:17,25

70:2,15 253:17 several [6] 211:10 221:13 232:15 255:3 282:25 293:14 severe [3] 171:15 178:20 256:7 **severity** [1] 174:5 **shall** [4] 1:24 168:11,15 222:21 **shame** [1] 199:8 **shape** [7] 49:20 65:2 99:4 130:1 131:11 138:4 156:11 **share** [2] 216:4 235:16 **sharing** [3] 151:6 215:16 218:6 sheer [1] 157:5 **shelf** [1] 82:21 **shell** [4] 126:2,3,3 293:14 **shift** [25] 82:11,16 108:10 108:17 110:17 111:20 118:12 121:8 171:18 172:3,14,16 173:5 174:12 174:14,20 178:9 182:25 189:18 193:25 211:13 219:19 221:5 229:2 285:9 **shifted** [4] 102:9 193:22 220:25 227:20 **shifting** [3] 173:4 176:7 304:1 **shifts** [4] 28:4 90:15 116:11 131:25 **shock** [7] 254:24 255:1 255:10,13 257:13 266:12 **shoe** [1] 270:15 **shoot** [1] 241:24 **shop** [1] 191:19 **short** [5] 3:2 12:22 38:11 255:19 256:21 **shorter** [2] 153:4 261:16 **shot** [1] 81:15 **show** [5] 28:24 73:18 110:16 188:25 209:10 shown [1] 1:14 **shows** [1] 233:2 **side** [27] 3:9,12 12:16 14:23 81:21 109:12 117:23 140:8 161:16 163:16 164:11 172:6 173:9 175:5 201:6 241:18 242:8 258:16,18 260:17 261:25 262:12 265:6,8 275:19 290:25 301:2 **signed** [2] 10:21 189:7 **significant** [5] 100:20 171:1 243:2 262:20 281:24 significantly [3] 214:25 228:11 255:22 **silly** [1] 163:6 **similar** [25] 11:14 33:14 44:21 49:25 74:9 88:25

138:11 150:16 156:16 162:12,15 216:7 270:8 271:1 275:7 301:1 307:12 **similarities** [4] 69:23 152:7 258:13 262:6 **similarity** [1] 275:16 **simple** [10] 130:9 183:22 192:13 209:3 210:11 222:11 278:19 279:13 291:4 296:23 **simpler** [1] 300:16 **simplistic** [6] 53:23 119:5 126:16,20 127:2 135:12 simplistically [1] 130:23 **simply** [5] 166:16 220:2 229:8 249:17 304:1 **simulator** [1] 247:14 simultaneously [1] 226:11 **sincerely** [1] 36:3 **single** [6] 23:15 38:18,19 51:9 294:1,8 **sit** 151 68:11 161:18 165:17 213:15 230:1 **site** [4] 60:21 76:1 78:6 81:13 **sites** [1] 162:7 sits [3] 118:1 122:25 183:11 **sitting** [7] 25:1 71:19,21 166:19 279:7 290:17,19 **situated** [1] 299:1 situation [14] 45:22 48:14 94:3,7 136:11 137:21 139:5 140:22 186:15 257:9 268:25 271:5 284:21 306:17 **situations** [2] 246:12 297.16 **Six** [20] 8:5 21:20 22:14 24:19 26:5 29:15 35:14 43:1 69:4 70:15 79:19 79:20 80:3 82:15 160:10 180:2 199:23 253:17 262:15 285:2 six-week [2] 38:10 41:21 size [27] 51:23 74:13 79:22,25 83:1 88:17 105:23,24 106:16,21 150:16.22 152:1.8 157:5 158:1 160:17 162:12

214:3,5,16 229:19 232:11 235:12 236:1 268:19 271:3 sizes [5] 157:12 268:14 268:15,17 269:18 **skewed** [2] 49:6 165:21 **skewered** [1] 56:16 **skilled** [1] 156:7 **skills** [2] 142:13 155:25 **skillsets** [1] 222:6 **skipped** [1] 20:11 **slant** [1] 71:3

**slashing** [1] 286:20 **sleeved** [1] 261:16 **slide** [24] 16:12 20:11 38:3,6 73:18 131:15 132:6,13,14 133:11 199:9 252:1 254:17 266:1,5,10 268:3 274:4,10,11 275:1 285:24 291:3 296:17 **slides** [5] 5:11,14 16:12 259:8 265:22

**slight** [4] 253:5 268:10 279:19 287:1

**slightly** [13] 2:16 5:10 56:16 112:7 243:12 261:16 268:13 271:2 273:7 277:10,11 279:18 284:1

slim [1] 268:21 slow [1] 108:10 small [20] 29:25 56:19 71:22 74:15 78:15,15 88:23 89:7 100:2 107:5 150:3 152:3 158:5 160:18 160:21 214:3,10 248:2 282:4 294:4

**smaller** [9] 67:25 89:2 151:1,9 157:24,24 158:4 214:25 271:2

**smallish** [1] 282:4 smattering [1] 89:1 **smoothly** [1] 27:22

**SMS** [5] 91:18,20 189:25 190:11,20 **snagging** [2] 298:12

303:18 **snap** [1] 81:15 **snapshot** [6] 22:11 40:23 52:4 65:19 143:15,19

**snippet** [1] 162:2 **society's** [1] 179:9 sock [2] 270:10,22

socks [1] 270:1 **software** [1] 126:8 **solid** [1] 36:16

**someone** [7] 133:21 139:3 175:9 177:21 180:15 181:10 191:18

**sometimes** [9] 165:2 167:23 191:5 203:21,22 233:15 279:22 299:16,16

somewhat [3] 150:2 168:19 283:18

**somewhere** [4] 137:20 184:16 255:16 289:24

**Sooley** [1] 308:11 soon [2] 236:7 280:24 **sorry** [8] 26:6 29:14 87:21 91:2 198:10 250:5 260:16 283:16

**sort** [22] 50:21 117:15 150:15 155:1 156:17 196:6 205:18 213:24 222:22 228:13 229:11 240:20 242:14 250:14.15 253:11 268:24 278:6,7

282:11 291:20 302:12 **sorted** [2] 137:15 217:6 **sound** [5] 8:17 115:7 163:6 197:20 308:7 **sounds** [3] 154:21 167:24

**source** [1] 158:25 sourced [1] 10:10 **south** [6] 78:12 96:16 150:8 161:23 162:10

**southeast** [1] 160:9 **space** [1] 175:16 span [1] 93:4

**speak** [10] 9:2 10:1 21:5 65:2 73:18 99:15 173:14 239:1,2 273:21

**speaking** [3] 88:8 178:24 240:20

**speaks** [1] 34:24 **spec** [5] 272:8 274:25 275:16 276:3 283:19 **special** [2] 86:8 246:13

**specialist** [2] 248:7 250:15

specialists [1] 89:3 specialized [1] 8:4 specializing [1] 14:3 **specialty** [3] 203:20 204:19,20

**specific** [14] 22:4 32:12 63:17 109:14 152:15 155:2 156:18 158:20,25 161:8 169:12 205:22 212:18 254:15

specifically [6] 169:23 196:17 217:10 230:12 253:3 285:25

**specifics** [2] 156:23 179:11

**specify** [1] 153:9

**spectrum** [1] 110:14 **speed** [1] 131:7 **speedily** [1] 148:8 **SPENCER** [1] 146:21 **spend** [6] 18:13 21:14 38:17 144:5 247:17

290:15 **spent** [2] 239:24 240:23 **spike** [1] 100:23

**spilled** [1] 134:18 **split** [2] 71:19 87:17 spokestraining [1]

240:7

**spot** [1] 97:14 **spray** [8] 256:13 286:18 288:17,20,22 289:2,3,9 **spread** [3] 43:4 44:17

214:6

**spring** [1] 264:5 **squared** [1] 278:16 **St** [8] 2:23 18:8,20 27:19 28:5 160:22 308:5,9

64:25 68:14 69:12,24

91:12,18,20 94:7,10

111:25 113:18 114:2

**stable** [1] 287:14 **stack** [1] 190:15 **stacked** [1] 213:14 staff [8] 24:24 25:22 26:24 113:3 195:18 197:2 197:2 203:9 **staffing** [1] 233:8 **stage** [3] 54:21 106:10 210:20 stages [2] 132:15,16 **staggering** [1] 157:10 **stagnant** [1] 182:7

**stairs** [1] 110:6 stairwell 111 3:7

**stairwells** [3] 3:7,8,12 stake [1] 87:5

**stake-based** [1] 99:11 stakeholder [2] 207:3 207:15

**stakeholders** [5] 121:22 198:24 200:24 212:5

**Stamp** [2] 146:15,16 **stand** [1] 123:18

**standard** [36] 33:20 60:16,24 130:21 131:2 178:17,17 179:7 193:7,8 193:16 272:9 273:24 274:23 275:21 276:4,7 276:15,22,23 277:11 278:11.24 279:9 280:12 281:22 283:3,17 284:2 287:9 302:6,9,12,15 304:10,12

**standards** [51] 8:1 85:8 88:3 91:7 95:7 102:1 119:24 129:17 155:3,4,8 157:1 159:12 161:18 169:13 179:6 181:22 193:4,5,14,15 199:14 206:19,22 207:20 215:17 244:2.4.11 273:22.22.24 274:2,5,12,17,19 275:1 275:5,12 277:14,18,22 279:1 281:20 283:19 284:17 287:2,10 301:25 302:4

**standing** [2] 15:16,21 **standpoint** [1] 232:21 **stands** [2] 87:7 91:14

start [25] 2:17,19 21:6,8 41:9 53:20 81:1.6 83:5 87:25 88:17 91:8 103:9 113:9 147:17 149:24 162:13 190:6 218:11,17 256:24 261:5 263:4 264:7 293:12

**started** [4] 17:16 72:25 104:21 239:18

**starting** 61 13:19 30:23 31:5 263:20 280:25 306:23

**state** [8] 72:4 94:20,22 96:12 99:8 123:9 219:8 222:21

**statement** [7] 83:13,19

183:24 184:1 189:6 222:23 230:18

**states** [18] 69:14 77:13 86:25 87:3 88:12 90:13 90:19 94:17,18,25 95:24 97:18 104:2 107:21 159:11 213:3,9 214:15

**stats** [4] 32:9 51:6 106:23 106:25

**stature** [1] 170:4 **status** [1] 104:9

stays [1] 182:1 steam [1] 288:24

steaming [1] 289:10

steep [1] 3:11

stem [1] 148:24 step [3] 3:13 110:7 169:22

**stepped** [4] 110:5,15 122:8 171:13

**steps** [1] 170:21

**still** [21] 30:2 40:12 43:2 53:9 173:6 175:4,9 177:25 180:1 181:7 186:5 186:19 189:22,23 232:6 256:5 264:6 276:2 294:15 303:15 304:11

**stipulate** [1] 168:8 stood [1] 122:8

**stop** [6] 27:6 249:6 256:16 288:18 289:10

**stopping** [1] 207:19 stored [1] 40:13

straight [1] 301:10 **strategies** [1] 176:22

**Street** [1] 308:5

**stress** [5] 183:2 244:21 244:25 264:3 265:3

**Strickland** [5] 145:16 145:24 146:3 210:18,21

**strikes** [1] 202:20

**strive** (1) 179:13

**strong** [10] 87:9 101:25 113:10 139:12 143:12 165:14.18 202:11 255:6 287:4

structural [4] 77:24 91:1 129:15 143:24

**structure** [36] 10:5 21:13 31:2 33:6,19 34:13 79:18 81:22 83:10 86:25 91:2 94:11.24 95:16 96:17 99:20 106:15 120:18 136:23 143:8 151:3,8 152:8 153:19 154:13 162:16 214:20 222:4 230:1 231:24 232:10 233:6 234:8,11,20 235:14

structured [6] 98:6 101:21 102:18 120:12 122:10 184:8

**structures** [10] 54:10 55:24 76:12 80:4.5 81:13 103:15 104:17 127:5

stuck [1] 181:20 **studied** [1] 206:16 studies [1] 111:11

234:1

study [6] 111:17,24 203:25 246:9 247:14 248:3

**stuff** [2] 192:2 211:8 style [2] 60:9 273:7 **sub** [2] 214:13,18

**sub-topics** [1] 22:5 **subject** [7] 6:21 121:24 239:3,14 248:14,20 276:10

subjects [2] 242:8 272:10 **submersion** [2] 255:12 292:13

**submit** [2] 140:13 191:9 **subscribe** [1] 94:17 **subset** [1] 106:7 **substance** [1] 267:7 substantial [1] 262:7 **successfully** [1] 290:10 such [19] 14:17,25 25:11

27:1 31:17 93:2 97:11 105:22 125:9 136:3 141:14 187:20 218:18 230:9 240:11 256:1 263:21 291:17 305:1

**sufficient** [5] 170:5 261:3 290:5,8 302:19

**suggest** [1] 148:6 **suggested** [1] 191:25 **suggesting** [3] 158:22 167:12 176:12

**suit** [99] 49:17 155:3 222:5 241:25 245:10 247:12 255:8 256:15,20 256:21 257:11 258:6,7 258:22,23 259:11,13,22 260:22,25 261:1,6,9,13 262:1,7,21 263:1,3,7,9 263:10,16,18 264:11,13 266:14 267:5,16,18,19 268:4,7,8,9,19,22 269:18 270:6,11,18,22,24 271:1 272:13 275:6,7,8,10,11 276:4,5,6,10,14,22 277:19 280:1,2,4,10,13 280:25 281:7,9,12,16,20 284:9,20 286:7,11 289:12 292:7.12 298:16.18.22 299:12,12 300:5,19 301:6 304:11,16,17,25 305:3 305:21

**suitable** [1] 103:17 Suite [1] 308:5 suited [1] 75:6 **suits** [58] 49:14 50:4,21 51:21,22,23 70:13 155:14 159:10 161:8,16 205:23 240:16 241:14.19 242:11 245:24 256:15 257:20,21 258:8 262:11,17,25 264:23,25 265:18 268:11 268:12,12 269:21,24,25

270:2 271:8 272:9 273:5 273:6,12,14,17 275:14 275:19,25,25 276:2,8 277:5,7 279:20 280:17 284:5 285:1 286:6 292:9 299:22 301:4,9

sum [1] 71:1 **summarize** [1] 254:18 **summarized** [1] 51:16 summary [4] 6:25 7:1 9:10 127:10

**summer** [2] 17:16,21 Suncor [1] 18:11 **superseded** [1] 274:25 **supervisor** [1] 42:8 supervisors [1] 42:10 supervisory [2] 42:8 170:7

supplied [1] 250:11 **support** [14] 17:24 84:6 113:7 114:11,16,20,24 222:15.17.22 256:10 257:3 283:5 286:17

**supporting** [2] 180:25

suppose [2] 89:22 269:17 **supposed** [3] 72:18 236:18 247:7

**Supposing** [1] 148:9 **surface** [6] 256:11 259:17 260:3,23 266:15 292:14

**surprised** [1] 197:3 **surprises** [2] 77:9 117:4 **surveillance** [3] 95:8,14 230:3

**survey** [123] 5:4 8:24 9:12,15,17,19,20 19:14 20:19 21:2,7,8,12,20,22 22:6,13,25 23:6,6,7,8,17 23:20,25 24:3,10,14,15 25:8,16,20,23 26:4,14 26:18,21 27:5,16,20 28:1 28:2.19 29:7.20 30:2.5 30:10 31:2,7,8 32:5,16 32:18 33:7 34:8,13 35:7 35:11,13,16,20,21,23 36:1,10 37:4,23 38:4,9 38:10,16 40:12,22 41:11 41:12,20 42:4,5 43:19 44:9 45:21 46:1 47:3,19 51:8,10 52:18 61:1 62:24 63:4 64:2,23 65:24 67:3 68:5,17 70:25 71:25 72:2 72:20 127:17 132:24 143:14 149:13 164:12 165:24 166:2 194:24 195:4,8,10,14,16,18,25 196:6,18 208:19 209:4,7 209:23,24

**surveying** [2] 36:17 197:1

surveys [19] 9:21 22:20 24:22,25 25:3,21 26:7 29:23 31:9 33:7,14,25 34:3 36:15 37:1,18 38:24 165:3 197:5

**survival** [9] 49:17 155:3 155:15 205:23 240:5 246:12 248:25 288:6 293:15 **survived** [1] 262:16 **survivors** [1] 262:24 **Susan** [2] 237:20 238:17 **sweating** [1] 264:21 **swim** [3] 257:1,4 298:10

**switch** [2] 193:19 222:11 **switched** [1] 263:1 **sworn** [4] 3:2,16 4:5,6 **Sydney** [1] 7:11 svnopsis [6] 10:12

103:22 117:7 127:9 187:6 249:23

**system** [65] 15:7 39:6 46:14 57:1,3,5,7,11,18 57:20.25 58:2 91:15.21 92:2.3 95:1 103:6 104:4 104:14,21 118:25 120:6 123:3 130:12 137:10 169:6 172:1 176:5,14 181:16 184:20 185:17 187:3 188:4,6,8,13,20 189:1,9,21 192:1,7,9,11 192:14 195:20 199:17 241:24 245:12 247:15 256:9 273:11 289:18 295:4 299:19 300:3.7.18 300:21,25 303:23 304:3 304:5

**systemic** [2] 103:1 138:4 systems [34] 12:15 18:8 54:11 103:16 104:9,17 114:1 125:5 126:4 127:5 129:18 138:1 141:18 169:17 173:12 174:13 182:13 184:15 191:3 193:10,11 242:2,23 253:1 253:4,7 256:1 273:8 292:18 293:3,10 295:23 303:7.22

# -T-

**table** [4] 79:17 87:15 207:12 217:22

table-top [3] 79:7 231:4 233:19

**tabletop** [2] 6:16 10:10 tabs [1] 101:4 **tabulation** [1] 19:15 tackle [3] 16:14 74:18 120:11

tackles [1] 106:7 takes [12] 13:19 24:3 75:21 77:19 80:13 85:2 98:7 107:6 136:2 137:24 230:2,4

taking [9] 2:15 22:1 60:6 101:5 123:4 124:2 204:10 210:10 294:24

talks [1] 163:9 tall [1] 268:20 tangible [1] 85:9 tank [1] 69:10

**Index Page 22** 

tanks [3] 69:11 70:14 175:15 tape [1] 305:22 Tara [1] 308:4 target [2] 196:17,20 task [6] 16:18 60:18 63:17 64:15 81:8 130:22 tasks [1] 92:24 taxi [1] 190:6 taxpayer [1] 185:10 team [8] 7:2 8:3,5,6 20:12,13 77:2 164:6 teams [2] 247:25 284:1

technical [10] 8:16 31:16 46:20 69:6 72:1 121:25 190:4 301:25 302:6,9 techniques (2) 18:6

**techniques** [2] 18:6 183:9

**technology** [9] 158:7 159:7,13 181:24 182:3 182:12,14,20 185:18

**telephone** [1] 18:23 **telling** [3] 118:23 280:3 301:17

**temperature** [4] 240:2 253:25 277:8 279:8

temperatures [10] 253:15,16 259:3 263:22 264:6,8,12 284:6 298:3 298:4

**ten** [17] 18:21 24:6 25:7 28:25 35:22 60:25 83:8 90:18 142:22 236:23 239:24 240:25 248:9 253:7 272:12 289:20 292:5

**tend** [11] 255:2 261:16 268:12 269:18 286:10 289:3,10 292:12 293:9 297:5 301:15

**tended** [1] 288:23 **tendency** [1] 264:10

**tends** [4] 288:11 299:15 300:22,23

**term** [8] 60:15,16 102:15 114:20 256:21,22 257:25 278:5

**terminology** [2] 92:17 187:22

terms [133] 7:7,19 8:3 12:21 14:16 16:17,21,23 17:8,11,18 19:3,7,10,11 22:2,6,12,19 23:10,18 36:10,25 41:25 42:2,23 44:23 45:24 46:17 47:9 48:15,19 49:6,7,21 50:5 53:20 54:19 57:2 60:13 64:5 66:21 70:19 71:16 84:25 86:16 88:19,23 91:1 93:6 100:1 106:21 107:1 110:18 111:25 112:1 114:10 115:19 116:2 123:13 124:21 128:18 129:9 131:3 138:14,18 141:17,20 143:4 149:15 150:13,24 152:24 153:6,14 155:14

157:1,20,25 158:6 160:7 163:20 165:18 172:18 173:12 188:3,5 197:9 202:2,10 204:23 206:25 209:24 210:7 234:13 242:12 245:4 251:14 253:11,13,19,22 257:8 257:20,25 258:20 259:15 265:14 267:4,16 269:10 269:17 271:14,18 272:25 273:18 277:19 278:3 285:7 286:2,4,21,25 287:1 289:19 292:21 293:1,10 295:9,17 302:18 305:14 306:25

305:14 306:25 terrain [2] 182:13,16 territory [1] 99:8 test [6] 60:22 240:14 242:8 272:10,10 301:8 testimony [1] 271:23 testing [1] 240:9

testing [1] 240:9 tests [1] 302:22 Texas [1] 93:13

text [1] 32:13

thank [55] 2:11 4:9 5:2 15:12 16:7,17 21:10,25 28:12 36:5 58:5 67:6 73:4,9,12 86:24 98:9 123:20 142:19 144:13,24 145:2,12,14 146:17,20 147:2,7 148:15 162:21 162:24 163:2 167:5 194:4 194:8,12,17 208:4 209:13 210:16,24,25 235:5 236:13 237:1,3,9,11,16 239:18 249:11,17 252:16 274:19 307:19

**thanks** [6] 7:6 35:6 37:12 180:21 184:4 194:6

**that'll** [1] 289:10 **theme** [6] 68:25 103:14

theme [6] 68:25 103:14 113:10,24 119:13 120:21

theme-based [2] 102:24 103:2

**themed** [3] 123:7 176:23 223:3

**themes** [7] 117:14,17 143:22 211:10 212:16,21 219:19

themself [1] 215:19 themselves [14] 26:7 31:12 39:10 40:22 42:7 50:4 86:6 111:23 121:4 170:16 181:18 287:12 291:16 292:14

**theoretical** [2] 72:8 124:8

**theories** [4] 10:14 54:16 132:1 133:9

**theory** [11] 33:13 53:25 72:8,11 124:10,25 128:11 134:8 136:23 143:6,7

there'll [1] 39:2 thereafter [1] 258:2 therefore [7] 2:22 4:17 6:8 269:1 285:8 287:8

there'd [1] 201:9

297:19 **hermal** nai 239:1

**thermal** [16] 239:19 259:11 260:18,19 261:5 264:2,3 265:3 277:2 278:2 280:4,11 284:4,12 284:25 285:13

they've [17] 45:21 47:4 61:1 102:19 105:20 111:11 116:15 193:6 221:13 244:14,16 257:22 275:3 288:25 291:15,19 301:1

**thinking** [4] 174:13,14 178:9 267:4

**third** [13] 8:17 9:24 10:13 13:1 19:18 31:20 53:21 77:22 111:17,23 124:1 151:5 184:6

thorough [1] 81:11 thought [15] 16:20 21:10 32:18 42:14 51:13 77:4 100:1 115:6 139:1 186:13 199:19 255:16 281:23 288:1 297:11

**thoughts** [6] 32:11,20 71:1 126:25 143:9 306:12

**thousand** [2] 36:15

thread [1] 187:5 **three** [65] 2:24 3:1,5,6 3:22,23 4:1,19 5:11,14 6:8 9:3,9,14 11:21 12:8 13:9 16:15.19 19:8 22:2 31:23 32:17 43:5 48:20 53:14,19 54:4 56:15,25 58:8,10 70:13 82:22 87:4 111:11 124:3 132:24 133:13 143:1.11.13 145:14 146:8 160:7 161:17 163:13 165:8,11 172:7 174:15 211:12,19 211:22 212:2 238:3 257:11 266:1,5 274:12 294:10,10 295:15 303:6

**through** [91] 3:21 9:9 10:20 12:23 16:24 20:14 20:15,23 25:3 26:1 27:16 29:17 31:15 32:4 33:16 33:16,25 36:18 37:1 38:17,18 40:20 41:23 44:1 46:13 51:3,18 54:16 58:11 59:23,25 60:2 65:9 67:1,9 69:2 71:7 72:6 77:6 80:16.24.25 83:12 84:7 85:1 86:17 87:16 88:21 89:6 94:20 99:13 106:3 111:21,22 119:11 127:25 129:12 132:20 133:12 135:10 136:2,7 138:1 169:3 176:21 180:10 188:23 198:2 200:16 203:21,22 204:3 212:8 217:7 223:17 229:4 230:3 233:4 234:12 238:14.14 239:10 242:14 242:19 249:25 254:21 296:1,18 298:13 307:11 307:13

throughout [6] 9:23

17:4 38:13 52:17 76:10 166:1

throw [1] 181:13 thrown [1] 188:2 thumb [1] 48:12

**Thursday** [1] 2:21 **ticket** [1] 66:23

tie [1] 143:25 tied [1] 256:12

**ties** [3] 246:14 257:2 268:2

tight [1] 148:11 timeline [1] 257:8 timelines [2] 22:14 73:7 times [14] 37:8 64:25 68:16 93:10 114:24 120:22 124:7 182:2 208:7 253:19 264:9 285:4 290:1 303:10

timing [1] 46:1 tip [1] 131:20 tips [1] 131:16 Tipton [1] 288:4

**tired** [1] 287:17 **titles** [1] 229:20

today [5] 149:8 194:10 238:2,10 243:1

**together** [12] 143:25 200:15 213:24 214:11,12 214:17 246:18,19 299:10 299:16 300:24 301:1

**tolerance** [1] 179:9 **tomorrow** [1] 307:13

tone[1] 34:10

**too** [9] 48:11 90:25 98:25 128:11 149:18 157:21 232:7 245:25 282:23

**took** [12] 6:15 21:5 25:22 28:1 31:1 42:18 80:2 82:4 123:10 134:9 209:4 269:5

**tool** [6] 9:22 60:24 84:23 130:20 131:4 184:10

**tools** [6] 121:11 135:24 183:8 224:10,14 234:14

**top** [19] 32:16 51:4 68:14 69:2,12,24 70:1,4,5 217:22 224:9 232:13 233:12 252:10 263:6 270:22 274:13 291:2 305:20

**topic** [9] 26:5 36:7 48:5 48:25 49:2 53:23 55:21 72:4 202:9

**topics** [13] 6:5,7 18:3 22:3 31:3 32:2 36:19 51:20 54:12 143:13 159:4 214:17 240:13

topped [1] 50:22 totally [1] 177:21 touch [1] 227:17 touched [4] 54:5 120:21 149:23 289:21

towards [8] 80:9 82:16

102:9 108:10,18 171:19 227:20 292:13

227:20 292:13 **track** [1] 83:8

traditional [1] 122:12 traditionally [1] 190:10

**traffic** [3] 8:9 112:6,11 **train** [1] 15:7

trained [2] 71:25 134:2

trainees [1] 244:25 training [39] 11:7 12:19 12:21,23 15:4 31:17 48:15,16,19,23,24 49:5 49:10,12 57:9 60:21 65:10 70:15 108:12 136:5 138:6 139:1 141:14 155:4 155:14 156:4,24 159:13 239:12 240:6 243:5,23

244:11,23 245:1,5 247:13

**trait** [1] 140:10 **traits** [14] 54:1 56:12 57:15 128:20 129:8 130:5 132:2,21 133:9 138:19

297:25 298:1

140:6,18 233:4 234:10 transcribe [1] 51:8 transcribed [1] 308:6 transcript [1] 308:3 transit [2] 115:1 195:15

**transiting** [1] 289:15 **translate** [3] 173:15

translate [3] 173:15 190:17 222:2 translated [1] 111:13

translating [1] 172:17 transmit [1] 305:24 transparency [5] 69:18

111:21 122:14 228:25 229:10

**transparent** [3] 10:4 110:19,23

transport [29] 14:25 15:5 47:23 48:6 90:1 93:21 94:20 114:18 119:6 119:7 145:5,6 174:16 179:16,17 189:19 190:15 190:18 198:12 202:2,12 205:5,12,14,21 206:20 217:11 219:10 241:18

**transportation** [17] 31:14 32:18 33:23 44:7 46:8 55:2 59:1,8,12 62:19 75:24 80:11,20 108:21 109:15 111:5 115:13

**trapped** [12] 259:11,13 259:22 266:19,22,24 267:21,22 269:1,22 292:6 299:4

**traps** [1] 260:12 **travel** [12] 29:16 31:19

35:13 43:5 44:11 47:22 64:24,24 66:25 158:21 210:1 252:19

**travelled** [3] 2:24 37:6 237:23

**travelling** [5] 22:16 23:16 52:21 163:10 204:8

**treated** [1] 137:21 **trends** [2] 117:20 198:2 **trials** [2] 242:6 276:11 trick [1] 189:2 **tried** [1] 79:24 **trigger** [2] 203:19 204:15 **triggers** [1] 132:2 **trip** [2] 42:19,20 trips [3] 42:18,24 43:4 trolley [1] 218:23 trollies [1] 218:20 **trouble** [2] 137:8,10 **troubling** [1] 284:13 **true** [2] 36:14 308:3 trust [1] 144:10 **try** [13] 2:25 39:6 73:7 74:25 97:16 124:8 152:9 199:4 200:6.21 269:21 280:23 289:1 trying [9] 119:7 125:22 132:12 154:18 156:6

132:12 154:18 156:6 159:24 167:4 254:18 306:24 **TSB's** [1] 46:20

**tugging** [1] 284:11 **turn** [12] 57:14 130:1,17 131:12 134:7 167:5 193:19 221:18 287:3,8 287:12 288:12

**turnaround** [1] 38:11 **turned** [3] 13:18 287:19 291:8

**Turner** [322] 1:4,5 3:2 3:15,19,21 4:1,3,6,9,10 4:12.13.15 5:16 6:2.4.4 6:11,24 7:5 9:7 11:3,11 12:6 13:23 15:13,17,20 16:10,13,16 17:13,20 19:6 20:3,8,20 21:9 26:16 27:2,10,15 28:16 28:21 29:5,13 30:7,14 30:18,22 33:11 35:5 36:9 38:7 39:12,20,24 40:4,9 40:19 41:3,8 43:9 45:3 45:15,23 46:15 50:13,18 51:1 52:10,15 53:18 57:22 58:6 61:7.11.17 61:23 62:13,21 63:1,6 63:12 65:16,21 66:3,9 66:15,19 67:11,15 68:7 68:19 69:25 71:5 73:13 74:3 75:10 76:23 79:2 79:15 81:5 86:1,15 87:1 88:11 89:14,18,23 90:21 91:19 92:13,21 93:24 94:4,13 95:20,25 96:4,9 96:13,20,25 97:6 98:13 98:17 100:16 101:18,22 102:14 103:8 104:5,11 105:3,9,13 106:17 107:16 107:23 108:2 109:1,5,9 109:24 111:6 112:14,21 112:25 113:19 114:7 115:18 116:12,19 117:9 117:16 121:19 122:6,22 124:19 128:3.8 132:7.17 137:22 138:13 139:25

140:5,23 143:3 144:13 148:19,21 149:2,10,20 150:10,18 151:17,23 153:1,8 154:8,15,22 155:10 156:21 157:6.17 159:2,17,21 160:3 161:3 161:9,14,24 162:3,9,25 163:19.25 164:20 165:5 165:16 166:9,13,17 167:18,25 168:24 169:8 169:24 170:13 171:4,10 175:6,18,24 176:4,9,25 177:10.17 178:4 179:22 180:4,11,20 182:4 184:23 185:4,12,23 186:8,16,24 191:12,21 192:5 194:5 194:19,21,25 195:7 196:3 196:8,13,19 197:17 198:4 198:13,19 201:19 202:4 203:1,6 205:8,24 206:13 208:15,25 211:2,4,16,20 212:1,22 213:5,11 214:1 215:1 216:10,15,20 217:13.20 218:5 219:15 219:21 220:4.9.16.22 221:3,14,19 223:13,18 223:22 224:21 225:9,15 225:21 226:1,5,17,25 227:6,11,21 228:1,6,20 229:13 230:21 231:11.16 231:20 232:3,18 233:3 234:22 235:2,8,17 236:4 236:13.25 237:2.8

Turner's [2] 3:17 238:13 turning [1] 286:25 twelve [1] 26:6 twenty [1] 248:9 twice [2] 37:4 85:13

**twigging** [1] 117:19 two [66] 1:8 3:8 8:14,20 11:20 24:20 26:11 43:7 43:10,18 44:3,15 45:1 49:23 52:17 56:21 59:16 68:2 100:19 101:2 102:19 106:6 111:13 113:5 114:14,25 115:16 133:13 134:23 143:10 148:10,12 150:21 155:9 160:15 165:4 173:20 187:22 195:13 197:10,18 198:18 199:1,11,20,22 202:2 206:14 214:4 215:11 242:5 246:21 251:16 253:20 255:7 270:4 274:25 275:4,12,18 276:7 277:4 278:23 284:7 286:5 299:6

two-thirds [1] 48:13 type [26] 43:19 53:9 58:17 61:3 101:15 121:6 123:7 133:7,23 136:4,20 136:21 137:10 141:25 149:16 153:20 158:25 199:5 200:22 204:12 215:24 219:4 223:2 233:20 260:22 271:18

**types** [6] 97:16 161:8 187:9 207:8 297:3 303:6

-U-

**U.S** [1] 76:6

Uh-hm [25] 20:21 27:3 28:17 75:11 95:21 96:10 104:6 105:4,10 109:6 185:24 191:22 195:1 196:4 205:25 211:17 213:6 219:22 220:10,17 220:23 226:18 228:2 248:18 258:11

UK [67] 77:10 81:22 82:19,19 84:2 85:10 88:25 90:15,17 92:8,11 95:23 99:17 106:1 107:15 112:1 123:13 153:24 155:6 160:19 231:6 243:4 243:7.12 244:11.12 247:16 253:19 258:20 262:7 265:14 268:12,12 269:18,25 270:8,10,18 272:8 273:6,13 274:24 275:10,13 276:1,3,9 277:1,7 280:1 286:9 287:2 293:11,14,20 294:12,18,19 295:15,16 295:18 296:5,8,10 298:3 299:23 301:3

**ultimately** [3] 177:8 257:5,24

**Um-hm** [10] 50:19 61:8 140:1 149:3 159:22 164:21 169:9,25 177:1 180:12

**unanimity** [1] 263:15 **unanswered** [4] 59:14 61:5,25 62:6

uncertainty [1] 46:11 uncontrolled [1] 297:13 uncover [1] 201:20 undecided [1] 48:2

**under** [31] 32:2 36:15 41:21 67:19 82:8 89:13 90:5 93:2 104:13 114:12 158:14,18,20 192:3 199:12 205:5,11 218:15 223:4 230:25 246:1 255:14 261:1,9 262:12 264:11 267:16 290:4

**undergo** [1] 100:24 **undergoing** [1] 244:25 **underneath** [5] 259:12 261:6 270:15,17 289:9

underpinning [1] 101:24

292:5 294:6,9

undersigned [1] 308:2 understand [26] 16:9 34:20 39:16 41:15 65:7 74:17 75:20 78:4 106:19 124:9 149:19 163:7 167:4 171:24 181:7 235:15 244:8 254:2 258:6 265:20 278:14,19 279:13,18 282:20 285:23

understood [1] 127:14 undertake [18] 9:13 16:19 19:9 24:7 58:17 58:20 59:10 60:1 63:16 75:14 84:3 95:10 97:16 105:16 130:23 210:6 212:15 306:18

**undertaken** [9] 22:24 23:13,18 54:21 55:13 59:22 143:13 172:19 241:3

**undertakes** [2] 81:24 197:4

**undertaking** [8] 12:13 64:15 65:15 74:10 75:19 81:7 144:4 240:10

undertook [2] 30:2

**underwater** [11] 48:24 240:11 243:22 244:22 248:25 256:3 261:22 269:2 283:15 291:7 292:8

underway [1] 217:25 unfold [1] 202:19 unfortunately [2] 78:18 162:17

Unintelligible [1] 8:22 union [2] 86:13 304:11 unions [8] 18:18 86:19 112:5,11 121:23 181:3 200:3,4

**unique** [2] 150:2,23 **unit** [6] 278:10,11,14,17 294:5 307:2

United [16] 77:8,13 81:1 81:18 86:25 87:3 88:12 90:13,19 95:24 97:18 104:2 107:20 152:16 213:2,9

units [2] 279:17 298:8 universal [3] 273:23 293:4,5

universally [1] 294:14 universities [1] 11:20 University [4] 147:3 239:21,25 240:1

**unless** [4] 177:21 182:6 188:19 200:13

**unlike** [3] 49:18 160:18 193:9

**unlimited** [3] 181:23 308:12,14

**unregulated** [1] 158:24 **unsafe** [3] 45:8 164:15 164:23

unsecured [1] 24:25 unsure [3] 55:7,20 59:4 untapped [1] 152:4 unusual [2] 117:24 204:13

**unzipped** [1] 265:1

**up** [89] 13:12,19 17:10,18 20:1 28:25 30:11,17 33:10 40:18 41:24 47:18 70:15,20 71:1 73:8,19 80:7 88:14 94:25 99:21 99:24 101:7 118:22,24 123:6,12 127:4 129:9 130:13 135:7.17 137:2

140:13 141:17 142:6,11

146:4 160:15 164:11 171:20 174:4 181:6,17 181:23,23 186:12 190:8 190:10,16 199:10 201:12 203:24 205:2,14 207:10 212:3 222:23 228:22 229:2 233:17 234:6 236:10 239:2 252:15 253:5,20 254:5 262:12 262:18,23,23 263:4,10 263:13 265:1 268:21 273:13,15 282:13,15 288:24 289:10 290:21 291:11 292:13 302:9 305:23 306:10

**update** [1] 182:8 **updated** [3] 108:14,16 182:2

upfront [1] 124:4 upping [1] 277:9 upside [1] 291:9 upswing [1] 100:15 used [33] 60:16 88:4 9

used [33] 60:16 88:4 99:7 114:18 118:4 127:22 128:17 130:18 135:25 143:19,23 151:12 170:25 172:8 177:21 187:22 239:1 241:16,22 244:1 253:24 264:23 268:11,12 273:2 276:1,11 293:20 294:12,15,18 304:18 306:5

**useful** [3] 87:18 144:8 274:3

**user** [8] 270:15 286:14 286:21,25 287:3,11 289:5 300:23

**uses** [3] 63:17,19 88:2 **using** [14] 9:22 33:24 64:15 80:12 133:8 139:9 141:18 155:1 246:21 278:6 293:8,12 294:22 295:3

usually [1] 303:24

-V-

**vacuum** [1] 72:9 **validate** [10] 23:22 33:15 33:24 48:18 49:1 57:12 59:5 60:25 86:18 219:13

**validated** [2] 29:25 50:2 **validation** [5] 22:24 36:19,21 37:23 51:5

**valuable** [7] 144:10 175:4 176:14 231:2 233:20,23 236:14

**value** [6] 81:16 197:1 201:11,12 260:25 279:18

**values** [4] 54:2 127:5 278:23 283:12

variables [1] 151:7 various [25] 8:6 10:19 11:19,24 12:24 20:13,17 21:25 23:11 25:11 32:2 33:21 74:24 78:6 83:9 85:15 86:8 95:12 105:16 106:5 120:11 121:25

141:8 151:9 170:25 **variously** [1] 299:1 **vast** [1] 221:23 vent [1] 104:23 **ventilate** [1] 289:7 ventilation [1] 255:4 versa [1] 299:4 versus [5] 155:18 167:10 201:2 267:22 295:23 **vertical** [1] 297:8 vice [1] 299:4 **video** [1] 292:8 **view** [8] 52:4 54:6 126:16 127:2 224:9 230:16 261:20 271:22 viewers [1] 132:11 views [5] 21:24 22:7 143:16 208:20 210:12 **violation** [1] 136:6 violations [2] 177:24,24 VIPs [1] 89:2 **virtue** [1] 157:5 visibility [4] 25:2 69:14 105:23 203:7 **visit** [1] 78:6 visited [2] 106:19 229:5 **visiting** [1] 76:3 visits [2] 76:1 81:13 **vitae** [2] 238:5 249:5 **vital** [1] 297:19 **volume** [3] 25:2 77:18 159:25 **voluntary** [5] 25:23 36:11 91:14 200:21 213:14

# -W-

**waist** [1] 299:2 **walk** [5] 9:9 16:24 58:10 135:10 136:7 **wall** [1] 189:7 **wants** [1] 4:25 **warm** [3] 264:7,14 298:2 **warning** [1] 182:13 warrant [1] 232:9 **washing** [1] 256:16 Washington [6] 7:14 8:21 26:8 39:11,19 40:11 **watch** [1] 132:12 watched [1] 202:18 **watching** [2] 139:14 237:18 water [59] 77:15 90:24 239:23 246:12 248:16 253:11,14,14,24 254:22 254:22 255:2,6,15,16 256:8,11,16,23 259:2,17 262:20,25 263:22 264:1 264:18 267:6 271:15 272:11 277:4,8 279:22 280:16.19.20.25 281:3.4

281:6,12 282:5 284:5

287:19,23 288:8 290:1 291:25 292:5,9,11 294:6 294:9 297:18,24 298:2,6 303:18 306:22 307:4 waters [1] 265:7 watertight [2] 281:10 281:18 **watt** [1] 278:16 wave [3] 286:19 287:15 288:9 waves [8] 256:7,12 286:20 287:24,25 288:7 288:13.14 ways [6] 33:15 45:2,9,14 74:19 141:2 wealth [1] 78:14 wear [14] 131:7 259:12 261:9 263:5 264:10,25 270:15,17,18,19 273:6 280:1,2 289:15 wearer [2] 288:18 306:14 wearing [2] 267:13 273:17 weather [4] 25:19 69:13 150:24 264:7 **website** [3] 31:5 40:21 110:20 week [5] 21:20 24:19 27:17 29:15 35:14 weeks [5] 22:15 43:1 47:20 202:7 204:11 **weighted** [1] 230:7 **welcome** [2] 146:15 209:8 **wells** [4] 36:3 107:4 250:11,13 **west** [1] 214:6 **western** [1] 160:11 wet [2] 264:21 282:14 whereas [1] 103:14 whereby [1] 275:7 **whilst** [1] 37:11 **whole** [17] 9:23 29:8 33:4 71:13 88:13 103:24 126:8 127:4 139:12 200:8 201:4 204:10 214:16 231:21 248:25 269:6 293:15 **whomsoever** [1] 210:4 wide [1] 241:5 widely [3] 124:15 276:11 294:14 wider [2] 243:10,12 Williams [1] 4:24 willing [2] 187:25 207:23 window [2] 291:23 298:13

125:25 162:18 164:6 192:20 195:21 202:12 203:8 233:14 240:8 243:14 246:14,23 260:13 283:10 302:10 **without** [5] 71:2 75:17 117:12 133:17 134:12 witness [1] 4:5 wonder [3] 155:1 163:13 **wondering** [5] 150:13 157:13 213:8 227:3 230:17 **wool** [1] 260:11 word [9] 1:8.11 165:19 173:21 175:21 183:24 226:14 227:3 229:9 worded [1] 251:25 words [6] 83:20 172:7 197:13 210:1 250:6 287:23 worked [6] 13:25 14:9 29:9 40:15 46:13 203:10 worker [9] 5:4 33:25 42:7 134:14.14 141:15 143:14 200:4 289:14 worker's [4] 8:24 22:6 22:12 35:12 workers [61] 1:11 2:6 9:13 18:19 21:19,19,24

22:8 23:11,16,19,24 24:5 24:8,14 25:16 26:20 27:7 27:24 28:19 32:11,14 34:22 35:1 36:18 37:17 37:21 41:10,14,15 42:3 42:10 50:8 51:14 52:21 53:5 62:24 63:4,9 64:2 64:11 67:12 71:24 80:12 86:13 108:21 109:15 112:5 114:18 121:3,23 132:25 137:17 155:25 156:7 159:9 196:21 200:3 208:8 226:11 279:25 **workforce** [13] 29:8 52:4 53:12 71:16 174:9 189:2 196:21 197:12 209:6 222:5 242:3,4,7 **workplace** [4] 81:24 87:24 218:16.17 works [9] 46:24 65:8,8 94:14 128:9 139:4 224:10 299:11.12 **workshop** [7] 134:9,10 134:11,19 139:4 252:25 295:14 world [32] 10:9 14:2 19:18 71:16 74:5,25 77:1 95:17 98:12,16 100:12 104:23 118:10 143:21 150:14 151:5 157:22 171:12 174:8 190:13 198:10 206:4 216:3 218:13 244:15 248:2 263:16 272:5 273:23 294:22 301:16,18 worldwide [1] 244:13 worn [8] 245:20 246:4 261:1,6,11 268:8 271:10

worry [1] 191:10 worth [5] 36:23 84:23 93:13 102:5 201:23 worthy [8] 42:14 45:6 52:8 78:2 85:20 215:14 229:4 235:22 wrap [1] 236:10 write [3] 207:1,16 223:25 writers [1] 224:8 writes [1] 224:6 writing [2] 118:17 247:18 writings [1] 239:12 **written** [9] 19:12,14,16 51:9 119:23 123:16 128:12 199:10 247:10 wrong [6] 65:9 125:8,12 135:15 213:22 249:16 wrote [3] 51:22,22

301:10

### -Y-

203:24

**year** [17] 8:15 18:1 42:18 43:4 65:1 85:14 90:25 93:10 100:19 101:6 108:17 113:23 129:14 193:6 237:7 240:21 252:23

**years** [41] 7:9,12,15 13:10,24 34:5 48:20 77:25 83:8,25 90:18 97:13 101:2,12 104:21 106:6 108:13 111:9 118:5 124:25 125:4 127:12 174:8,8,9 203:13 221:13 239:25 240:21.25 243:3 247:11 253:7 262:11 273:14 289:1,21 293:9 296:2 305:15,16

yellow [1] 273:15 yet [13] 46:9 53:1 90:1 108:10 135:15 193:25 202:10 213:24 215:21 263:25 273:18 276:11 293:5

**yourself** [3] 144:11 247:22 287:9 yourselves [1] 216:1

## -Z-

**Z's**[1] 13:20 **Zealand** [6] 7:17 174:18 235:9,10,13 236:1 **zero** [1] 284:6 **zip** [1] 263:2 **zipped** [3] 262:11,18 263:3 **zippers** [1] 51:23 **zips** [2] 263:10,13

**windows** [1] 131:9

**wing** [1] 63:16

**winter** [1] 67:10

118:21 238:12

wish [4] 3:20 68:18

withdrawal [1] 177:8

30:23 82:7 104:14 114:20

within [21] 1:9 29:3